## **REHABILITATION STUDY REPORT**

#### State Bridge Program

State Project No. 137-164 Bridge No. 03906 in Stonington Alpha Avenue over Amtrak and Local Roads

Prepared For:

State of Connecticut Department of Transportation Newington, Connecticut



*Submitted:* April 2022



\$60.290.4100

www.chacompanies.com

101 East River Drive, East Hartford, CT 06108



## **Table of Contents**

ALTERNATE COMPARISONS	2
Executive Summary	2
Location Map	4
Introduction	5
Description	5
FIELD OBSERVATIONS	8
SOILS & GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS	L2
HYDRAULICS	L3
SCOUR	13
LOAD RATING	L3
SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS	L3
REHABILITATION ALTERNATES	٤4
CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE & MAINTENANCE AND PROTECTION OF TRAFFIC	18
OTHER ALTERNATES	20
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REHABILITATION	20
UTILITY / DRAINAGE / ENVIRONMENTAL / PROPERTY IMPACTS (RECOMMENDED ALTERNATE)	20
SUBSTANDARD FEATURES & POTENTIAL DESIGN EXCEPTIONS (RECOMMENDED	
<b>ALTERNATE)</b>	21
Appendices 2	22
Appendix A: Photographs	
Appendix B: Original Bridge Construction Plans	
Appendix C: Existing Bridge Sketches	
Appendix D: Rehabilitation Alternate Sketches	
Appendix E: Rehabilitation Alternate Cost Comparisons	
Appendix F: 2020 CTDOT Inspection Report	
Appendix G: Life Cycle Cost Analysis	

## **ALTERNATE COMPARISONS**

<u>Design</u> <u>Consideration</u>	<u>Alternate 1</u> Pier Cap Rehabilitation, Pier 4 Replacement and Girder Strengthening	<u>Alternate 2</u> Pier Replacement and Girder Strengthening	<u>Alternate 3</u> Pier Replacement, Girder Strengthening and Deck Patching	<u>Alternate 4</u> Bridge Replacement with Removal of 6 Spans	Importance*
Bridge Structure Condition & Load Carrying Capacity	Acceptable Condition. Meets capacity & safety standards	Good Condition. Meets capacity & safety standards	Good Condition. Meets capacity & safety standards	Very Good Condition. Meets capacity & safety standards	High
Initial Cost	\$7.5M (Least Expensive)	\$8.6M	\$13.3	\$25.5M (Most Expensive)	High
Life Cycle Cost (Present Value/Value over 75 years)	\$53.5M/\$68.9M	\$84.9M/\$124.3M	\$88.0M/\$127.2M	\$27.1/\$27.8M	High
Service Life	15-20 years	15-20 years	50 years	75 years	High
Future Maintenance	Moderate	Minor	Minor	Minimal	High
Private Property Impacts	Minimal	Moderate	Moderate	Significant Impacts	High
Impacts to Traffic	Minimal	Minimal	Moderate	Significant	High
<b>Railroad Impacts</b>	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Significant	High
Construction Duration	8 Months	12 Months	12 Months	12 Months	Medium
Impacts to Roadway Network	None	None	None	Significant	Medium
Abides by CGS RR under clearance Requirements	Yes (Existing Maintained)	Yes (Existing Maintained)	Yes (Existing Maintained)	Yes (Standard Achieved)	Medium
Vertical Under Clearance/ Standards met?	18.5'/No	18.5'/No	18.5'/No	22.5'/Yes	Medium
Utility Impacts	None	None	None	Permanent Relocation	Low
Accommodation of Future Under Bridge Utilities	Feasible for small diameter	Feasible for small diameter	Feasible for small diameter	Challenging due to shallower beams & MSE Grids in Approaches	Low
*Design Consideration's level of importance for determining recommended alternate		Green = Best Alternate Yellow = Less Desirable Red = Least Desirable			

Approved Repair Code

Recommended Primary Repair Code



## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### Scope of Rehabilitation Work

Based upon the inspection and evaluation of Bridge No. 03906, CHA recommends **Alternate 3** - consisting of the following:

- Replacement of all piers with reinforced concrete piers using the existing foundations.
- Replacement of all bearings including those at the ship lap joint.
- Adding additional lateral bracing in Span 8 to increase the load rating.
- Repairs to the structural steel and painting of beam ends at deck joints.
- Installation of shield between the catenary wires and the beams.
- Removal of the existing bituminous overlay and membrane.
- Patching of the concrete deck, sidewalks and parapet.
- Placing of a new spray applied memberane and bituminous overlay.
- Replacement of the deck joints.
- Substructure concrete patching.
- Repairs to the existing protective fence.
- Replacement of parapet
- Replacement of the guiderail on the approach roadways to a system that meets MASH standards.

Reasons for the recommended rehabilitation work:

- The riveted built-up steel pier caps are in poor condition.
- Other potions of the steel bents have deterioration and will require continued maintenance.
- Reusing the pier foundations will minize impacts to areas below the bridge and Amtrak and the foundations are in good condition.
- Insufficeint lateral bracing of the beams in Span 8 at the depth transition cause a rating factor below 1.0.
- The beams and cross frames have minor deterioration.
- There is evidence of electrical arcing from the catenary wires to the steel beams above.
- Steel bearings require continued maintenance.
- Past photos show map cracking in the bituminous overlay, there is evidence of efflorescence at the longitudinal stage construction deck joint.
- The existing deck joint over Pier 4 has deterioration.
- The existing abutments and wingwalls have some concrete deterioration.
- The protective fence has damage.
- The approach guiderails are substandard.

#### Maintenance and Protection of Traffic

The proposed maintenance and protection of traffic primarily includes temporary off-peak lane and shoulder closures on Alpha Avenue. Alternating 1-way traffic will be required during these closures. Temporary closures to the sidewalks will also be required. Minimal impacts will be required to traffic on the local roads below the bridge. Off-peak track outages and catenary de-energization on the railroad will be required for work on Span 4 and are anticipated to only be available during overnight periods.

#### **Notable Facts**

Estimated Construction Cost:	\$ 13,305,000
Estimated Construction Duration:	12 months
ROW Involvement:	Temporary Construction Easements, Possible Lease Termination
Utilities Impacted:	None anticipated
Permits Required:	CTDOT FMC-MOU
Design Exceptions:	Minimum vertical clearance for Railroad and Local Roads
Sufficiency Rating:	56.8%
Load Rating after Repairs:	HL-93
	CTDOT Legal & Permit Vehicles
2017 ADT:	4,810 (3% Truck Traffic)

CHA

## **LOCATION MAP**



## **INTRODUCTION**

CHA Consulting, Inc., (CHA) has been retained by the Connecticut Department of Transportation (CTDOT) to perform the rehabilitation evaluation for this bridge as part of the State Bridge Program.

This report describes the findings of a comprehensive evaluation of this bridge which includes a review of existing plans, Bridge Safety Routine Inspection Report dated January 19<sup>th</sup>, 2020 and site visits conducted by CHA and presents our recommendations for rehabilitation to ensure its structural and functional adequacy, as well as extend its service life.

## **DESCRIPTION**

#### General

Bridge No. 03906 is an eight span structure that carries Alpha Avenue over Amtrak Railroad and two local roadways in the town of Stonington, Connecticut. Alpha Avenue across the bridge provides the only vehicular access to the Borough of Stonington as there are no other crossings of Amtrak at the borough and the other sides of the borough are surrounded by water. The bridge was originally constructed in 1940 under State Project No. 137-025 and underwent a rehabilitation with deck replacement in 1990 under State Project No. 137-132. The bridge is located approximately 0.8 miles southeast of the intersection of US Route 1 and US Route 1A and carries one lane of traffic in the northbound and southbound directions along with shoulders within a curb-to-curb roadway width of 34'-0". 6-foot-wide sidewalks exist on both sides of the bridge with granite stone curbing used on the bridge and approaches of Alpha Avenue. Existing bridge Span 1 is 90 feet long, Spans 2 through 4 are 70 feet long, and Spans 5 through 8 are 80 feet long. The overall structure length is 625'-0" and the bridge has a 0-degree skew. Two electrified Amtrak Railroad tracks are located under Span 4 of the bridge. Mathews Street/Main Street and Cutler Street are under Spans 1 and 8, respectively. The remaining spans have relatively flat unpaved areas below them which are being used by the abutting property owners. Alpha Avenue crosses the bridge from the southwest to the northeast. To be consistent with the Bridge Inspection Report, the southernmost abutment will be referred to as Abutment 2.

The bridge superstructure consists of nine rolled steel I-beams with varying depths that carry an 8" thick reinforced concrete deck topped with a 2.5" thick bituminous concrete wearing surface on woven glass membrane waterproofing fabric. The beams are continuous over Spans 1 through 4 and Spans 5 through 8 with the only deck joint over Pier 4. Thermal expansion is accommodated by a ship lap joint over Pier 4 where the upper beam of the ship lap is supported by a rocker bearing resting on the lower beam. There is a modular joint between the deck ends over Pier 4. There are fixed pin bearings at both abutments so that horizontal movement is prevented at these locations. There are asphaltic plug joints at the deck ends at both abutments.

The bridge superstructure is supported by concrete abutments at either end of the approaches and seven riveted steel bent piers. The bents consist of three H-columns with cross bracing and steel pier caps. There are fixed pin bearings between each beam and the pier cap and between each H-column and the concrete footing. Thermal expansion of the superstructure is accommodated by tilting of the bents out of plumb.

Abutment 1 is supported by a pile foundation extending to bedrock, and Abutment 2 is supported by a spread footing on bedrock. The concrete foundations for Piers 1 and 2 are supported on pile foundations and Piers 3 through 7 are supported on directly bedrock. Straight wingwalls are used at 3 of the corners of the bridge, and there is one flared wingwall (wingwall 1B) located at the southeast corner, retaining the embankment fill behind



the abutments. There are stairways located behind wingwalls 1B and 2A which provide access to the bridge sidewalks from and Mathews Street/Main Street and Cutler Street, respectively. 27" high reinforced concrete parapets and a vinyl coated chain link fence system is used on both side of the bridge adjacent to the 6-foot-wide sidewalks. Vertical plexiglass panels are used in front of the chain link fence, along with a curved top on span 4 over the railroad tracks. There are parapet buildouts for eight ornamental light standards on the bridge but two light standards are missing on the west parapet. An MBR railing system is used at both approaches of the bridge. There are no drainage features located on the bridge and catch basin systems are located at both sides of the approaches along Alpha Avenue. Photos of the bridge structure and approaches are contained in **Appendix A**, (refer to photos 1-4 and 31-32). The original 1940 construction plans, and 1990 rehabilitation plans for the bridge are attached as **Appendix B**. Sketches of the existing bridge plan, elevation and cross section are shown in **Appendix C**.

#### **Highway Geometrics**

Alpha Avenue over Bridge No. 03906 has a functional classification of "Urban - Collector". The bridge is not on the National Highway System (NHS) and is not part of the Strategic Highway Network (STRAHNET). The proposed improvements featured in this project will adhere to the 3R Design Criteria specified in CTDOT Highway Design Manual (HDM) Section 2.3.0 (Figure 2-3H).

The roadway has a posted speed limit of 20 mph (southbound), with a sign located approximately 150 feet north of the bridge on Alpha Avenue. The northbound roadway approaching from the south and across the bridge is not posted. The Design Speed for the project site per Design Standards is determined using the 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed in accordance with Figure 2-3H of CTDOT HDM. The 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed data was obtained from CTDOT's Traffic Monitoring Data website which indicates an average 85<sup>th</sup> percentile speed of 30.8 mph. In accordance with Figure 2-4A of the HDM, a Proposed Design Speed of 30 mph was selected. The Design Speed of the existing roadway within the project site is less than 20 mph which is limited by the geometry of the sag vertical curve in the vicinity of Water Street.

The existing bridge accommodates a curb-to-curb roadway width of 34'-0", which matches the approach roadway width. The existing roadway width provides two travel lanes with approximately 12' lane widths with striped 5' shoulders. The 3R design criteria for a "Urban-Collector" contained in Figure 2-3H specifies a travel lane width ranging from 10' – 12' and shoulder width of 2' – 8' thereby requiring a minimum roadway width of 24'-0". However, Figure 2-7B specifies the minimum curb to curb width for Existing Bridges to Remain in Place to be a minimum of 28 feet or the approach traveled way width (24 feet) plus 4 feet (i.e., 28 feet) for an ADT greater than 4000 vehicles. Therefore, the minimum roadway width required to meet current State Standards is 28 feet. According to the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Coding Manual, a 34' wide roadway with an ADT of 2001 to 5000 has a Deck Geometry Rating Code of "5" which is somewhat better than minimum adequacy. Therefore, the existing 34' roadway width over the bridge meets the design width criteria set forth by CTDOT and is better than adequate according to FHWA.

The roadway horizontal alignment through the project site consists of a tangent beginning at the intersection with Water Street and continues north over the bridge. A large horizontal curve (R=4,583') begins just north of the bridge and continues through the intersection with Trumbull Avenue. This curve is much larger than the required radii of 295' for a normal cross slope and is well within the standards for Horizontal Stopping Sight Distance (200'). There is no superelevation with the project limits. The roadway crossing the bridge is located within the limits of a crest vertical curve (L=650') which is formed by tangent alignments on both approaches with grades of 5.6% for which is less than the standard maximum of 13%. This crest curve meets the standard requirements for K-Value



(19) and Stopping Sight Distance (200') for the Design Speed. Sag vertical curves (L=147' & 66') begin approximately 100' and 200' from the bridge and end to the north and south of the bridge at the intersections with Trumbull Avenue and Water Street respectively. The sag curve to the north at Trumbull Street meets the standard requirements for K-value (37) and Stopping Sight Distance (200') for the Design Speed. The sag curve to the south at Water Street does not meet these standard values, having a K-Value of 9 and Stopping Sight Distance of 79'. In addition, this sag vertical curve length (66') does not meet the requirement of three times the design speed (3V) of 90'.

The standard cross slope for the travel lanes is 1.5% to 3%. The existing roadway through the project site consists of a normal crown with a cross slope of 2% for the lanes and the shoulders based on as-builts. Although cross slope for the lane is met, the shoulder cross slope does not meet the current standard of 4% - 6% for shoulder widths greater than or equal to 4' wide.

The clear zone requirement for this stretch of roadway is 14'. This clear zone requirement appears to be met as most of the edge of road is protected by guide rail or features which would constitute a hazard are beyond the clear zone distance.

Intersection Sight Distance (ISD) does not meet the standard criteria of 335' (passenger vehicle) at the intersections of Alpha Avenue with Water Street and Trumbull Avenue. Sight distance at the intersection of Alpha Avenue and Water Street is obstructed by trees along Alpha Avenue at the approach to Water Street. Additionally, Water Street NB traffic continuing north on Water Street may have obstructed by turning onto Alpha Avenue from Water Street. Sight distances for traffic approaching Alpha Avenue from the west is obstructed by trees on the NW corner. The roadway geometry of to the east creates challenges for vehicles traveling on Alpha Avenue to see vehicles approaching the intersection and as well as approaching vehicles will have difficulty seeing vehicles traveling on alpha Avenue.

There are three local roads (Mathews Street/Main Street, Cutler Street & cutler Place) and an Amtrak railroad beneath Bridge No. 03906. The minimum vertical clearance requirement for a local roadway beneath an existing bridge to remain in place is 14'-3". This requirement is not met as the measured vertical clearances for Mathews Street/Main Street (13'-5") and Cutler Street (14'-0") are below the minimum standard. Cutler Place meets Cutler Street at the north side of the bridge. The clearance at this location is shown as 15'5" in the latest inspection report. The minimum vertical clearance requirement for an "electrified" railroad beneath the bridge is 22'-6". This requirement is not met as the measured vertical clearance (18'-11") is below the minimum standard. The above measured minimum vertical clearances for the roadways and railroad were taken from the Fracture Critical and Routine Inspection report dated 1/19/2020.

Clear zones are also not met at Matthew Street or Cutler Place. The Highway Design Manual, Section 2-09.01.01.2 states "On urban and rural collectors and local roads where the 3R design speed is 45 mph and below, the minimum clear zone should be 10 ft." The northern most column for Pier 1 is located 2-feet from the edge of the existing pavement and a little less than 9-feet from the NB travel lane. Similarly, the northern most column of pier 7 is about 9'4" from the edge of pavement and travel lane for Cutler Place. The clear zone is also not met along the north side of Cutler place as the edge of the pavement and travel lane is slightly more than 4-feet from a hedge along long the property line.

As local streets in an urban area, accessibility requirements for disabled individuals should be met but are not part of the federal design requirements. No sidewalks are present at any of the streets making them substandard as they relate to accessibility requirements.



The existing bridge rail system, comprising of 27 inch high reinforced concrete parapets with a 5-foot-high polyvinyl coated chain link fence meets current non-NHS bridge rail standards as the barrier is greater than 32" in height. The approach rail system, comprising of metal beam rail on steel posts at all approach corners does not meet current R-B MASH standards. The transitions also do not meet current safety standards as there is no rubrail, and the approach guiderail ends also do not meet current standards as the end anchors are terminated within the clear zone.

## Traffic

According to the January 19<sup>th</sup>, 2020 Inspection Report, the estimated 2017 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) on the bridge was approximately 4,810 vehicles with 3% truck traffic. This traffic volume was used in the selection of design criteria since the most recent available data from 2020 was during the COVID epoch period (4,200 vpd) and likely lower than normal.

Commercial businesses, the Stonington Borough Fire Department, and residential neighborhoods are present on Mathews Street/Main Street which runs under span 1 near Abutment 1 of the bridge. Two Amtrak rail lines run under span 4 of the bridge. Residential properties and commercial businesses are also located on Cutler Street and Cutler Place which run under span 8 nearest to the Abutment 2 of the bridge.

## **FIELD OBSERVATIONS**

According to the Routine Inspection Report dated January 19<sup>th</sup>, 2020 and summarized below in Table 1, the bridge is considered to be in Poor condition based on the NBIS appraisal rating for the Substructure which is rated a "4". The Poor condition is a result of top flange and bottom flange deteriorations in critical locations of the existing steel pier caps. Based on the appraisal rating of "3" for bridge under clearances, the bridge vertical under clearance over the Amtrak Railway and local road (Cutler Street) does not meet current design standards and is intolerable. The Deck is rated a "7", Superstructure is rated a "5", and Approach Roadway Alignment is rated a "7". Site visits performed by CHA to observe the condition of the bridge elements confirm these condition ratings. A copy of the January 19th, 2020 inspection report is attached as **Appendix F**.

TABLE 1 BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT RATING SUMMARY			
ITEM	CONDITION	RATING	
Deck	Good	7	
Overlay (Bit. Concrete)	Good	7	
Deck – Structure Condition (Reinforced Concrete Slab)	Good	7	
Curbs	Good	7	
Sidewalks	Satisfactory	6	
Parapets	Satisfactory	6	



Fence (Vinyl coated chain link)	Satisfactory	6
Drains	Satisfactory	6
Lighting Standard	Satisfactory	6
Construction Joints	Good	7
Expansion Joints	Satisfactory	6
Superstructure	Fair	5
Bearing Devices	Satisfactory	6
Girders (Rolled Steel)	Fair	5
Rivets & Bolts	Good	7
Welds - Cracks	Good	7
Collision Damage	Satisfactory	6
Substructure	Poor	4
Abutments (Stem & Backwall)	Good	7
Abutments (Wingwalls)	Good	7
Piers/Bents - Caps	Poor	4
Piers/Bents - Columns	Satisfactory	6
Footings (Abutments & Pier/Bents)	Ν	Ν
Piers/Bents - Settlement	Very Good	8
Collision Damage	Satisfactory	6
Erosion-Scour	Very Good	8
Under clearances	Intolerable	3
Approach	Good	7
*Guide Rail	Good	7
Pavement (Bit. Concrete)	Good	7
Embankment	Very Good	8
*Does not meet safety standards		

## Deck (Rating – Good)

The deck is rated to be in good condition based on deterioration observed on the underside of the concrete deck slab. The deck slab exhibits random transverse, longitudinal, and hairline map cracking with isolated rust areas. The bituminous concrete overlay is in good condition with random longitudinal, transverse, and diagonal cracks up to 3/16" wide. The report noted some of these cracks have been previously sealed. The sidewalks were noted to be in satisfactory condition, with random hairline cracks and random areas of sealant missing between the sidewalk and curbing noted. Span 6 exhibits random areas of scaling adjacent to the curbs up to 15' long, 8" wide, and 1" deep. The reinforced concrete parapets are in satisfactory condition, noting isolated areas of spalling, hairline map cracking, and an 18" long x 5" wide x 1.5" deep spall region of the span 4 east parapet showing



exposed rebar over track 1. The staircase parapets show areas of hairline map cracking and isolated areas of spalling. The staircase at the northwest approach is noted to have a 4.5' long x 1' high hollow areas along the south staircase parapet. The vinyl coated chain link fence is in satisfactory condition, noting 4 locations showing disconnected top and bottom horizontal rails. Additionally, a recent repair to the fence posts was noted since the last inspection. The bridge PVC weep drains which are intended to directly discharge below the bridge are in satisfactory condition. The report notes typical dampness on the underside of the deck around the weep drain outlets, and a few locations where previously short weeps have been extended to prevent drainage onto the diaphragms. There are six light standards mounted on top of the parapets of the bridge and two standards at the approaches. The span 3 west parapet light standard and light standard at the north east approach is missing per the latest inspection report and field observations performed by CHA. The deck construction joints are in good condition, with report noting the transverse and longitudinal joints in bay 5 have areas of minor overpour and light to moderate efflorescence. There are asphaltic plug joints at both abutments, and a modular joint with concrete headers over pier 4 that are noted to be in satisfactory condition. Work items are included in the report to repair the adhesion cracks, settlement, and failed/missing seals for the asphaltic plug joints. Refer to **Appendix A**, Photos 5-12 and 17 depicting the deck conditions.

## Superstructure (Rating – Fair)

The bearing devises are in satisfactory condition. The fixed pin bearings and expansion rocker bearings exhibit areas of painted over pitting losses and random areas on light rust and laminated rust on the masonry plates. The bearings have 1/8" -3/16" wide gaps between the masonry plate and tops of the steel pier caps due to pack rust. The fixed bearing at span 2 girder G6 has a 5/8" long crack in the masonry plate at the base. There is no paint on the expansion bearing pins and nuts. The continuous rolled steel girders are in fair condition. Girders in span 4 show typical areas of section losses 2" in diameter x 1/8' deep above the Amtrak rail lines due to electrical arcing and melted steel. Rolling defects in the bottom flange of span 4, girder G3 and web of span 7, girder G7 are also noted. The welds are in good condition, with a missing lower horizontal weld noted in span 3, bay 6 at diaphragm D1. The bridge has minor random girder bottom flange collision scrapes from impact located in spans 1 through 4 and 8. Work items are noted in the latest inspection report for grinding the gouges of these scrapes smooth. Refer to **Appendix A**, Photos 13-16 depicting superstructure conditions.

## Substructure (Rating – Poor)

The concrete abutment stems and backwalls are in satisfactory condition with numerous vertical hairline cracks, small pop outs, isolated hairline map cracking, and evidence of prior leakage noted at both abutments. The concrete bearing pedestals of Abutment 1, girders G3, G4, G7, and G9 have random hollow areas up to 22" x 5". Abutment 1 girder pedestals G6, G7, and G8 have random areas of spalling. Abutment 2 girder G4 has (2) hollow areas up to 8" x 4", and girders G3 and G7 have random spalls. The wingwalls are in good condition exhibiting random horizontal and vertical hairline cracks, isolated map cracking, and random small popouts. Wingwall 1B is noted to have an isolated region of spall 1' long x 4" high x  $\frac{1}{2}$ " deep. The steel pier caps are in poor condition, with areas of peeling paint, painted over pitting loss/section loss and isolated locations of laminated rust. The report notes the worst top flange section loss location over the pier 6 cap at girder G8, resulting in an 8.0% loss to in a critical location. The report notes the worst bottom flange section loss location at pier 4 cap between girders G6 and G7 resulting in a painted over 26.9% section loss in a critical location. Random rivet heads in pier 4 were noted to have up to 90% section losses and batten plates have up to 3/16" deep section losses. The top coverplate of the pier 1 cap between girders G2 and G3 is noted to have a 2' long x 9" wide x 3/16" deep area of section loss in a semi-critical area. The steel columns of the bents are in satisfactory condition with random areas of peeling paint



and isolated regions of laminated rust. Pier 3 and pier 4 columns exhibits laminated rust and painted over section losses at the bases interior column legs up to full width x 8" high x 3/16" deep. The pier column fixed pin bearings show up to 3/16" deep painted over pitting losses in the masonry and vertical plates. Random anchor bolt nuts have up to 90% section loss and a few nuts are missing due to pack rust. Several fixed bearings have up to 7/16" pack rust between the vertical plates. Refer to **Appendix A**, Photos 18-27 depicting substructure conditions.

#### Approaches (Rating – Good)

The approach pavement is in good condition, with random longitudinal, transverse, and map cracks up to 1/8" wide. The south approach has a full length 3/8" wide transverse crack that has been sealed, and has since recracked. A previously noted pothole in the south approach has been recently patched. The metal beam rail with steel post approach guiderail system at all approach corners is in satisfactory condition. The southwest approach corner has minor impact dents, and southeast rail near the buried termination end has 2 deep dents. The approach guide rails, guiderail ends, and transitions to the bridge do not meet current R-B 350 standards. Refer to **Appendix A**, Photos 31-34 depicting approach conditions.

#### Drainage

There are a total of 34 1 ½" diameter PVC pipe drains, 18 along each curb line. As mentioned previously, the inspection report notes wetness around the outlets of the pipe drains from the underside of the bridge deck. There are no closed drainage systems located on the bridge. Closed drainage systems exist along the curb line of the approaches of bridge along Alpha Avenue. There is a storm drainage system south of the railroad with catch basins along Mathews Street/Main Street.

#### Utilities

There are overhead telecommunication utilities carried by the bridge structure that are in good condition. Railroad catenary wires are below the bottom flanges of the girders in span 4. A pole line runs parallel to Cutler Street along the south side. The communication wires along this pole line are attached to the bottom flanges of Girders G1 and G9 in span 8, and the electric wires along this pole line cross over the bridge at span 8. A pole line runs parallel to the railroad on the south sideof the railroad and carries a set of electric wires over the bridge across span 3.

#### Property

Based on the 1940 as-built and 1990 rehabilitation plans, the structure was reverted from the Railroad company to the Town, and the roadway corridor of Alpha Avenue was reverted to the Town of Stonington. The bridge is located over property used by local businesses, utility companies, and Amtrak Rail. Pier 4 is within the Amtrak ROW, within a permanent easement for Alpha Avenue. Based on the referenced plan sets, Piers 2 and 3 may also be on Amtrak property but are outside of the Amtrak security fence. The region of property under spans 1 through 3 is used by the Dodson Boat Yard. The region of property under Spans 6 and 7 adjacent to Cutler Street is used by the Stonington Community Center Thrift Shop for parking. Part of the building is located underneath span 7 of the existing bridge. Refer to **Appendix A**, Photo 35 depicting the building located under the bridge. It is not clear if the property located under the bridge on either side of Amtrak is owned by the Town or owned by private entities.



#### **Cultural Resources**

According to the Town of Stonington Zoning Map (2017), the bridge is located just north of the Stonington Borough. The National Register of Historic Places 2020 mapper includes the area of the brige on Alpha Avenue as part of the Stonington Borough Historic District; however, the bridge itself is not identified as an individual historic listing or is considered to be a contributing element of the historic district. It is not anticipated that the bridge rehabilitation and roadway improvements will have an adverse visual or physical effect on the historic district.

The area has a high population of citizens over the age of 64 according to the EPA's Environmental Justice (EJ) Screening and Mapping Tool (Version 2020). There are no other environmental indicators of concern in the project vicinity according to the EJ Screening and Mapping tool.

#### **Environmental Resources**

Bridge No. 03906 is not located in an Aquifer Protection Area but is within half a mile of a CTDEEP Natural Diversity Database (NDDB) area for State listed species per December 2021 Mapping. As a result, NDDB coordination will only occur if a full replacement is required, otherwise, rehabilitation will not require this coordination. Since the State of Connecticut is considered a location for federally listed Northern Long Eared Bat population of interest, coordination will likely be required with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map number 09011C0534J (effective date 09/26/2008), the bridge is located in Special Flood Hazard Area, Zone AE at elevation 11 feet to the east and Zone VE at elevation 14 feet to the west. These areas associated with Stonington Harbor indicate that fill within the floodplain may have significant regulatory impacts. A small area of wetlands is identified on 1990 rehabilitation plans for the bridge; however, wetlands impacts are not anticipated for this project.

The project is located within the coastal area and the coastal boundary; therefore, a Coastal Area Management permit is anticipated through DOT, as well as the Town of Stonington Planning and Zoning Commission or Zoning Board of Appeals for a Coastal Area Management (CAM) site plan review.

The ground water supply surrounding the structure is classified as GB, whose designated uses include industrial process water and cooling waters and baseflow for hydraulically-connected water bodies and is presumed not suitable for human consumption without treatment. The surface water in the structure's vicinity is designated as Class B which is suitable habitat for fish and other aquatic life and wildlife; recreation; navigation; industrial and agricultural water supply.

## **SOILS & GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS**

According to the original 1940 construction plans, the existing North bridge abutments and wingwalls are supported by spread footings founded on bedrock. The existing South bridge abutments and wingwalls are supported on steel piles which bear on bedrock. Soil boring information is shown in the original construction plans on bridge sheet 1 of 7 and confirms that rock was found near the bottom of footing elevations. Piers 1 and 2 are founded on piles while the remaining are founded on bedrock. Considering that three alternates proposed are rehabilitations of the existing bridge, the existing abutments, footings, and pier bents are anticipated to be able to support loads from the proposed rehabilitation. Geotechnical investigations will performed as part of the design phase, as necessary.



## **HYDRAULICS**

No watercourses are located under the bridge. However, due to its proximity to Long Island Sound, the bridge is located within a FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area, Zone VE and AE which are a coastal flood zone with velocity (wave action) and a determined base flood (VE) and a determined base flood (AE 500-year); therefore any fill within the coastal flood zone will require a DEEP FMC-MOU filed with DOT Hydraulics and Drainage unit. A DEEP Coastal Permit will be investigated during the design phase to determine if it is required.

## SCOUR

According to the inspection report dated January 19, 2020, the erosion scour for the site is very good "8". This rating indicates the bridge foundations have been determined to be stable. The inspection report has assigned the Item 113 Scour Critical Rating an "N", indicating that channel scour is not a concern at this bridge site, as the bridge is not located over a watercourse.

## LOAD RATING

The existing bridge is not posted for live load restriction. A load rating performed by Stantec dated May 23, 2019 and updated by CTDOT in May, 2021 determined the following governing live load ratings for an AASHTO HL-93 vehicle:

Inventory HL-93 Pair Rating	0.50
Operating HL-93 Pair Rating	0.66
Legal (CT-P380)	0.68

Governing ratings for the structure are the result of lateral torsional buckling of the beam sections in span 8 of the structure. The capacity of the structure is reduced over pier 7 in span 8 because the beam sections are non-prismatic. Based on a review of the 1990 rehabilitation plans, the girder sections in span 7 consists of rolled W36x160 and have been reduced to W24x162 rolled sections in Span 8 over Cutler Street to increase the vertical under clearance of the bridge. The existing bridge is not posted for live load restrictions. It is recommended that the bridge be added to the agenda for the next Load Rating Committee meeting, if it has not yet been discussed by the committee.

## **SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS**

The CTDOT Bridge Design Manual Section 3.8 requires bridge rehabilitation projects to be designed in accordance with the latest AASHTO LRFD Specifications. Per AASHTO LRFD Section 4.7.4.1, bridges in Seismic Zone 1 need not be analyzed for seismic loads, regardless of their operational classification and geometry, but the minimum requirements specified in Sections 3.10.9 and 4.7.4.4 shall apply. These requirements are generally limited to correcting deficiencies in support length and providing adequate restraint for seismic forces at the bearings to prevent superstructure collapse.

## **REHABILITATION ALTERNATES**

As discussed previously, the existing substructure is in a poor condition as a result of deteriorations to the existing steel pier caps. Based on a review of existing site and bridge conditions, a substructure repair or replacement is warranted to address all the deficiencies, in lieu of a full bridge replacement, for the following reasons:

- The existing substructure is in poor condition due to the steel pier caps. The rehabilitation alternates will need to address the deterioration conditions found at critical and semi-critical locations of the existing steel pier caps.
- The existing deck is in good condition and can be reused.
- The existing superstructure is in fair condition and can be repaired and reused.
- The existing abutments and pier bent footings are founded on piles or directly on bedrock and have no scour/settlement concerns at the site.
- Substructure repairs or replacement will have significantly less impacts on local traffic, railroad operations, property under the existing bridge, and utilities compared to a full replacement involving full demolition and reconstruction of the superstructure and substructure.
- The approach rail systems at all four corners of the existing bridge does not meet current design standards.

Three bridge rehabilitations and one full bridge replacement alternates described below were evaluated based on the aforementioned project goals.

#### Alternate 1 – Pier Cap Rehabilitation, Pier 4 Replacement and Girder Strengthening

This alternate includes installing reinforcing steel and placing concrete in the space between the transverse steel pier cap girders, at Piers 1 through 3 and Piers 5 through 7. Holes will need to be cut through the existing vertical plate members, between the webs of the girders, to allow for the reinforcing steel to run the full length of the pier cap. The addition of this reinforced concrete to the pier cap will address the loss of capacity due to steel section losses. The capacity of the existing steel pier columns and bracing will need to be checked due to the additional weight. This work can be performed while traffic remains on the bridge and without requiring the superstructure to be jacked at these locations. Pier 4 will be replaced in it's entirety with a reinforced concrete pier due to the deterioration that exists on the steel element below the pier cap including the pin bearings at the footings. The concrete footings will remain. The superstructure will need to be jacked at this location to replace the pier but traffic can remain on the bridge during this work.

All existing steel pin bearings will be cleaned and painted. The existing rocker bearings at the ship lap joint over Pier 4 will be replaced with elastomeric bearings. Additional transverse restraint is not proposed at the piers and abutments due to the existing bearings being able to resist transverse seismic loads. New transverse restraint is required at the shiplap joints. The existing asphaltic plug joints at both abutments will be replaced with a sawed and sealed pavement joint. The existing modular joint over Pier 4 will be replace with a new prefabricated expansion joint.

Strenghtening of the Span 8 girders over Cutler Street in order to bring the load rating factors above 1.2 will occur by providing additional lateral restraint at the depth transition. This alternate also includes minor steel repairs and painting of the beam ends, as well as installation of shields between the girders and the catenary wires to prevent arcing. Concrete patching and crack repairs of the abutments, wingwalls and stairs, along with application of penetrating sealer to sidewalks, parapets and substructure faces within the spray zone is also incuded. The parapet mounted fence will be repaired, as necessary.



The existing metal beam rail along the approaches to the bridge and will be maintained. No modifications will be made to the intersections nor the existing geometry of the bridge.

Sketches depicting the proposed Roadway Plan, Profile, Bridge Plan, Elevation and Cross Section for this alternate are attached as **Appendix D**.

Depending on the ownership of the property below the bridge, temporary construction easements may be required or lease agreements may need to be temporarily suspended. An easement to work within and over the Amtrak ROW will be required. Utilities will not be impacted with this alternate. The rehabilitation is estimated to cost approximately \$7,492,000. The service life of the rehabilitated structure is estimated to be approximately 15 to 20 years, at which time deck patching will be required and repairs to the remaining steel bent piers will likely be required.

Temporary alternating one-way traffic patterns will be required for the joint work. The anticipated duration of construction is 8 months.

In comparison with Alternates 2, 3 and 4, this alternate offers the following major advantages and disadvantages:

#### Advantages:

- Least expensive alternate.
- Shortest construction duration.
- > Eliminates the need to repair deterioration on the riveted steel pier caps.
- Eliminates the need to repair the portions of the bent below the pier cap, including the pin bearings at the base of Pier 4.
- Eliminates the need to temporarily support the superstructure for repairs at all piers except Pier 4.
- > Has very little impact to traffic on Alpha Avenue. Only joint work impacts traffic.
- > Only work at Pier 4 has sigificant impacts to Amtrak.
- No impacts to utilities.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- Shortest extended service life.
- Portions of Piers 1 through 3 and 5 through 7 below the pier caps will need to be repaired by traditional methods which can be difficult due to the riveted members.
- > The superstructure will need to be temporarily supported at Pier 4, which is within the Amtrak ROW.
- > No work to the deck, though it does show some signs of deterioration.
- > The bridge remains its current length, therefore requiring higher future maintenance costs.
- > The substandard vertical clearance over Amtrak remains.

#### Alternate 2 – Pier Replacement and Girder Strengthening

This alternate includes replacing all 7 of the existing piers with concrete piers, while reusing the existing footings. All other work included in Alternate 1 will be included under this alternate. Sketches depicting the proposed Roadway Plan, Profile, Bridge Plan, Elevation and Cross Section for this alternate are attached as **Appendix D**.

The ROW needs and utility impacts are the same as Alternate 1.

The rehabilitation is estimated to cost approximately \$8,640,000. The service life of the rehabilitated structure is estimated to be approximately 15 to 20 years, at which time deck patching will be required.

Temporary alternating one-way traffic patterns will be required for the joint work. The anticipated duration of construction is 8 months.

The anticipated duration of construction is 12 months.

In comparison with Alternates 1, 3 and 4, this alternate offers the following major advantages and disadvantages:

#### Advantages:

- > Eliminates the need to repair deterioration on the riveted steel pier caps.
- Eliminates the need to repair the portions of the bents below the pier cap, including the pin bearings at the base of all Piers and extends the substructure service life.
- > Has very little impact to traffic on Alpha Avenue. Only joint work impacts traffic.
- > Only work at Pier 4 has sigificant impacts to Amtrak.
- No impacts to utilities.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- More expensive than Alternate 1.
- > Has a longer construction duration than Alternate 1.
- > The superstructure will need to be temporarily supported at all piers for their replacement.
- > No work to the deck, though it does show some signs of deterioration.
- > The bridge remains its current length, therefore requiring higher future maintenance costs.
- > The substandard vertical clearance over Amtrak remains.

#### Alternate 3 – Pier Replacement, Girder Strengthening and Deck Patching

This alternate includes removal of the existing bituminous ovelay and membrane and patching of the deck. A new spray applied waterproof membrane and a new bituminous overlay will be placed on the deck. Concrete deterioration in the sidewalk and parapets will be replaced. The approach guiderails will be replaced with MASH complient MBR. All other work included in Alternate 2 will be included under this alternate. Sketches depicting the proposed Roadway Plan, Profile, Bridge Plan, Elevation and Cross Section for this alternate are attached as **Appendix D**.

Temporary alternating one-way traffic patterns will be required for the deck work and joint work. The anticipated duration of construction is 12 months.

The ROW needs and utility impacts are the same as alternate 1.

The rehabilitation is estimated to cost approximately \$13,305,000. The service life of the rehabilitated structure is estimated to be approximately 50 years at which point replacement of the existing beams, that are currently 107 years old, may be warranted.

In comparison with Alternates 1, 2, and 4, this alternate offers the following major advantages and disadvantages:

#### Advantages:

- > Eliminates the need to repair deterioration on the riveted steel pier caps.
- Eliminates the need to repair the portions of the bents below the pier cap, including the pin bearings at the base of all Piers and extends the substructure service life.
- Strengthens girders over Cutler Street bringing it up to standard.

- Deck deterioration will be repaired and a new spray applied membrane will and overlay will increase the service life.
- > Deteriorated concrete in the sidewalks and parapets will be repaired.
- > Replacement of the substandard parapet height.
- > The substandard approach guiderails will be replaced.
- No impacts to utilities.

#### **Disadvantages:**

- More expensive than Alternates 1 and 2.
- > Impact to traffic on Alpha Avenue will be significantly more than Alternates 1 and 2.
- > Deck patching in Span 4 has the potential to impacts Amtrak.
- > The superstructure will need to be temporarily supported at all piers for their replacement.
- > The bridge remains its current length, therefore requiring higher future maintenance costs.
- > The substandard vertical clearance over Amtrak remains.

## Alternate 4– Bridge Replacement with Removal of 6 spans

This alternate fully replaces the existing bridge essentially along the same alignment. This alternate maintains the existing bridge width while raising the profile to meet minimum vertical clearance over the Amtrak Railroad of 22'-6". The new bridge will have two spans with one over Amtrak and one over the boat yard lot immediately south of Amtrak. A new concrete pier will be located south of the Amtrak ROW. The other six spans of the existing bridge will be filled using GRS embankments and facing walls below the spans prior to removing a portion of the bridge. MSE walls can be swapped out instead of the GRS walls based on input from a Geotechnical investigation . The superstructure will use relatively shallow steel beams, continuous over the pier, to accommodate the minimum vertical clearance and minimum K-value for the roadway design speed. A typical reinforced concrete deck with sidewalks and parapets on both sides will be used. The deck will be protected with a spray applied membrane and 3" of bituminous pavement. A protective fence will be mounted to the parapet and solid panels will be used over electrified Amtrak.

Further staging details are located later in this section write-up. Sketches depicting the proposed Bridge Plan, Elevation and Cross Section for this alternate are attached as **Appendix D**.

This alternate requires permanent ROW takes for property located beneath the bridge. These include local roads, parking lots, a part of a building and a portion of a boat yard. Both Mathews Street/Main Street and Cutler Street will no longer pass under Alpha Avenue and will be dead ended at the new embankment. The communication wires along Cutler Street, below the bridge, will need to be placed in conduits below the new embankment, raised up on higher poles to cross over Alpha Avenue or permanently relocated.

The proposed structure and filling of the spans is anticipated to require 12 months. The rehabilitation is estimated to cost approximately \$25,483,000 and the new bridge will have a service life of 75 years.

In comparison with Alternates 1, 2 and 3, this alternate offers the following major advantages and disadvantages:

#### Advantages:

- New bridge has a 75-year service life.
- > Achieves minimum vertical clearance standard for Amtrak Railroad.
- No deck joint over the pier.
- > The substandard approach guiderails will be replaced.

- > The shorter bridge has less future maintenance costs.
- > Lowest life cycle cost over a 75-year period.

#### Disadvantages:

- Most expensive alternate.
- > Has significant impacts to traffic on Alpha Avenue.
- > Has significant impacts to Amtrak.
- Most significant impacts to properties below the bridge including a portion of a building and parking areas.
- > Two local roads will need to dead end at the new embankment.
- Utilities below the bridge will need to be permanently relocated. Utilities passing over the bridge may need to be temporarily relocated.

#### **Cost Considerations**

**Appendix E** contains an itemized cost estimate for each alternate. The table below provides a summary of the total costs.

<b>Rehabilitation Alternates</b>	Structure Cost	Highway Cost	Rounded Total Cost (Including other & incidentals)
1- Pier Cap Rehabilitation, Pier 4			
Replacement and Girder	\$2,683,300	\$185,500	\$7,492,000
Strengthening			
2 - Pier Replacement and Girder Strengthening	\$3,142,300	\$185,500	\$8,640,000
3- Pier Replacement, Girder Strengthening and Deck Patching	\$4,737,400	\$589,200	\$13,305,000
4 - Bridges Replacement with Removal of 6 Spans	\$9,034,700	\$1,389,000	\$25,483,000

# CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE & MAINTENANCE AND PROTECTION OF TRAFFIC

The proposed maintance and protection of traffic (MPT) for **Alternates 1 and 2** is relatively straightforward. Only the replacement of the deck joints will impact traffic on Alpha Avenue. This work will be performed using temporary off peak lane and shoulder closures with alternating 1-way traffic control. Strengthening of the girders within Span 8 can be performed in the unpaved area adjacent to Cutler Street and will have little to no impact on traffic. The rehabilitation of the pier caps, coating of deck underside exposed rebar, steel repairs to the girders and pier bents will impact the vacant property below the bridge. Any activities currently happening below those spans will need to be temporarily suspended while the Contractor performs their work. The replacement of all piers in Alternate 2 will have longer and more significant impact to those areas. The substructure concrete



patching will minimally impact the adjacent roads as there is some usable width between the substructure and the travelways.

The replacement of Pier 4 and the replacement of the bearings above Pier 4, the installation of shields over the catenary wires, and steel repairs in Span 4 will impact rail traffic. Pier 4 is within the Amtrak ROW but is approximately 24' from the center of the adjacent track. By reusing the existing foundation, deep excavation adjacent to the track can be prevented. It may be possible to install a temporary safety fence between the pier and the the track to allow work to occur without requiring Amtrak flagmen and track outages. The temporary support of the superstructure will need to be located on the north side of the pier, away from the tracks. All other work within Span 4 will likely need to be done at night during times when Amtrak can provide track outages and de-energize the catenaries.

The proposed MPT for **Alternate 3** will be the same as for Alternates 1 and 2, but will have additional impacts to traffic on Alpha Avenue and to pedestrians. The removal of the existing bituminous overlay; the patching of the deck, sidewalks, stairs and parapets; the application of the membrane and the placement of the new bituionus overlay will require multiple off peak closures of shoulders and lanes to perform the work. During these closure traffic will be maintained in a single lane of alternating 1-way traffic controlled by flaggers. Traffic will need to ride on the bare concrete deck to utilize off peak closures. Pedestrians can be routed to the sidewalk on the other side of the bridge when sidewalk and parapet work is performed on one side.

The proposed construction sequence and MPT for **Alternate 4** has significantly more impacts to vehiclular traffic, pedestrians, rail traffic and the properties below the bridge. The areas below the existing bridge will be impacted from the beginning of construction and many of them permanently after construction is complete. During construction the areas (vacant property and roadways) under all existing spans, except Span 4, will not be a available for public use. Track outages and de-energization of the catenaries will be necessary for demolition work and installation of new components over the tracks and are anticipated to only be available overnight and for relatively short durations.

The suggested general sequence is a follows:

- Build a new pier south of Pier 3 under the existing bridge.
- Build new abutments south of Pier 2 and north of Pier 4 under the existing bridge.
- Place embakment fill with MSE walls within the remaining spans under the existing bridge, for the approach roadways to the new bridge. The existing piers will be abandoned in place within the fill.
- Using 1 lane of alternating 1-way traffic on the existing bridge.
  - Remove half of the existing superstructure above the areas of new embankment fill.
  - Cut the top of the existing piers down to the top of the placed fill.
  - Place additional fill in the approach areas up the the roadway level.
  - Place temporary pavement.
  - The 4 bullets above may need to happen in multiple substages depending on how long the alternating 1-way traffic can be maintained as a continuous operation.
    - If multiple substages are required, then the superstructure will need to be cut at existing
      pier locations and TERS will be needed to retain embankment fill at the back of the piers
      to allow a transition from the new approach roadway to the remaining portion of the
      existing superstructure. Temporary barrier curb will also be required along the edges of
      the new approach roadways.
  - Remove half of the superstructure in existing Spans 3 & 4.
  - Construct the tops of the new abutments and pier.

- o Erect the new 2 span superstructure.
  - PBUs can likely be used to speed construction of these 2 spans.
- o Shift traffic to the newly constructed half of the bridge and approach roadways.
- Repeat the sequence for the other half of the bridge.
- Construct the final barrier walls and guiderail on the approaches.
- Place the final paving and pavement markings.

## **OTHER REHABILITATION ALTERNATES**

The following alternates were qualitatively evaluated. However, a preliminary review indicated several drawbacks with the alternates, and they were therefore not investigated further for a quantitative evaluation.

- 1. Traditional steel repairs for the built up pier caps consisting of adding repair plates over areas of deteriorarion or replacing deteriorated members. This method requires lots of custom fabrication and is time consuming due to the existing rivet patterns. This method will likely require temporary support of the superstructure if existing members need to be removed and replaced.
- 2. Fully removing the existing pier caps and replacing with new steel pier caps. This will require temporary support of the superstructure.
- 3. Girder modifications over Amtrak replace the girders over Amtrak with a shallower depth and increase the number of girders to provide the required live load capacity. This rehab would improve the MVC over Amtrak by 6".
- 4. Fully encase the existing piers in concrete this would allow for a significantly longer lifespan and achieve similar results to Alterative 3.
- 5. Overbuild a new structure or create a new geometry in order to minimize impact to traveling public.
- 6. Bridge at a new location or temporary at grade crossing were considered for temporary traffic handling.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR REHABILITATION**

Based on the engineering analysis for this structure and deliberation of the previously described alternates, CHA recommends **Alternate 3** – Pier Replacement with Concrete Piers, Girder Strengthening and Deck Patching as the preferred alternate for the rehabilitation of Bridge No. 03906. This alternate will address the bridge substructure deficiencies and extend their service life by eliminating the steel bents which typically require more maintenance than concrete piers. This alternate will address possible hidden deterioration in the concrete deck. This alternate has relatively minimal impacts to traffic on Alpha Avenue, which is the only way in and out of the Borough of Stonington, and minimal impacts to rail traffic.

Important Note: The life cycle cost analysis shows that a full replacement (Alternate 4) would be the least expensive option over a 75-year period.

## UTILITY / DRAINAGE / ENVIRONMENTAL / PROPERTY IMPACTS (RECOMMENDED ALTERNATE)

The recommended alternate is anticipated to have no impacts to existing aerial utilities. CTDOT policy is to remove overhead wires that are attached to the underside of bridges, whenever possible. The Utility Companies could be required to relocate their wires as part of this project if desired. There would be no cost to the State as



the bridge is carrying a local road and Utility Companies get zero reimbursement in this situation. There are no anticipated impacts to the storm drainage system, however its location to with respect to temporary shoring tower locations will need to be investigated during design.

There are no wetlands and watercourses within the project limits. However the project site is within the 100 year flood zone. The project does not propose any earthen fill within the project limits however the volume of the new concrete piers will be grated than the existing steel bent piers. The total acreage of tree clearing and number of trees greater than three inches in diameter requiring removal will also need to be quantified by the Designer of Record to determine the impact on the Northern Long Eared Bat species but this is anticuipated to be very few trees.

Depending on the ownership of the propery under the bridge, impacts to private property will vary. The project may require Temporary Construction Easements, Rights of Access, or Termination of Lease Agreements. If the property below the bridge is not part of the Town's ROW, CTDOT may want to permanently acquire this as a ROW for Alpha Avenue. An Encroachment Permit will be required for the work within the Amtrak ROW.

# SUBSTANDARD FEATURES & POTENTIAL DESIGN EXCEPTIONS (RECOMMENDED ALTERNATE)

Based on a review of the controlling design criteria identified in the CTDOT Highway Design Manual for a Non-Freeway Urban Collector 3R project, six elements, namely the vertical Stopping Sight Distance (SSD), sag curve K-value, cross slope (shoulder), Intersection Sight Distance (ISD) from Water Street, Structural Capacity and Minimum Vertical Clearance (roadway & railroad), have been identified as not meeting current design standards. The recommended alternate will not improve any of these to current standards, other than the Structural Capacity, however none of these elements will be made worse by the project. Under the Bridge to Remain in Place criteria, the only elements requiring formal Design Exception approval are: Horizonatal and Vertical Clearance under the bridge and Horizontal Clearance in the bridge. The Vertical Clearance is under the bridge is substandard and will require a formal Design Exception. The vertical clearance over the railroad does not meet CTDOT standards nor Amtrak standards. A Design Exception approval will be required from both CTDOT and Amtrak. The recommended alternate does meet the criteria of the applicable State Statute for vertical clearance by maintaining the existing, so a legislative exception will not be required.



## **APPENDICES**

Appendix A	<ul> <li>Photographs</li> </ul>
Appendix B	<ul> <li>Original Bridge Construction Plans</li> </ul>
Appendix C	<ul> <li>Existing Bridge Sketches</li> </ul>
Appendix D	<ul> <li>Rehabilitation Alternate Sketches</li> </ul>
Appendix E	- Rehabilitation Alternate Cost Comparisons
Appendix F	<ul> <li>2020 CTDOT Inspection Report</li> </ul>
Appendix G	<ul> <li>Life Cycle Cost Analysis</li> </ul>



Appendix A: Photographs





Photo 1: West elevation of bridge over Matthews/Main Street



Photo 2: East elevation of bridge over Matthews/Main Street



Photo 3: East elevation of bridge over Cutler Street.



Photo 4: West elevation of bridge over Culter Street.





Photo 5: Condition of deck overlay showing transverse and longitudinal cracks (typical).



Photo 6: Condition of modular expansion joint with concrete headers over pier bend 4.



Photo 7: Condition of asphaltic plug joints over abutments. Note adhesion crack and settlement of joints (typical).



Photo 8: Condition of southeast stairwell showing spalled concrete and missing hand rail post.





Photo 9: Bridge deck weephole drains extended to prevent drainage onto structure below (location in photo over pier bent 4).



Photo 10: Close up of missing lighting standard at Span 3 west parapet.





Photo 11: Condition of bridge parapets and vinyl coated chain link fencing.



Photo 12: Sidewalk located on south side of bridge (looking North). Isolated areas on sealant and concrete missing behind the granite curbing (typical).





Photo 13: Typical condition of fixed rocker bearings.



Photo 14: Expansion bearings over pier bent 4. No paint over the nuts or bearing pins (typical).



Photo 15: Minor Collision scrape at Pier Cap 3.



Photo 16: Typical Span 4 girder 2" diameter x 1/8" deep section loss due to electrical arcing over Amtrak rail lines.



Photo 17: Underside of concrete deck. Random transverse cracks, active efflorescence, and isolated spalling. (Span 6 Girders 8 and 9 in photo).



Photo 18: Abutment 1 and Wingwall 1A adjacent to Matthews/Main Street.



Photo 19: Wingwall 1B adjacent to stairway.



Photo 20: Abutment 2 adjacent to Cutler Street. Note scrape marks on bottom flange of Girder G2 painted over in top right of photo.





Photo 21: View under Span 8 showing flange transition and field splices adjacent to Pier 7.



Photo 22: Facia of Girder G9 in Span 8 showing areas of peeling paint and surface rust near field splice.




Photo 23: Wingwall 2B showing bridge number and vertical under clearance sign.



Photo 24: View of Pier Cap 6 from south side.





Photo 25: Pier Cap 6 from North side showing top and bottom section losses and random missing rivets..



Photo 26: Pier Cap 4 North side located within Amtrak fence line.



Photo 27: Pier Cap 6 top and bottom flange deterioration over center column. Photo taken from South side of pier.



Photo 28: Pier bent bearing under pier 4 showing heavy laminar rust and pack rusting between plates.





Photo 29: Tree growing beside bearing pedestal under Pier 5.



Photo 30: Elevation of Pier 3 column and bearing. Note laminated rust and section losses on interior column legs near bases.





Photo 31: South bridge approach at intersection with Water Street (looking South).



Photo 32: North bridge approach looking north towards US Route 1A.





Photo 33: Metal Beam rail with steel posts and block outs. Typical for all approach corners.



Photo 34: Guiderail end treatment and southwest approach embankment.





Photo 35: Portion of existing building located under span 7 and pier 7 (looking North).



Appendix B: Original Bridge Construction Plans





HARBOR -MISCELLANEOUS NEW CONSTRUCTION-Where directed, place 6" Loose Gravel Jurface on drives and barway STONINGTON approaches. sto. 0-13 to 3+10.5 on right and 0+66 to 3+10.5 on left-construct concrete Side walk and Plain Concrete Curbing. sto. 0+75 to 3+10 on right and 0+78 to 3+10 on left. construct Wire Rope Railing. Wire Rope Railing. Sto. 2+82 to 3+70 Construct Plain & curbing under proposed Vioduct as shown on plans of as directed. Sto. 2+82 to 3+70 and 3-73 to 4+19 - construct Bituminous Concrete Sidewolk under proposed Vioduct as shown on plans Concrete Sidewolk undergroposed Maduct as shown on pions or as directed. sta. 3+10.5 to 3+35.5 - Construct Steel I. Beam Viaduct as shown in detail on Sheets Nos 26,27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32 4, 33 Sta. 3+12 to 4+42 - Construct Plain Concrete Curbing under proposed Viaduct as shown on plans or as directed. Sta. 5+58 Left and 6+09 Left - Construct Barcicodes across Main Street. For detail of Barricodes see Sheet No. 4. Sta. 9+38 Left - Construct Bit. Concrete Sidewalk At Foot Of Proposed Conc. Steps As Shown On Plans Or the Directed SHLD 7/10 0/10 011+ Gravel Fill Jub-base shall be placed at all Grade points, as dire whether or not noted on the plans. NOT \*/68 50+235 5-27-55 53 200-24" ACCMP 32' -15" RCP 1 CB. 3 M.H. G'MPI. Location for 3'+4' (E'Mpl. construction Jign Gote Sever G'Mpl. p B.M. No. 1 Elev. G.4GG Cross cut on S.E.Corner 1st step at "190 Water St. 24"Mpl. 41683 e4"Mol. BEGINNING OF CONSTRUCTION F. A.G.M. 1 12-18 R.C.P.Insta 57A. 0+0 "10"Mpl. Ø/Hydront Mar to of Roodway Curb. Type **V**#1684 Consta. Sto. 3+ 10.5 , Bit. Macadam " A"M +5° 72-15"R.C.P.Instd. / Gatch Basin. Const'd. 0"Mpl. 1204 15 "R.C.P.Inst'd. Cont Std. Endwall Consta uto.of Roodway - Stones (1) 5" Add. 12 S"Apple 30" Apple Mary e4"Apple iz" Apple ao" Elm tot ne to \*1G81 i⊂"Apple ③ Location for 3'+4' Construction Jign 24"Apple ıo"Apple 62 24" Beech Ð n"Apple eo" Mpl. House Dis Mpl. ) 15" Pine ~ Orrapple " 20" Horse Chestnut 1"Mpl ۲ 6516 15"Apple 30"EIm 18"Elm 1687 15"Apple 🕑 24" Elm Is"Mpl. Á#1799 Well Iron Roil Fence - Stone Steps 0.*H*. 0 3 Elm 24"BEEKH ð Mol 30'EIM 12" Birch #2047 SEM (3) 24" Elm Sajmpi. 20"Mp) 5"Hemlock #1800 Stone 15"7d pi 15 Pine 12"Mp1 \$ 24"Mpl. 8"Pine 11 10"Mpl. We'NAN. ろ / @ 18" Mpl. 10"Mpl. ISMOI. 18" MpT. M.P. 204 LO 36"EIM 137 20





×

	DIST. NO.	STATE	TOWN	FED. AID PROJ. NO.	FISCAL YEAR 1939	NO.	SHEET NO.	SHEETS
		57	ONINGTO	N F.C	 5. C.			
							e degeneration of	
								, T
								E1,40
		4	ength of	F V.C. =	<i>45</i> 0	Ft.		
								<b>P</b> - <b>P</b>
								EI. 30
	0							
	8							
2	9							
	Ś							
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
				ار میں اور	a a secondar a secondar a secondar a			EI. 20
<b>I</b> %								
~~9e								
House								
					anna an ann an an an an an an an an an a			EI. 10
Cotter St								
	Sone.							
<del>~</del>    <del>``````````````````````````````````</del>								
No Ectre	of Conc.							
								EL O
								U
				and a second sec				
	ید و به توسیع کار بیش می ۱۹۹۵ - ۲۰۰۵ می ایس این ۱۹۹۵ - ۲۰۰۹ - ۲۰۰۹ میلید ۱۹۹۵ - ۲۰۰۹ - ۲۰۰۹ میلید ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۰۰۹ - ۲۰۰۹ میلید ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۰۰۹ - ۲۰۰۹ میلید ۱۹۹۹ - ۲۰۰۹ - ۲۰۰۹ میلید							(
								: :
								:
								8
							nggan Ng Arta constant o	EI10
								- - - - -
				ر بر همیشد که مراجع در این بر می این می این می این می این				and the second se

the Containing of



otted ecked Plotted Grades plo Grades ch B. Ms. not ХO NOTE NO.



END OF CONSTRUCTION NON-PARTICIPATING STA. 37+06 Pasture TIES AND BASE LINES AS CONSTRUCTED SHOWN IN RED. Palsture 1112111211121112111211121112111211 Location for 8'x 8' Construction Sign 6"P.B.C.C.M.P. Found. U'Drain Inst'd. 6"B.C.C.M.P.U'Drain Drive Constd. ZOutlet Inst'd. r Limits of Ro. 6"P.B.C.C.M.P. Found. SN.ET. U'Drain Inst'd. Ledge ₹602 Nailsi THE SHI SHI. W.R. Rail - 37 Center Line Bituminous Macadam Route U.J.- 1 -ICCM.P. ------CHD Limits of Roadway -Drive Constd Highwoy Line-M.P.Co Approach Const'd. - ao Willow C.HD 60'-18"R.C.P. Inst'd. -₩.P. +#1961 -Jiqns C.H.D. ELIZABETH J. SPEARS Drainage Right of Way Acquired. State STA: 31+05 Construct Poved Leokoff on Rt: Sta: 31+12 Install GA'-15" RC.P. under proposed Road and 40'18"R.C.P. under proposed Approach. Const. 3td. Catch Basin Lt., Type "D"Endwall between the two pipes and 5td. Endwall of outlet. Excavate ditch atoutlet. Sta: 31+12 to 33+59 Lt. Install Foundation Underdroin with G"Perf. B.C.C.M.P. and autlet thru proposed endwall Lt. of Sta. 33+75 with 6"Rec. M.P. and autlet Sta: 31+12 to 32+17, Rt of Side Road Approach Sta: 32+0 Remove present culvert under alcher all reset and repoint Wire Rope Roiling. Sta: 32+75 Orade Approach to existing road as shown on Plon or as directed and construct 8"8" Bitominous Macadom Surface. Sta: 33+77 Install Go'16" Perf. Construct 3td. Endwall Lt. 4 Rt. Sta: 33+90 sta: 33+90 model for alcher alc Length of V.C. 200' END OF CONSTRUCTION STA. 37+06 Grade as Constd. +0.560% Prop. Grade for 12"C.M.F PV.1. 33+85 Approach El. 19.200 60'-18 R.C.P. Inst'd. 38 37 34 35 36





137 20

PRINTED ON HT" TRACING CLOTH & E CO., N. Y. •

FED. ROAD DIST. NO.	STATE     TOWN     FED. AID PROJ. NO.     FISCAL YEAR     ROUTE     SHEET     TOTAL SHEETS
9 	CUININ.DIONINGTON FAGM. 1 1939 26 36
	27.12 23
	Gr 5.6 %
Elev. 8.0	Post Road 2
<i>rElev-6.5</i> ±	Elev9.26 ±
80'-0"	80°.0"
	Abut Abut
	The second secon
Ben :	Kamp to Pres. Walk.
the second se	
	le 34
	22 States
	K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K K
ð	1 22
A 28 3	1 100 1 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X
20 21 261 2586 24	8th 1237 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12
o 175' 200' 225' 250'	275' 300' N GW
80:0"	82-6" 17:6"
votes listed here pertain ton Sheets 1 to 9	SUMMARY OF BRIDGE QUANTITIES
Specifications: Conn. State Highway Dept. 1935 ive Load: H-20 Loading Impact 50/1+125 (Virduct)	Bridge Excovation C.Y. 2432
$100^{\#/\pi'}$ (Foot Bridge)	Class "A" Concrete C.Y. 1886
.1055 A concrete to be used thrugut except for Concrete End Posts on Viaduct.	12 Jt. Filler (cork or rubber) S.F. 717 Deformed Steel Bars 1.85 219973
Paintorcing Steel to consist of Deformed Steel Bars of an approved type.	Structural Steel.LBS1267175Steel Sheet Piling (Left in place)LBS25000
It. Filler is to be either cork or rubber. Bevels in Concrete shall be 1"x1" on Super-	Steel Castings Lbs. 41500 Steel Bearing Piles 143890
structure & Foot Bridge, 11/2" × 11/2" on Piers and 2" × 2" on Abuts	Driving Steel Bearing Piles L.F. 2630 Loading Test Piles No. 2
the Quantities are approximate only and	Vaterproof Pointing for Viaduct. L.F. 1039 6
responsibility of checking them in	Woven Wire Fencing
preparing his bia. Daint. One shop coat of Red Lead and two Field	Woven Wire Fencing for Foot Bridge L.F. 149-6 Copper Flashing - L.85 269
Coats, color to be determined later. All Back filling in front of abutments shall be completed	Drains (for Foot Bridge) Ea. 14
before any fill in back of abutments is placed.	Wrought Iron (for Blast Plates Lbs. 7350
Note's for Sheet 2,3,&4 Broken Stong for Praise to be Grade"(" Size")"	Broken Stone (tor Urains) Tons. 269 Portland Cement Bbls 2907
ost of 4"C.I. Pipe for Drains to be included	Stair Treads 5"x3/8"x GLO" Ed. 100 Stair Treads 5"x3/8"x GLO" Ed. 6 Tron Railing for Conc Stairway L.F. 85.6"
In General Cost of Contract Naterproof Paint (2 coats Asphalt) is to be applied	STATE HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT
to back of Abut. trom Top of Footing to Top of Backwall and on back of Wings from Top of	TOMAN OF STONMALETON
Footing to within one foot of Top of Wing. All Reinf. Bars in East Abut. & Winas to be prefixed	PROPOSED
"E" and all Bars for West Abut. & Wings to be prefixed with "W"	GRADE CROSSING ELIMINATION
processing results real	OVER
REVISIONS	N.Y. N.H. & H. R. R.
NO. DATE DESCRIPTION	GENEDAL DRAWING
1. 7/10/39 Quantity Summary changed.	
Stairways added	SCALES 3/16 = 1 ft 1"= 20'- 1"= 200' PROJECT NO. 137-25-03
2 1/4/40 Diophragm 3 6/24/40 Item of Deformed Steel Bar	MADE BY         K.S.U.         DATE 3-2-39           T         CHECKED BY         G.S.J.         DATE 3-23-39
	APPROVEDDATEOF_7



# CONNECTICUT **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

	· · · · · ·			
	LIST OF DRAWINGS		LIST OF STANDARD DRAWINGS	
SHEET NO.	TITLE	DRAWING NO.	STANDARD DRAWINGS	EH.W.A. APPROVAL DATE.
1	TITLE SHEET	222-D	SIDEWALKS AND DRIVES	3-22-72
2	DETAILED ESTIMATE SHEET	228 - D	STEEL FRAME AND GRATE-TYPE A FOR TYPE "C". "C-G" & C-L" CATCH BASIN	2-25-76
3-4	TYPICAL CROSS SECTION & MISC. DETAILS	228-C	TYPE "C-L" CATCH BASIN / TYPE "C-L" DROP INLET	2 - 18 - 76
5-8	PLANS & PROFILES	228-E	TYPE "C" CATCH BASIN/TYPE "C" DROP INLET	2-18-76
9-42	BRIDGE PLANS	507- D	TYPE "C" CATCH BASIN DOUBLE GRATE-TYPE I,I	7-30-79
43-44	CROSS SECTIONS	601 - A	FIGURES FOR DATES ON BRIDGE PARAPETS	10-15-86
54- 61	TRAFFIC CONTROL PLANS & STAGE CROSS SECTIONS	652-A	METAL & REINFORCED CONCRETE CULVERT END	12 - 29 - 78
62 - 66	EMERGENCY CROSSING PLANS	811 - A	CURBING	10-15-86
67- 74	TRAFFIC SIGNING PLANS	910 - A	METAL BEAM RAIL (TYPE R-B) & (TYPE MD-B)	3-16-79
i i		910 — E	METAL BEAM RAIL (TYPE R-I) TREATMENT AT LEADING END OF BRIDGE AND AT FIXED DEJECT	
		911 – B	METAL BEAM RAIL (TYPE R-B) ATTACHMENT TO BRIDGE PARAPET	,
		651- A	TYPICAL C.C. M. PIPE INSTALLATIONS IN EARTH AND ROCK SLOPES AND BEDDING FOR CULVERTS	10-15-86
		925-A	PAVEMENT FOR RAILING	9-1-88
		822-A	PRECAST CONCRETE MEDIAN BARRIER CURB FOR TEMPORARY TRAFFIC CONTROL	4-24-87
		911-F	END ANCHORAGES TYPE II, METAL BEAM RAIL TYPES R-I, MD-I AND R-B	- 87

### STANDARD CONVENTIONS

### man have

Bit. Conc. Lip Curb Curb

stated whether Stone or Conc. Stone Wall

Concrete Walk -------------

Walk - Tar, Gravel or Earth Name of Co. Existing RR, Tracks

------Proposed R.R. Tracks Taking Line

Taking Line NA ar NA Line Easement Line

Property Line Town Line

Picket Fence Board Fence

Wire Fence Grape Arbor 

Retaining Wall \_\_\_\_\_ Open Ditch \* \* \*

Talagraph Telephone of Electric Light Poles Guide Rail Note Type

SINT TIETTETTETTETTE Ledge or Rock ÊZ Ever-Decigue Hydron



Procosed Culve

House Barn Buildings <u>.....</u> Swamp Õ . . Gas Pump Random Stone  $\boxtimes$ DOT Mon. **D** Existing C.B. Proposed C.B.

Existing Travel Path

137-132

# PLAN

FOR

REHABILITATION OF ALPHA AVENUE VIADUCT IN THE TOWN OF

# STONINGTON

FROM STA. 0+00.00 TO STA. 12+00.00

LENGTH 1,200.00 FT.

DESIGN SCALES

PLAN 1 IN. = 40 FT. PROFILE HOR. 1 IN. = 40 FT. VERT. 1 IN. = 4 FT. CROSS SECTIONS 1 IN. = 5 FT.

## OTHER SCALES AS NOTED FEDERAL AID PROJECT NO. BHM-3583(3) TO BE MAINTAINED BY THE STATE

CONSTRUCTION STARTED CONSTRUCTION COMPLETED

MARCH 25, 1991 JULY 8, 1993

I Assume ful responseibility for the Accuracy of the REVISIONS to the "AS BUILT" TRACINGS



Source Source 10/15/93



BRIDGE LOG NO. 03906



359-01

	<u></u>				
NO.	DATE	DATE DESCRIPTION			
		·	1		
		·			
	T				



359-01



# CUT BIT. PAVEMENT

 $\times$ 

	ست و الله والدولية ، «والدولية والله وا	
WALK BUT RETAIN OTHERS	and an and a second	San Paramang Ali Ang Kang Ang Ang Ang Ang Ang Ang Ang Ang Ang A
2 CGR		
12	na man ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana a	Annual Sectors of the Annual And Annual An
D/OB_		15
SUY WIRE	(i) παλλητρούργη και μα ότη φαλλητ, του δυθορίου το δυθορίου το στο παλλητρούργη του του του του δημορίου το το παλλητρούργη του του δημορίου το στο παλλητρούργη του του δημορίου το στο παλλητρούργη του του δημορίου το στο παλλητρούργη του δημορίου το στο στο στο στο στο στο στο στο στο	Construction of Construction
APPLE TREE	<ul> <li></li></ul>	n na
" R. C. P. 3/26/97		
WALK RAMP # 4	CURVE DATA	ann a gana d a a gana g an a agus an bha a thagair a sanna ghranan an ga
G. St. T. C. & CONC. SIDEWALK	CURVE #1	
ROP PAVEMENT	$\Lambda = 20^{\circ} - 14^{\circ} - 05^{\circ}$	
	R = 4583.75'	
	$D = 19 - 15^{8} - 00^{11}$	
- Compiled die to men Sidoubly	j = 1618.66'	
5 Kempred ave 13 men side with	$T = 817.92^{4}$	
	1	
VILING REPLACE MBR TYPERB 187	7.5	
change #G	)	
ENDWALL AND		
WITH ID R.G.G.E.	<b>、</b>	

PERMANENT CHANGES 1) Eliminate Sidewalk @ Soccer Frald. 2 Design change 12" R.C.P. (#See Note above) 3 New Sidewalk @ Trumbull. New Sidewalk Ramp. (4)Relocated RB CONT. Type II Anchor.  $\bigcirc$ 6 NEW M.B.R. TYPE R.B. 3 Bit. Conc. Class 1 Ramp.

Х

REGION NO.	STATE	TOWN	PROJ. NO.	PROJ. NO.	YEAR	NO.	NO.	SHEETS	
1	CONN.	STONINGTON	BHM-3583(3)	137-132	1990		7	74	
		REHAB Alpha Al	ILITATIOI /ENUE V	N OF IADUCT			, , ,		
			SCALE IN	FEET					
		80	40 0			80			
			X	<b>x</b> .					, ,
					<b>n</b> ,				
				TIONS					
		APPROX AI BIT. CONC BI € - CI G. St. C GI G. C. St. C GI C. B C	PPROXIMATE ITUMINOUS CO ENTERLINE RANITE STONE RANITE CURVE ATCH BASIN	NCRETE CURBING D STONE CU	IRBING	i		A Sec	
		M.B.R M C.G.R C C.P C EXIST E M.H M PAV'T P	ETAL BEAM R Able Guide I Ontrol Poin Xisting Anhole Avement	AIL RAIL T				9.500	

R. C. P. - REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE

R. C.C.E. - REINFORCED CONCRETE CULVERT END G. St.T.C. - GRANITE, STONE TRANSITION CURBING

STATE OF CONNECTICUT - DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION

FORM NO. ENG. 11A REV. 1-88

\* Note: Design Change for 12" RCP Drainage Sta 9+75 Right thru 11+50 Right Sta 9+75 Left the 11+50 Left. See Sheet 49-50 of 74

N.I.C. - NOT IN CONTRACT

REVISIONS REV. SHEE NO. DATE DESCRIPTION W 66 000 +



340 7.2%.

5-23-89 5-24-89

BCC.

5-23-89 5-24-89

A.M.F R.F.V







# **GENERAL NOTES**

SPECIFICATIONS: CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FORM 814 (1988), AND SPECIAL PROVISIONS.

DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS: STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY BRIDGES (AASHTO - 1989), AS SUPPLEMENTED BY THE CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION BRIDGE MANUAL (1985), WITH REVISIONS UP TO AND INCLUDING MARCH, 1988.

ALLOWABLE DESIGN STRESSES:

CLASS "F" CONCRETE

LIVE LOAD: HS 20-44

REINFORCEMENT (ASTM A615 GRADE 60) STRUCTURAL STEEL (ASTM A709 GRADE 50W (AS88)(PAINTED)]

BASED ON f'c = 4000 psi fs = 24,000 psi Ft = 27,000 psi

COMPOSITE CONSTRUCTION: NO TEMPORARY INTERMEDIATE SUPPORTS SHALL BE USED DURING THE PLACING AND SETTING OF THE CONCRETE DECK SLAB. TEMPORARY SUPPORTS MAY BE USED FOR STRUCTURAL STEEL ERECTION ONLY. LIVE AND SUPERIMPDSED DEAD LOADS WILL BE PERMITTED WHEN DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER BUT NOT LESS THAN 10 DAYS AFTER THE FINAL PORTION OF DECK SLAB HAS BEEN PLACED.

CLASS "F" CONCRETE: CLASS "F" CONCRETE SHALL BE USED THROUGHOUT. JOINT SEAL: SEE SPECIAL PROVISIONS

PARAFFIN: THE COST OF FURNISHING AND APPLYING PARAFFIN IS INCLUDED IN THE ITEM FOR CLASS "F" CONCRETE.

EXPOSED EDGES: EXPOSED EDGES OF CONCRETE SHALL BE BEVELED 1" × 1" UNLESS DIMENSIONED OTHERWISE.

STRUCTURAL STEEL: SEE STRUCTURE SHEET NOS. 5&12 FOR ASTM DESIGNATIONS.

REINFORCEMENT: ALL REINFORCEMENT SHALL BE ASTM A615 GRADE 60.

EPOXY COATED REINFORCING BARS: ALL REINFORCEMENT INCLUDING DOWEL BAR SPLICER SYSTEMS SHALL BE EPOXY COATED. THESE BARS SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE PAY ITEM FOR "DEFORMED STEEL BARS-EPOXY COATED", FOR PERMANENT CONSTRUCTION PIER BENT PAINT: PAINT SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPECIAL PROVISIONS, "ABRASIVE BLAST CLEANING AND FIELD PAINTING OF STRUCTURE" (SITE NO. I). THE COLOR OF TOPCOAT MATERIAL ON THE STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL CONFORM TO FEDERAL STANDARD COLOR NO. 24424. [APPRDXIMATELY THE DEPARTMENT'S STANDARD COLOR NO. 503 (LT. GREEN)].

SUPERSTRUCTURE PAINT: PAINT SHALL CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPECIAL PROVISION, "STRUCTURAL STEEL". THE COLOR OF THE TOPCOAT MATERIAL ON THE STRUCTURAL STEEL SHALL CONFORM TO FEDERAL STANDARD COLOR NO. 24424. [APPROXIMATELY THE DEPARTMENT'S STANDARD COLOR NO. 503 (LT. GREEN)].

BITUMINOUS CONCRETE OVERLAY: THIS SHALL CONSIST OF TWO LIFTS. THE FIRST SHALL BE BITUMINOUS CONCRETE - CLASS 12 (1" THICK) AND THE SECOND SHALL BE BITUMINOUS CONCRETE - CLASS 1 (1 1/2" THICK).

CONSTRUCTION JOINTS: CONSTRUCTION JOINTS, OTHER THAN THOSE SHOWN ON THE PLANS, WILL NOT BE PERMITTED WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER.

DECIMAL DIMENSIONS: WHEN DIMENSIONS ARE GIVEN TO LESS THAN THREE DECIMAL PLACES, THE OMITTED DIGITS SHALL BE ASSUMED TO BE ZEROS. EXISTING DIMENSIONS: DIMENSIONS OF THE EXISTING STRUCTURE SHOWN ON THESE PLANS ARE FOR GENERAL REFERENCE ONLY. THEY HAVE BEEN TAKEN FROM THE ORIGINAL DESIGN DRAWINGS AND ARE NOT GUARANTEED. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL TAKE ALL FIELD MEASUREMENTS NECESSARY TO ASSURE PROPER FIT OF THE FINISHED WORK AND SHALL ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THEIR ACCURACY, WHEN SHOP DRAWINGS BASED ON FIELD MEASUREMENTS ARE SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL, THE FIELD MEASUREMENTS SHALL ALSO BE SUBMITTED FOR REFERENCE BY THE REVIEWER.

GEOMETRY: GEOMETRIC INFORMATION HAS BEEN OBTAINED FROM THE ORIGINAL DESIGN DRAWINGS (STATE PROJECT NO. 137-25 AND FEDERAL AID PROJECT NO. F.A.G.M.1(1) DATEO 1939). THE ORIGINAL SHOP DRAWINGS (DATED 1940) AND SURVEY BY L.C. ASSOCIATES DATED 1989.

TRAFFIC: ALL WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SPECIAL PROVISIONS "MAINTENANCE AND PROTECTION OF TRAFFIC" AND "PROSECUTION AND PROGRESS".

TRAFFIC LDADS WILL BE PERMITTED WHEN DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER. FOR ADDITIONAL DETAILS SEE CONSTRUCTION STAGING AND TRAFFIC SHEETS.

CONCRETE COATING/SEALER: PROTECTIVE COMPOUND FOR BRIDGES SHALL CONSIST OF FURNISHING AND APPLYING A PENETRATING SEALER TO EXPOSED CONCRETE SURFACES OF STEPS AND SIDEWALKS AND INSIDE AND TOP FACES OF PARAPETS (SEE SPECIAL PROVISION "PROTECTIVE COMPOUND FOR BRIDGES"). A PROTECTIVE COATING FOR CONCRETE (COLOR: PEARL GREY) SHALL BE FURNISHED AND APPLIED TO EXPOSED CONCRETE SURFACES OF ABUTMENTS, WINGWALLS AND PIER PEDESTALS (SEE SPECIAL PROVISION "PROTECTIVE COATING FOR CONCRETE")

REMOVALS: REMOVAL OF STEEL SUPERSTRUCTURE SHALL START WITH SPAN P3 AND PROGRESS TOWARDS THE ABUTMENTS.

REMOVAL OF THE EXISTING SUPERSTRUCTURE INCLUDING BEAMS, CONCRETE DECK BITUMINOUS WEARING SURFACE, RAILINGS AND FENCES, BRIDGE DRAINAGE AT THE PIERS AND STEEL STAIRS NEAR PIER 3 SHALL BE INCLUDED UNDER THE ITEM "REMOVAL OF SUPERSTRUCTURE".

REMOVAL OF THE EXISTING CONCRETE STAIRS AND FOUNDATIONS. THE STEEL STAIR, FOOTINGS. & PEDESTALS TO THE LIMITS AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS AND THE CONCRETE HEADWALL SHALL BE INCLUDED UNDER THE ITEM "REMOVAL OF EXISTING MASONRY".

ILLUMINATION: CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN EXISTING LIGHTING DURING STAGES I & II. ILLUMINATION FOR STAGES III & IV SHALL BE PROVIDED BY PERMANENT LIGHTING FIXTURES.

NO.

MISCELLANEOUS: THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT THE EXISTING ONE-STORY BRICK BUILDING (THRIFT STORE) NEAR PIER 7 DURING THE ENTIRE CONSTRUCTION. THE COST OF PROTECTING THE BUILDING WILL NOT BE MEASURED FOR PAYMENT BUT SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE GENERAL COST OF THE WORK.

359-01

FHWA Region No.	STATE	TOWN	FED. AID PROJ. NO.	PROJ. NO.	YEAR	ROUTE NO.	SHEET NO.	TO She
1	CONN.	STONINGTON	BHM-3583(3)	137-132	1990		10	74
<u></u>								
		QL	JANTITIES	Nandolashina walan o na hata wanyi kacar,			*****	
		ITEM	ne de Sexte Celeviter de la contra de la contr	na ya kata na	א ט	ITQ	UANTI	TY
REMOVAL	OF BITUM	INOUS OVERLAY	n na	zazen zuen eta datut	S.\	ί.	62	25
STRUCTU	JRE EXCAVA	TION - EARTH (COMPLE	TE)		C.)	<i>(</i> .	ť	50
PERVIOU	S STRUCTU	RE BACKFILL			C.1	1.	1	55
BITUMIN	OUS CONCR	ETE - CLASS 1			TO	N	20	00
BITUMIN	OUS CONCR	RETE - CLASS 12			TO	N	13	35
SAWING	AND SEALIN	NG JOINTS			L.F	•	(	58
TEMPORA	ARY STAIRH	IAY			EA	•		4
TEMPOR/	ARY WALKW/	λΥ 			L.S	).	L.	S.
REMOVAL	OF SUPER	STRUCTURE			L.S		L.	5.
REMOVAL	L UH LUNER	EIE SUPERSTRULTURE				).	L.	ა. ი
LEMPURA	ARY PIER B					•		שר
JALKING Chenge	EXISTING						1	ככ כי
SHEAR L	UNNELIUK	2			L.J	)	L.	J.
WELDED	STUDS				EA		16	68
1/2" P	OLYVINYL C	HLORIDE PLASTIC PIPE			L.F	•	19	0
PREFABR	RICATED EXE	PANSION JOINT (MOVE	MENT CAPACITY 8")		L.F	•	l	49
CLASS "	F" CONCRE	TE			C.`	ſ.	1,4	15
PREF	ORMED EXP	ANSION JOINT FILLER F	OR BRIDGES		S.	F.		32
" CLOSI	EU CELL EL	ASIOMER				-	16.00	-0
PRUIELI	IIVE LUAIII	NG FUR LUNLREIE			5.		5,23 50 5	0 0 0 0
UEFURME	EU STEEL B					•		00
DEFORME	LU SIEEL B	ARS - EPUXY LUATED D SYSTEM EDDYY COAT				•	240,00	JU 50
CONTAIN	IMENT AN	D COLLECTION O	EU F - SURFACE PREPA	RATION	EA	•	1,23	52
DEBRIS	(SITE NO.	1)			L.S	5.	L.	S.
DISPOSA	AL OF DEBR	IS (CONTAMINATED)			τ.	Y.		23
STRUCTU	JRAL STEEL				L.S	5.	L.	S.
DISPOSA	AL OF DEBR	IS (HAZARDOUS)			C.	Y.		23
BRIDGE	BEARING R	ESTORATION			EA	•	1	11
REHABI	LITATION	OF EXISTING STRUCT	TURAL STEEL		۲	IT.	1	55
TEMPORA	ARY STEE	L PLATES			EA	•		4
ABRASIV	E BLAST CL	EANING AND FIELD PAI	NTING OF STRUCTU	RE (SITE NO.	1) L.S	5.	L.	.S.
CONCRE	TE CYLINDE	R LURING BOX			EA	•	2.6	
MEMBRA Kulov c	NE WAIERP	KUUFING (WUVEN GLASS			5.	Υ. ·	Z,41	50
0 XO ט מפחדברי	THE COMPE	שאב בשתפואט רשת סתונ אואה כהם פסוהככס	JUES				23	00
TEMPDRA	ARY PRECAS	ST CONCRETE BARRIER		2			6	25
TEMPORA	ARY PRECA	ST CONCRETE BARRIER	(15"x34 1/2")(STRI	, (TIIRF)			18	75
5' POLY	VINYI CHIN	RIDE CHAIN LINK FENC	F (BRIDGE)	e i onez	1.6		1.2	50
TEMPOR	ARY 5' CHA	IN LINK FENCE (BRIDGE	= <b>_</b> E)		L.F		1.8	0.0
REMOVAL	L OF EXISTI	NG MASONRY			C.	Y.		50
LIGHT S	TANDARD ((	)RNAMENTAL - BRIDGE)			EA			10
UNDERBI	RIDGE LUMI	NAIRE HIGH PRESSURE	SODIUM (100 WATT	[]	EA			4
1" RIGIC	) METAL CO	NDUIT IN STRUCTURE			L.	F.		70
2 1/2" F	RIGID META	L CONDUIT IN STRUCTU	JRE		L.		1.2	75
18" 12"	k8″ CAST I	RON JUNCTION BOX			EA			13

DEFORMED STEEL BARS: PLAIN REINFORCEMENT SHALL BE USED FOR TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION

REMOVAL OF CONCRETE SUPERSTRUCTURE: INCLUDES REMOVAL OF CONCRETE DECK, WEARING SURFACE AND RAILING REQUIRED FOR STAGE I CONSTRUCTION. REMOVAL OF BITUMINOUS OVERLAY: INCLUDES REMOVAL OF BITUMINOUS MATERIAL BETWEEN THE PROPOSED TEMPORARY DECK AND THE CENTERLINE OF ALPHA AVENUE.

		THIS SHEET NOT CORRECTED)								
		CONNECTICUT								
		DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION								
		STONINGTON								
		REHABILITATION OF								
		ALPHA AVENUE								
		OVER								
		MATHEWS STREET, AMTRAK &								
	anna an	CUTLER STREET								
		GENERAL NOTES, QUANTITIES, PROFILES AND EXISTING CROSS SECTION								
		ENGINEER MAGUIRE GROUP INC.								
		DESIGNER REV DRAFTER T.L.B./A.D. CHECKER JAD								
ATE	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED Jusue a Gonauce DATE 7/3/90								
	REVISIONS	STRUCTURE NO. 137-132-1 BRIDGE LOG NO. STRUCTURE SHEET NO. 03906 2 OF 34								

€ PIER 1	€ PIER 2	¢PIER 3	¢ PIER 4 619.95'	¢ PIER 5	¢ PIER
69.83'	70.08'	69.93'	79.93'	79.99'	
69.98'	70.05'	69.88'	80.05'	80.00'	
		FACE OF TOP PIN			
		FACE OF BOTTOM PIN			
		90°(Nom.)(Typ	.h		
		FACE OF BOTTOM PIN-			
		FACE OF TOP PIN-			
70.02'	69.99'	69.96'	79.99'	80.08'	
69.94'	70.00'	69.73'	79.94	60.03'	-
	¢ PIER 1 69.83' 69.98' 70.02' 69.94'	€ PIER 1 69.83' 70.08' 69.98' 70.05' 70.05' 69.99' 70.02' 69.99' 69.99' 70.00'	€ PIER 1	E PIER 1       E PIER 2       E PIER 3       E PIER 4         69.83'       70.08'       59.93'       79.93'         69.98'       70.05'       59.88'       80.05'         FACE OF TOP PIN_7       FACE OF BOTTOM PIN_7       N43'-00'-59.63''E         90° (Nom)(Typ.)-       FACE OF BOTTOM PIN_7       N43'-00'-59.63''E         70.02'       69.99'       69.96'       79.99'         69.94'       70.00'       59.73'       79.94'	E PIER 1       E PIER 2       E PIER 3       E PIER 4       E PIER 5         69.63'       70.08'       69.33'       79.93'       79.93'         69.98'       70.05'       69.88'       80.05'       E0.00'         FACE OF TOP PIN-7       FACE OF BOTTOM PIN-7       N(3*-00*-59.63*'E       90'Nos.)(Typ.b)         FACE OF BOTTOM PIN-7       FACE OF BOTTOM PIN-7       N(3*-00*-59.63*'E         70.02'       69.99'       69.96'       79.99'       80.08'

---

PLAN

SCALE 1" = 20'

.

359-01

•

	ГНЫАІ				FED. AID		المربع	ROUTE	SHEET	TOTAL
	REGION NO.	CONN.	STONIN	GTON	PROJ. NO. BHM-3583(3)	137-132	YEAR 1990	NO.		SHEETS 74
							<u> </u>			2
- 1 <sup>64</sup>		-				а. ,	0.5.4.0.1.16			
6			€ PIER 3	7		⊊ ABl	JTMENT	2		
	anan manana marka da katan Kanan - Bank Marak Kana da K	a an								
	:								·	
	80.05'	200 2112 - 122 - 122 - 122 - 123 - 124 - 124 - 124 - 124 - 124 - 124 - 124 - 124 - 124 - 124 - 124 - 124 - 124			80.06'		HORE	ZONTAL	DISTANC	£
							TOP	PINS (0	BEAM B	EARINGS
	79.96'						HDRI	ZONTAL	DISTANC	E
							BETW Bott	EEN OUT Om Pins	SIDE FA	CE OF Tom of
		nand maintainin oliäittipiittie att		i dilinadan mamana kasadaka maza	unte automote tatveran constant antonno termonot	anazarara ananazata kanananta delintusta a		LULUMN	5)	
		4184119 - 2019-00 - 2019-00 - 1								
							антин 1			
6 Smannflachdadantation da galafaaliidaa	ang a <u>manananananan</u> ang 3 <b>mananananananan</b> an 46 46	anananan in ananan	unered • portunitation ii	- FRANCISTANCE MARKET (F. SUJAKALAKAN,	an an analasan an analasan an ang mang mang mang mang mang mang	annarant in annuarannarannar n' mhannarann	-	4 95 Witti in finitiani	ENUE	
			2				2		IA AVI	
1		. WARDON CONTRACTO AND			9 945,4409 gaugaga ananan ananan ang				ALPI	
	70 004						- Uno #	70.11 1 4 4	ישי חוכד אשר	F
	ş 2 4 7 7	aar oo dalaa ah a		n an h-air an h-air an h-air ann an an ann an an ann an ann an an an		*****	BETW	EEN OUT	SIDE FA	CE OF
							PIER	COLUMN	IS)	
	80.12				80.01	en hannan wegin annan ei eine eine menne an de var vehinder star akterismet	HORI BETW	ZONTAL EEN OUT	DISTANC	E CE OF
							TOP	PINS (8	BEAM B	EARINGS
			SPAN DIMENS SPAN DIMENS DIMENSIONS THE CONTRAC TO ORDERING THE CONTRAC	IONS GIVEN IONS GIVEN BY L.C. ASS TOR TO VER AND FABRI TOR IS RES	ELSEWHERE ON T ON THIS SHEET OCIATES, 1989. NFY ALL DIMENSIO CATING MATERIAL	THE PLANS AR ARE ACTUAL S ONS IN THE F	RE NOMI SURVEYE TELD PR	NAL. ID IOR F		
			PROPOSED ST	RUCTURE.	TONSIGLE FOR TI			-		
					THIS SHE	ET NOT	- Coi	erec	TE)	
			<b>r</b>				<b>-</b>			
					CONNE		JT		_	
				EPART	MENT OF	TRANSF	PORT	ATI	ON	
				****	STONI	NGTON				
		·			REHABILI	TATION AVENUE	OF			
						AVENUE FR				
	and an and a second			MATI	IEWS STRI	EET. AM	TRAK	8		
		22.4.2.4.1.4.1.4.1.4.1.4.1.4.1.4.1.4.1.4			CUTLER	STREET		-		
					GEOM	ETRY				
	#1949.000 000 000.000 00000000000000000000		ENGINEER MA	GUIRE GRO	UP INC.					
			DESIGNER	RFV	DRAFTER A.O.	/T.L.8.	CHECK	er J.	AD	
DATE	DESCRIPTI	ON .	APPROVED 4	una t	r' Youer	BRID	DATE	12/2 0. 1 ST	8 /8	9 SHEET NO.
	REVISIONS		STRUCTURE	0. 137-132-	1		01006			





	FHWA Region No.	STATE			FED. AID PROJ. NO. RHM-7587(2)	PROJ. NO. 177-172	YEAR R	OUTE NO.	SHEET NO.	TOTAL SHEETS 7/1
	*		PI			<u>ک</u> د، ، د.	1.030	<u>l</u>	<del>ر</del> ،	
iers			<u> </u>	Steel plates and s	hapes for sub:	structure rep	air shall			
wi			r	conform to the requ	uirements of Al	STM A709 Gra	de 36 (A3	6). ts =-	Ч	
			۷.	washers conforming (mechanically galv be placed under ea	g to the requir anized) of equ ach nut.	ements of AS al diameter.	STM A325, A washer	Type shal	TC I	
		~	<b>Ξ.</b>	All information refe	ering to the ex	isting struct	ure is fro d investi	om th Natio	e	
				and is for reference	e only. The in	formation is	provided	for for		
				accuracy or compli	eteness. The C	ontractor sh	all verify	all	C	
				existing conditions ordering and fabric	s and material cating any mat	erial.	aniteo DL	UT 10		
			4.	AWS procedure qua be required in acc Code D1.5-88.	lification of a ordance with A	ll welding or ASHTO/AWS B	n pier be ridge We	nts w Iding		
			5.	Bolt hole size and angles shall match	spacing in th that of the e	e proposed s xisting struc	steel plat ture and	es ai shal	nd lbe	
				field drilled as rec template.	quired using th	ne existing s	structure	as a		
			6.	Existing rivets are	7/8″¢ and exi	sting holes	are 15/16	<sup>11</sup> ¢ -		
			7.	Where lamellar rus	t exists betwe	en plates/an	gle legs	(at		
		-		locations as shown a.) Blast clean	n on the plans lamellar rust	;): between plat	es and a	ngles	5.	
RU ME	r 7			removing as b.) Heat plates	much rust as /annle lens an	possible. d bend hack	(temnera	ture	not	
	8			to exceed 12	200°F).			, ., .		
ECIFICATI	on S			d.) Reheat plat	audirional rus es/angle legs	as above an	d bend to	ori <u>c</u>	jinal	
an kan salah menangkan kan salah kan salah s	and an and a state of the state			position to e.) Seal weld e	completely clo dge of plates/	ose gap betw 'angles.	veen parts	5.		
	<b>a.</b>		8.	Where seat angle i	s to be remove	ed and repla	ced :	0	<b></b>	r
INE 658	3			a., kemove rive b.) Blast clean	all faying sur	ytes and pla faces.	nes from	edCN	urne	<b>1</b> •
				c.) Replace an <u>c</u> angles and	les and plate plates mav be	s to match e. reused if fo	xisting. <sup>4</sup> 7 bund adea	he ex uate	kistin by a	g
ASTIC 90	ALUMI	NUM		qualified s	ource, as dire	ted by the l	Engineer.	s 101.	, <u> </u>	
1.15 13-	110			weld holes	that do not lir	ne up (weld r	ic uott not more i	s. rii than	ig 1 hole	2
INE 733	N HR			per seat an e.) Seal weld e	gie repair). dges of plates	angles.				
BER: 24	424		9.	Removal of existin	nierb agbird g	age notes:	channe	<b>6</b> 00-	erti-	ne
				and fittings	shall be remo	ved from the	piers. R	emova enctii	al to re″	110
				b.) Air arc goug	je welds to ba	se metal - di	o not und	lercu	t basi	e
				metal. c.) Grind welde	d area smooth	with adiare	nt base m	ietal.		
				d.) Clean and p	aint in accord	lance with th	ie specia Inting of	l prov	vision	] Sita Ma t <sup>i</sup>
g steel which	will		10.	Aurasive Bl Survey Notes: The	location and e	end rieto Pal	existing	dete	riorat	эте No.I Топ
w steel shall ed. See sp	be ecial		-	shown on the piers in October, 1989. I	s was determin t is intended f	ed by a con to be used a	dition su s a guide	rvey e and	perfo does	rmed S
ation of Ex	isting			not necessarily re The actual locatio	flect the curre ns and extent	nt condition of repairs fo	of the s or the pie	tructu rs wi	ure. Il be	
				determined by a su shall repair all ar	urvey as direc eas determined	ted by the Ei 1 necessarv	ngineer. by the su	The C Jrvey	ontra as	ctor
				directed by the En notes on these pla	gineer and as ans.	shown in pe	rtinent d	etails	s and	
			11.	For additional deta	ails of the wor	k/procedures	s of the s	ubsti lebab	ructur	.е Ор
				of Existing Struct	ural Steel"			U		<b>7</b> 18
			12.	ine contractor sha stability of all str is in being.	all take the pri ouctural elemen	oper precaut nts until the	ions to ii total str	nsure uctur	rne e	
							JT			
				DEPART	<u>1ENT O</u> F	TRANSF	ORTA		<u>IN</u>	
riorated top or	bottom				STONI	NGTON				
iers to be remo	ved and				REHABILI	TATION	OF			
					ALPHA					
				МАТН	UN IEWS STR	EFT. AM	TRAK	8		
					CUTLER	STREET		~		
				a an	PIP	ER 1	ette enterne enterne in della contana de constructa			*****
	<del></del>		EN	SINEER MAGUIRE GROU	PINC.	V V V	Martine and a state of the st			
			DE	SIGNER REV	DRAFTER AD		CHECKER	JA	0	
NO. DATE	DESCRIPT	ION	API	PROVED Jussel Q	Goven		DATE /	2/2	8/8	39
REV	ISIONS		ST	RUCTURE NO. 137-132-1		BRID	03906	STR	UCTURE 5 of	SHEET NO.







THE INFORMATION, INCLUDING ESTIMATED QUANTITIES DF WORK SHOWN DN THESE SHEETS IS BASED ON LIMITED INVESTIGATIONS BY THE STATE AND IS IN NO WAY WARRANTED TO INDICATE THE TRUE CONDITIONS OR ACTUAL QUANTITIES OR DISTRIBUTION OF QUANTITIES OF WORK WHICH WILL BE REQUIRED.

NO.

	FHWA	CTATE	TOUN	FED. AID	0901		ROUTE	SHEET	TOTAL
	REGION NO. 1	CONN.	STONINGTON	ркој. No. ВНМ-3583	(3) 137-1	132 <b>1990</b>	<u> </u>	<u>NO.</u> _15	sheets 74
	Taanah (Calenaryan ayan ayan dalah kale kanada) dan	<del>.</del>		*****				*** <b>****************************</b> *******	
						· ·			
42 42					***				
		٨		٨					
		-/ /	yn fal fall yn fernen yn gener yn fan yn yn yn ar yn ar yn ar yn yn yn yn yn yn yn yn yn far fal yn yn yn yn yn	/ \/					
				₿ <b>Э</b> /8					
$\left\{ \right\}$			existing web	ت ے ت F	Pronosed A3	325	5		
				/ 'i	ype TC bol	t			
	E>	(ist. rivet		A		$\sim$			
		1 C 11 0 [ [ ]	$\gamma \mid \gamma \mid$	$\left( \right)$	(				
$\geq$ $\langle$		•					$\left  \right\rangle$		
		8-U2	a,U)						
		*****	6		an a				
- Ful	+å-a flar		S/2	S /2	Passa a				
$\sim EXIS$	4"x4"x7/10	s") to rema			—Propose (∠ 4''x4'	"x7/16")	191e		
	NOTES	:	fastener	S					
	1. Rep	od te niec	ttom flange shown	- Repair at top	flange sim	ilar.			
	2. Rer 3. Bai	nove back ck gouge a	ing strip after com and repair weld as	pleting weld. required.					
	4. Gri	nd weld a	rea smooth with ad	jacent base me	tal. NASHTO/AN		Sactio		
	5. ING	e weld sha	sii de qualified in	accordance wir	n aashiu/a	W2 UI.2~00,	Secho	п э.	
			ELEVATIO	<u> </u>					
	E	LANGE	ANGLE SP	LICE DET	AIL				
			HALF SIZE						,
		X.							
		-							,
			11 m m	C. EOD ADDITION			ит г		
			NULL	. <u>⇒</u> FUK AUDIIIUN	AL REPAIRS	SEE SIR. S	nı.).	ikan karatan baharan katan	
				CONN	IECTI	CUT		<b></b>	
		×.	DEPA	RTMENT C	JF TRA	NSPOR	TATI		
				STO	NINGT	UN	*******		
				REHAB	ILITATI	ON OF			
				ALPH	1A AVEN	NUE			
			м.	ATHFWS S	UVER	ΔΜΤΡΔ	K 8		
			5 C J	CUTL	ER STR	REET	., U		
	х. 29. – 24. Г. – се Малийски (1997). 1997 - Принцерски се			P		}	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
			ENGINEER MAGUIDE	GRAUP INC		<b>9</b> 			
	ζν. Κατέδα Νιξάζοματαρατικρατικρατικρατικρατικαία του		DESIGNER REG	DRAFTER	AD	CHEC	KER JA	40	
DATE	DESCRIPT	ION	APPROVED Geerey	1 a gener	!. <u></u>	DATE	121	28/0	89
REVI	SIONS		STRUCTURE NO. 137-	132-1		BRIDGE LOG 03906	NO. S		SHEET NO.



## -Top Corner (Typ) - See Repair Details this sheet. (Details typical all bents)

Exist. Top Flange Angle----

359-01





137-13L

FHWA Region No.	STATE	TOWN	FED. AID PROJ. NO.	PROJ. NO.	YEAR	ROUTE NO.	SHEET NO.	TOTAL Sheets
1	CONN.	STONINGTON	8HM-3583(3)	137-132	1990		J7	74





5.30

ELEVATIONS SCALE: 3/8" = 1'-0"

THE INFORMATION. INCLUDING ESTIMATED QUANTITIES OF WORK SHOWN ON THESE SHEETS IS BASED ON LIMITED INVESTIGATIONS BY THE STATE AND IS IN NO WAY WARRANTED TO INDICATE THE TRUE CONDITIONS OR ACTUAL QUANTITIES OR DISTRIBUTION OF QUANTITIES OF WORK WHICH WILL BE REQUIRED.

2)

ł







THE INFORMATION, INCLUDING ESTIMATED QUANTITIES OF WORK SHOWN ON THESE SHEETS IS BASED ON LIMITED INVESTIGATIONS BY THE STATE AND IS IN NO WAY WARRANTED TO INDICATE THE TRUE CONDITIONS OR ACTUAL QUANTITIES OR DISTRIBUTION OF QUANTITIES OF WORK WHICH WILL BE REQUIRED.

859-01

FHWA Region No.	STATE	TOWN	FED. AID PROJ. NO.	PROJ. NO.	YEAR	ROUTE NO.	SHEET ND.	TOTAL Sheets
1	CONN.	STONINGTON	BHM-3583( <b>3</b> )	137-132	1 <b>9</b> 90	**************************************	19	74

# <u>NOTE:</u>

. 187

Indicates number of rivets to be removed and replaced in horizontal leg of bottom flange angle.

			NOTE: FOR ADDITIONAL REPAIRS SEE STR. SHT. 5.
			CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT DE TRANSPORTATION
			STONINGTON
			REHABILITATION OF
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	OVER
			MATHEWS STREET, AMTRAK & CUTLER STREET
			PIER 7
			ENGINEER MAGUIRE GROUP INC.
	I		DESIGNER RFV DRAFTER AD CHECKER JAD
ND.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED Yright Q. Journe DATE 12/28/89
		REVISIONS	STRUCTURE NO.         137-132-1         BRIDGE LOG NO.         STRUCTURE SHEET NO.           03906         11 of 34



BASE METAL THICKNESS OF THICKER PART JOINED (INCHES)	MINIMUM SIZE OF FILLET WELD (INCHES)
OVER 1/2 TO 3/4	1/4
OVER 3/4	5/16

359-01

					7				AANTE		TATL
	REGION NO.	STATE CONN.	STON	DWN INGTON	PROJ. NO. BHM-3583(3)	PROJ. N 137-13	10. 32	YEAR 1990	NO.	NO. 20	SHEETS 74
ER 6		• •	¢ PI	ER 7	J		¢ AB	BEARIN UTMENT	NG 7 2		<u></u>
1		niare analysis debisis initialized and the state of the stat		· · ·		endensman og Hendleffeldelska fra Bendelska for skalle الا					
6 <u>8 20' = 30(</u>	0,		1979-1999) - 197-1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 19	 	and a strain point of the second s				TERME	DIATE	
*	80'	6		5	80' SPAN P7						
		0	0.50	10' & Field		C 4 0					
, Shur	P601	519	. 6-53	j j j	P701	513, 9				NUE	
	P602				P702				ر - 0 	A AVE	
	P603 P604				P703 P704 P704				,0E =	ALPH	
	P605 P606		<u>                                     </u>		P705		P		. 6 2, 1 -, Et	<u>ال</u> ي.	
	P607		<u> </u>		P707	1			6 Sp		
	P609				P709				6.5	-	
		80'			70	1		BO	LTED F	IELD	-
	I	W36x160		End B	W24x earing Diaphi	162 ragm (Typ	.)	'SP	LICE S	PACING	
			<u>STRUC</u>	TURAL ST	EEL NOTE	S					
			STRUCTUR 50W (A588	AL STEEL (LOW 3) (PAINTED).	ALLDY) SHALL	CONFORM <sup>-</sup>	IO AS	TM A70	9 GRAD	ΙE	
			ALL BOLTS ASTM A325	, NUTS AND WA 5, TYPE TC (ME	SHERS SHALL CHANICALLY GA	CONFORM ^ LVANIZED)	ro the (Unle	E REQU ESS NO	IREMEN TED OT	ITS OF HERWIS	E)
			WELDING D TO THE AA	DETAILS, PROCE SHTO/AWS BRII	DURES AND TE DGF WELDING (	STING MET	10DS 88.	SHALL	CONFO	RM	
			BOLTED SE	PLICES, OTHER	THAN THOSE II	NDICATED	ON TH	IE PLAN	S, WIL	L	
				PRIOR TO THE	SUBMISSION (	F SHOP P		IN UF I IF ALLI	DWED,	וויבח	
th a minimu mited to, to	um of one op flanges		BY THE EN	IGINEER. THE C	OST OF THESE	SPLICES,	INCLU	UR AND IDING T	THE COS	ST	
heir faying	surfaces.		WELDED SI	PLICES, OTHER	THAN THOSE I	NDICATED	ON TH	IE PLAN	IS, WIL	L	
				PRIOR TO THE	SUBMISSION (	IIEN PERF )F SHOP P	LANS.	JN UF I IF ALLI	HE OWED,	ארח	
orior to Spa	n P3.		BY THE EN	IGINEER. THE C	OST OF THESE	SPLICES,	RALIL INCLU	UR ANU IDING T UD VICII	APPRL HE COS	ST ST	
tich includ t 10°F.	je prer			ED BY THE ENG	INEER, SHALL I	BE AT NO	EXTRA	EXPEN	SE TO		
			ALL SHOP	GROOVE WELDS	IN THE WEB A	ND FLANG	ES SHA	ALL BE	COMPL	ETELY	
		r	SMOOTH A	J BY RADIUGRA ND FLUSH WITH	THE BASE ME	ASUNIL IE TAL ON ALI	SIING LSURI	ANU F FACES	INISHEI BY GRI	U NDING	
				RECTION OF AP RESSIONS. CHI	PLIEU STRESS. PPING MAY BE	USED PRO	IHE S VIDED	IT IS	FOLLOV	ED NED	
				SE METAL BY N	ORE THAN 1/3	ALL NUT R 2 DF AN IN SMALLED N	EDUCE NCH OI	R FIVE	PERCEI	NT	
			MULTIPLE	PASS WELDS, I	NSPECTED BY	THE MAGNI	ETIC P	PARTICL	e meti	HOD	
			SHALL HAV PROCEEDI	/E EACH PASS ( NG TO THE NEX	JR LAYER INSP T PASS OR LAY	ECTED AND 'ER, AS DE	) ALLE TERMI	EPTED INED BY	BFFOKF V THE E	ENGINEI	ER.
			CONNECTI FROM WEL	ON PLATES SHA DED SPLICES.	LL BE LOCATED	) A MINIML	IM OF	SIX (6)	) INCHE	ES	
			ENO OF BE DEAD LOAD	EAMS SHALL BE	VERTICAL AFT	ER THE AP	PLICA	TION OI	F FULL		
			NO WELDIN	NG TO TENSION	FLANGES, EXC	EPT AS NO	TED O	IN THE	PLANS	OR AS	
				CTURAL STEEL	FABRICATOR(S)	SHALL BE	CERT	IFIED U		THE	
			THE CONT	RACTOR SHALL	TAKE THE PROI	PER PRECA	UTION	NS TO I	NSURE	THE	
			STABILITY	OF ALL STRUC NG.	TURAL ELEMENT	IS UNTIL T	HE TO	JTAL ST	RUETU	RE	197 <b>9-1</b> 8-18-1979
				_	CONNE	ECTI	CL	JT	_	_	
				DEPARTI	MENT OF			<u>'ORT</u>	ATI	<u> </u>	Ampleonmynetingshorthofelden
					<b>DELIAN</b>		<u>UN</u> יאר	<u>ה כ</u>			****
					ALPHA	AVEN	י אוכ 1UE	UF			
HIS SHEET	NOT CORE	CTE)			C	IVER					
				MATH	IEWS ST	REET,	AM <sup>T</sup>		κ &		
				мунистинундагым караматы орумар ишкатун туруктарыкалар бай		<u>אר א</u> ור ח		NI			
		NA MARAN MANY MANY CALIFORNY CANADA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	ENGINEER			א טאו		IN			
	n de la companya de l	****	DESIGNER	REV	DRAFTER A	.D./T.L.8.		CHEC	KER J/	10	
NO. DATE	DESCRIPT	10N	APPROVED	June El	. Gowence			DATE	7/	3/90	5
	REVISIONS		STRUCTUR	E NO. 137-132-	1		BRIDO	<sup>ge log i</sup> 03906	NO. 51	I2 OF	SHEET NO













137-132

FHWA REGIONNO.	STATE	TOWN	FED. AID Proj. No.	PROJ. NO.	YEAR	ROUTE NO.	SHEET NQ.	TOTAL SHEETS
1	CONN.	STONINGTON	BHM-3583(3)	137-132	1990		22	74

## **BEARING NOTES:**

From information on the original shop plans dated 1940, the existing beam bearings, rocker bearings and pier column bearings are cast steel annealed conforming to ASTM A27-24 Class B medium grade.

The beam bearings and pins and nuts from all piers and rocker bearings from Pier 4 shall be carefully removed from the structure so as not to cause excessive and/or undue damage/distress to the material to be reused in the proposed structure.

These bearing assemblies are to be cleaned to a surface quality equivalent to SSPC-SP-10 "Near White Blast Cleaning" and shall be completely inspected for surface discontinuities by the Liquid Penetrant Inspection Method in accordance with ASTM E165.

Surface discontinuities shall be repaired by welding in accordance with AASHTO/AWS D1.5-88 Bridge Welding Code Section 3, ASTM A27 "Mild to Medium Strength Carbon-Steel Castings for General Application" and ASTM A488 "Steel Castings, Welding Qualifications of Procedures and Personnel".

The top & bottom shoes of the beam bearings, pins and nuts shall not be separated to complete this work. The bearings shall be capable of free movement prior to painting. Penetrating oil may be used if required to free the pieces.

The rocker bearings, pins and nuts shall be removed from the existing beams and all parts separated. The rocker bearings shall be tested and repaired as required above, prior to enlarging the pin hole to accept the proposed 3" pin. The rocker bearings and pins and nuts shall have their final assembly to the beams made in the shop with the bearings capable of free movement.

The beam bearings and pins and nuts from the piers and pins and nuts and rocker bearings, shall receive the same paint system as the proposed structural steel beams in accordance with the special provision "Structural Steel". A minimum of one coat of inorganic zinc primer shall be applied to all surfaces of the bearings, pins and nuts in the shop.

Beam bearings at the abutments and the pier column bearings shall not be removed from the structure but shall be inspected and repaired as required in place as indicated above and shall be cleaned to SSPC-SP-10 "Near White Blast Cleaning" and field painted in accordance with the Special Provision "Abrasive Blast Cleaning and Field Painting of Structure . (Site No.1)"

See special provision "Bridge Bearing Restoration".

### BOLT NOTES

All bolts shall be mechanically galvanized, except were sandblasted after installation.

Payment for all bolts, nuts and washers in beam splices and bearings shall be included under the item "Structural Steel."

(Contractor to verify hole sizes in existing bearings prior to ordering and fabricating rolled beams, plates and nuts, bolts and washers) Beam to Bearing Connection

Piers (63 locations):

4 - 1 1/16"x2 1/2" long slotted holes in bottom flange of each beam (See detail Str. Sht. 13) for 4-1"¢ A325, Type 3 Bolts with nuts and washers per bearing. In addition, use plate washer to cover slotted hole. See detail this Sht.

22

Abutments (18 locations): 4 - 1 5/16"¢ holes in bottom flange of each beam for 4 - 1 1/4" A325, Type 3 Bolts with nuts and washers ea. bearing.

Bearing to Pier Cap Connection

Piers (63 locations): 4 - 1 1/16"¢ holes at top flange plate of pier for 4-1" A325, Type 3 Bolts with nuts and washers ea. bearing.

<u>Field Splices</u>

6 Field Splices per beam: For Details See Str. Sht. 16

		,	CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
			STONINGTON
			REHABILITATION OF
4/s	. SHEL	ET NOT CORRECTED	OVER
			MATHEWS STREET, AMTRAK & CUTLER STREET
		1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -	STRUCTURAL STEEL DETAILS - 2
		na sena sena sena sena sena sena sena se	ENGINEER MAGUIRE GROUP INC.
			DESIGNER RFV DRAFTER T.L.B./A.D. CHECKER JAD
D.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED Yung Q. Jonene DATE 12/28/89
		REVISIONS	STRUCTURE NO. 137-132-1 BRIDGE LOG NO. STRUCTURE SHEET NO. 03906 14 of 34











1.37-1.37





SPLICE DETAILS SCALE: 1 1/2" = 1'-0"



						SPA	N A1						Anto de la constante de la cons	SPA	N P1		4250000025047495947498947498949444443444944644495			, .	SPAI	N P2		****			an an an an an an air an	SP	YAN P3	n gan yangan kanalang kangang k	<u> Indene kongeserten en die einen einen die die die die die die die die die die</u>
GIRDER	CAMBER	∉ BRG. ABUT. 1	1/9	2/9	3/9	4/9	5/9	6/9	7/9	8/9	¢ PIER 1	1/7	2/7	3/7	4/7	5/7	6/7	¢ PIER 2	1/7	2/7	3/7	4/7	5 /7	6/7	¢ PIER 3	1/7	2/7	3/7	4/7	5/7	6/7
	STR. STEEL	0	0.015	0.028	0.036	0.039	0.036	0.029	0.019	0.008	0	-0.003	-0.003	-0.001	0	0	0	0	0.002	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.002	0	0	E00.0	800.0	0.012	0.013	0.011	0.007
ALL	OTHER	0	0.068	0.125	0.162	0.175	0.165	0.133	0.087	0.038	0	-0.015	-0.014	-0.008	-0.002	0	-0.002	0	0.010	0.023	0.028	0.024	0.013	0.001	0	0.017	0.044	0.065	0.073	0.063	0.037
	V.C. ORD.	0	0.069	0.121	0.155	0.172	0.172	0.155	0.121	0.069	0	0.052	0.086	0.103	0.103	0.086	0.052	0	0.052	0.086	0.103	0.103	0.086	0.052	0	0.052	0.086	0.103	0.103	0.086	0.052
	TOTAL	0	0.152	0.273	0.353	0.387	0.373	0.317	0.226	0.115	0	0.033	0.069	0.094	0.101	0.087	0.050	0	0.064	0.113	0.136	0.132	0.102	0.053	0	0.072	0.138	0.180	0.189	0.161	0.095

	NT NUMBER & COMMERCIAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A					S	PAN P	4						S	PAN P	5					*****	Ş	PAN P	6	******						SPAN	P <b>7</b>	. CONSIGNOUS CONSIGNOUS CONSIGNOUS		
GIRDER	CAMBER	¶ €	R 4	1/8	2/8	378	4/8	5/8	6/8	7/8	€ PIER 5	1/8	2/8	3/8	4/8	5/8	6/8	7/8	¢ PIER 6	1/8	2/8	3/8	4/8	5/8	6/8	7/8	¢ PIER 7	, 1/8	2/8	3/8	4/8	5/8	6/8	7/8	∉ BRG. Abut. 2
	STR. STEE	L 0	0	.010	0.017	0.021	0.021	0.018	0.011	0.005	0	0	0.002	0.005	0.007	0.006	0.004	0.002	0	0	0002	0.003	0.003	0.001	-0.001	-0.002	0	0.008	0.019	0.029	0.036	0.037	0.030	0.017	0
ALL	OTHER	0	0.	.046	0.082	0.102	0.102	0.084	0.054	0.022	0	-0.002	0.010	0.023	0.031	0.030	0.020	0.008	0	0.003	0.011	0.018	0.017	0.009	-0.003	-0.010	0	0.035	0.085	0.136	0.168	0.170	0.139	0.078	0
	V.C. ORD.	0	0.	.060	0.103	0.129	0.138	0.129	0.103	0.060	0	0.060	0.103	0.129	0.138	0.129	0.103	0.060	0	0.060	0.103	0.129	0.138	0.129	0.103	0.060	0	0.060	0.103	0.129	0.138	0.129	0.103	0.060	0
	TOTAL	0	0	.116	0.203	0.253	0.261	0.231	0.169	0.086	0	0.058	0.115	0.157	0.175	0.165	0.127	0.070	0	0.064	0.117	0.150	0.158	0.139	0.100	0.048	0	0.103	0.207	0.295	0.342	0.336	0.273	0.156	0

# TABLE OF CAMBER ORDINATES (IN FEET)

						SPA	N A1							SPAI	V P1						SPAN	P2						SF	AN P3			
SPA Poin	N TS	€ BRG ABUT.	1/9	2/9	3/9	4/9	5/9	6/9	7/9	8/9	€ PIER	1/7	2/7	3/7	4/7	5/7	6/7	¢ PIER 2	1/7	2 /7	3/7	4/7	5/7	6 /7	€ PIER 3	1/7	2/7	3/7	4/7	5/7	6/7	€ PIER 4
	1	21.697	22.232	22.748	23.248	23.731	24.196	24.644	25.075	25.488	25.884	26.264	26.625	26.970	27.297	27.608	27.900	28.176	28.435	28.676	28.900 2	29.107	29.296	29.468	29.624	29.761	29.882	29.985	30.072	30.140	30.192	30.227
G	2	21.729	22.263	22.780	23.279	23.762	24.227	24.675	25.106	25.519	25.916	26.295	26.657	27.001	27.329	27.639	27.932	28.208	28.466	28.707	28.931 2	29.138	29.327	29.500	29.655	29.793	29.913	30.017	30.103	30.172	30.223	30.258
1	3	21.807	22.341	22.858	23.358	23.840	24.305	24.753	25.184	25.598	25.994	4 26.373	26.735	27.079	27.407	27.717	28.010	28.286	28.544	28.785	29.009 2	29.216	29.406	29.578	29.733	29.871	29.991	30.095	30.181	30.250	30.302	30.336
R	4	21.885	22.419	22.936	23.436	23.918	24.383	24.831	25.262	25.676	26.072	26.451	26.813	27.158	27.485	27.795	28.088	28.364	28.622	28.863	29.087 2	29.294	29.484	29.656	29.811	29.949	30.070	30.173	30.259	30.328	<b>30.38</b> 0	30.414
D	5	21.963	22.497	23.014	23.514	23.996	24.461	24.909	25.340	25.754	26.150	26.529	26.891	27.236	27.563	27.873	28.166	28.442	28.700	28.941	29.165 2	29.372	29.562	29.734	29.889	30.027	30.148	30.251	30.337	30.406	30.458	30.492
ε	6	21.885	22.419	22.936	23.436	23.918	24.383	24.831	25.262	25.676	26.072	26.451	26.813	27.158	27.485	27.795	28.088	28.364	28.622	28.863	20.087 2	9.294	29.484	29.656	29.811	29.949	30.070	30.173	30.259	30.328	30.380	30.414
R	7	21.807	22.341	22.858	23.358	23.840	24.305	24.753	25.184	25.598	25.994	4 26.373	26.735	27.079	27.407	27.717	28.010	28.286	28.544	28.785	29.009 2	29.216	29.406	29.578	29.733	29.871	29.991	30.095	30.181	30.250	30.302	30.336
	8	21.729	22.263	22.780	23.279	23.762	24.227	24.675	25.106	25,519	25.916	26.295	26.657	27.001	27.329	27.639	27.932	28.208	28.466	28.707	28.931 2	29.138	29.327	29.500	29.655	29.793	29.913	30.017	30.103	30.172	30.223	30.258
	9	21.697	22.232	22.748	23.248	23.731	24.196	24.644	25.075	25.488	25.884	4 26.264	26.625	26.970	27.297	27.608	27.900	28.176	28.435	28.676	28.900 2	29.107	29.296	29.468	29.624	29.761	29.882	29.985	30.072	30.140	30.192	30.227

		SPAN P4	SPAN P5	SPAN P6	SPAN P7		
S PO	PAN € INTSPIER	4 1/8 2/8 3/8 4/8 5/8 6/8 7/8 PIE	¢ ER 5 1/8 2/8 3/8 4/8 5/8 6/8 7/8 PIE	€ 1/8 2/8 3/8 4/8 5/8 6/8 7/8 PIE	€ 1/8 2/8 3/8 4/8 5/8 6/8 7/8 € BRC ER 7 1/8 2/8 3/8 4/8 5/8 6/8 7/8 ABUT.	2	
	1 30.22	7 30.244 30.244 30.227 30.192 30.140 30.072 29.985 29.	.882 29.761 29.624 29.468 29.296 29.107 28.900 28.676 28	.435 28.176 27.900 27.608 27.297 26.970 26.625 26.264 25.	.884 25.488 25.075 24.644 24.196 23.731 23.248 22.748 22.232		
G	2 30.25	8 30.275 30.275 30.258 30.223 30.172 30.103 30.017 29	9.913 29.793 29.655 29.500 29.327 29.138 28.931 28.707 28.	.466 28.207 27.932 27.639 27.329 27.001 26.657 26.295 25.	.916 25.519 25.106 24.675 24.227 23.762 23.279 22.780 22.26		CONNECTICUT
	3 30.33	6 30.353 30.353 30.336 30.302 30.250 30.181 30.095 29.	.992 29.871 29.733 29.578 29.406 29.216 29.009 28.785 28	.544 28.286 28.010 27.717 27.407 27.079 26.735 26.373 25.	.994 25.598 25.184 24.753 24.305 23.840 23.358 22.858 22.341	_	LUNNELIILUI
R	4 30.41	4 30.431 30.431 30.414 30.380 30.328 30.259 30.173 30.	0.070 29.949 29.811 29.656 29.484 29.294 29.087 28.863 28	.622 28.364 28.088 27.795 27.485 27.158 26.813 26.451 26.	.072 25.676 25.262 24.831 24.383 23.918 23.436 22.936 22.419		DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
D	5 30.49	2 30.509 30.509 30.492 30.458 30.406 30.337 30.251 30	0.148 30.027 29.889 29.734 29.562 29.372 29.165 28.941 28	.700 28.442 28.166 27.873 27.563 27.235 26.891 26.529 26.	.150 25.754 25.340 24.909 24.461 23.996 23.514 23.014 22.497		STONINGTON
E	6 30.41	4 30.431 30.431 30.414 30.380 30.238 30.259 30.173 30.	0.070 29.949 29.811 29.656 29.484 29.294 29.087 28.863 28	.622 28.364 28.088 27.795 27.485 27.158 26.813 26.451 26.	.072 25.676 25.262 24.831 24.383 23.918 23.436 22.936 22.419	-	PEHABILITATION DE
R	7 30.33	6 30.353 30.353 30.336 30.302 30.250 30.181 30.095 29.	.992 29.871 29.733 29.578 29.406 29.216 29.009 28.785 28	.544 28.286 28.010 27.717 27.407 27.079 26.735 26.373 25.	994 25.598 25.184 24.753 24.305 23.840 23.358 22.858 22.34	THIS SHEET	
	8 30.25	8 30.275 30.275 30.258 30.223 30.172 30.103 30.017 29	.913 29.793 29.655 29.500 29.327 29.138 28.931 28.707 28	.466 28.207 27.932 27.639 27.329 27.001 26.657 26.295 25.	.916 25.519 25.106 24.675 24.227 23.762 23.279 22.780 22.263		
L	9 30.22	7   30.244   30.244   30.227   30.192   30.140   30.072   29.985   29.	.882 29.761 29.624 29.468 29.296 29.107 28.900 28.676 28	.435 28.176 27.900 27.608 27.297 26.970 26.625 26.264 25.	.884 25.488 25.075 24.644 24.196 23.731 23.248 22.748 22.232	NOT CORRECTED)	UVER
			FINISHED SLAB ELEVAT	IONS			CUTLER STREET. AMTRAK &
			<u>NOTE:</u> FINISHED SLAB ELEVATIONS APPLY AT OF THE CONCRETE SLAB.	THE TOP			GIRDER CAMBERS & FINISHED SLAB ELEVATIONS
		·					ENGINEER MAGUIRE GROUP INC.
							DESIGNER REV DRAFTER A.D. CHECKER JAO
					NO. DATE	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED Jucker Q. Govern DATE 12/28/89
						REVISIONS	STRUCTURE NO. 137-132-1 03906 17 DE 34

137-1.32

J.

CALES:	1" =	20'	HORZ.	
	1" =	0.24	VERT	




137-132



137-132



## TOLERANCE NOTES

- (1) Sawed surface approximating a true plane with no projections or depressions greater than 1/4".
- 2 Smooth, quarry split surface, free of drill holes, with projections or depressions not over 1/2".
- (3) No projections or depressions within this area greater than 1/4''.
- (4) Split surface shall be free of any projections over 1".
- 5 Sawed or split surface approximating a true plane to the specificied dimensions with projection no greater than 1/4".
- 6 Sawed surface free of all quarry sawing and cutting marks with allowable depressions or projections not over 1/4".
- (7) These arris lines shall be straight and true within a  $\pm$  tolerance of 1/4".
- (8) Ends of stones at intermediate joints shall be held full for 2" from all exposed surfaces with a permitted variation of 1/4". Beyond this area the joint may fall away a maximum of 3".

# TOLERANCES - 6"x8" GRANITE STONE CURBING FOR BRIDGES

N.T.S.



\* <u>NOTES:</u>

1. Days denotes the minimum number of 24 hour periods between slab concrete placings, not to include the days on which the concrete is actually placed.





				& Brg. Abut. 2
	40'	20'	40'	70'
-		-	>	
	<u>6</u> B	3B)	(5B)	(IB)
*****	Δ		Δ	Δ





Appendix C: Existing Bridge Sketches





(III)	STATE OF CONNECTICUT
<u> Kyk</u>	DEPARTMENT
	OF
TRANSTULIT	TRANSPORTATION



LASTED SAVED BY: 7336 FILE NAME: V:\Projects\ANY\K5\067838.STA\137-164\09\_Design\Drawings\Struc\STR\_03906\_Existing\_Bridge\_PLN\_ELEV\_SEC.dgn PLOTTED DATE: 3/30/2022



LASTED SAVED BY: 7336 FILE NAME: V:\Projects\ANY\K5\067838.STA\137-164\09\_Design\Drawings\Struc\STR\_03906\_Existing\_Bridge\_PLN\_ELEV\_SEC.dgn PLOTTED DATE: 3/30/2022

STATE OF CONNECTICUT DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	CONNECT/CDP NOILY LO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT	PROJECT NUMBER: 137-164 PROJECT DESCRIPTION: REHABILITATION OF BRIDGE NO TOWN(S): STONINGTON DRAWING TUTE: EXISTING BRIDGE CROSS SECTION
	ST TK	DRAWING TITLE: EXISTING BRIDGE CROSS SECTION



LASTED SAVED BY: 7336 FILE NAME: V:\Projects\ANY\K5\067838.STA\137-164\09\_Design\Drawings\Struc\STR\_03906\_Existing\_Bridge\_PLN\_ELEV\_SEC.dgn PLOTTED DATE: 3/30/2022

Appendix D: Rehabilitation Alternate Sketches







LASTED SAVED BY: 7336 FILE NAME: V:\Projects\ANY\K5\067838.STA\137-164\09\_Design\Drawings\Struc\STR\_03906\_Existing\_Bridge\_PLN\_ELEV\_SEC.dgn
PLOTTED DATE: 4/5/2022

N	OT	ΈS	:

INSPECTED DETERIORATION					
PIER	FACE	COMPONENT	APPROXIMATE SIZE/ COMPONENT		
P1	South	TOP FLANGE PLATE	36''x12''x¾''		
P1	SOUTH	WEB	16"x6"x⅔"		
P1	SOUTH	WEB	42"x3"x¾"		
P1	NORTH	TOP ANGLE	180" OF ANGLE		
P2	SOUTH	TOP ANGLE	60" OF ANGLE		
P2	SOUTH	BOT. ANGLE	60" OF ANGLE		
P2	South	BOT. ANGLE	84" OF ANGLE		
P2	NORTH	TOP ANGLE	102" OF ANGLE		
P2	NORTH	BOT. ANGLE	92" OF ANGLE		
P3	South	BOT. ANGLE	120" OF ANGLE		
P3	NORTH	BOT. ANGLE	108" OF ANGLE		
P5	NORTH	BOT. ANGLE	12" OF ANGLE		
P6	South	TOP ANGLE	266" OF ANGLE		
P6	South	TOP ANGLE	102" OF ANGLE		
P6	SOUTH	BOT. ANGLE	48" OF ANGLE		
P7	SOUTH	WEB	24''x8''x <del>%</del> ''		
P7	NORTH	TOP FLANGE PLATE	300''x24''x∛8''		



LUCATION	1	REPLACE MODULAR DECK JOINT
	2	REPLACE APJ JOINT
	3	FILL PIER CAP WITH CONCRETE
	4	SPOT PAINTING
	5	GIRDER STRENGTHENING
	6	PAINT BEAM ENDS
	7	REPLACE EXISTING PIER
PC	8	REPLACE EXISTING PIER CAP
${\#}$ PIER CAP	9	CLEAN AND LUBRICATE BEARINGS
	10	<b>REPLACE BEARINGS</b>
	11	INSTALL ARC SHIELDS
$\frac{BD}{\#}$ PIER BENT	12	EPOXY INJECTION REPAIRS
	13	WEEP DRAIN REPAIRS
$\frown$	14	CONCRETE DECK PATCHING

DRAWING NO.
HWY-2
Sheet no.
3 OF 6







DRAWING NO.
HWY-4
Sheet no.
5 of 6



LASTED SAVED BY: T FILE NAME: V:\Projects\ANY\K5\067838.STA\137-164\09\_Design\Drawings\Hwy\HW\_CB\_137-164\_Exist-&-Concept\_Models.dgn PLOTTED DATE: 4/5/2022

Appendix E: Rehabilitation Alternate Cost Comparisons



	COMPUTATION BY TAS		DATE	2/15/22	SHEET OF	1
	CHECKED BY		DATE	2/13/22	CHA PROJECT NO.	
	CLIENT			2/21/22	CLIENT PROJECT NO.	SIA
ITEM	ConnDOT State Liaison Bridge	e Project			137-16	64
Bridge #03906 Alternate 1 Cost Estimate Summary						
Г						
Alternate 1: Pier 4 Replacement and Structura	al Repairs/Strengthening					
Superstructure Work:	a Reparts of englishing					
1. Removal and replacement of existing modular jo	int located over pier 4.					
2. Replace existing asphaltic plug joints.						
<ol><li>Steel repairs and spot painting.</li></ol>						
4. Strengthening of girders in span 8.						
5. Install arc shields over catenary wires.						
<ol> <li>Paint beam ends at ship lap joint and abutments.</li> <li>Replace reaker bearings</li> </ol>						
8. Repairs to existing weep drains						
0. Repairs to existing weep trains.						
Substructure Work:						
1. Epoxy injection crack repairs to abutments and v	vingwalls					
2. Repairs to existing pier bents.						
<ol><li>Full replacement of pier 4.</li></ol>						
4 Fill existing pier caps with concrete.						
5. Replacement of bearings in ship lap over pier 4.						
6. Clean and lubricate existing bearings.						
7. Repair or replace missing or deteriorated rivets.						
STRUCTURE ITEMS						
ITEM NO. ITEM DESCRIPTION			UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
0503041 JACKING FOR PIER MODIFICAT	TON		LS	1	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00
0503889 JACKING EXISTING SUPERSTR	UCTURE		EA	6	\$125,000.00	\$750,000.00
0511116 REPAIR WEEP DRAINS			EA	4	\$1,100.00	\$4,400.00
0520036 ASPHALTIC PLUG EXPANSION				73	\$303.20 \$1,500.00	\$25,800.00
0521001 ELASTOMERIC BEARING PADS			CI	15000	\$1,300.00 \$1.38	\$78,000.00
0521003 BEARING REPLACEMENT WITH	ELASTOMERIC BEARING PADS		EA	18	\$2,926.80	\$52,700.00
0522129 CLEAN AND LUBRICATE EXIST	NG BEARINGS		EA	90	\$1,600.00	\$144,000.00
0601066 COLUMN AND CAP CONCRETE			CY	120	\$2,000.00	\$240,000.00
0601954 EPOXY INJECTION CRACK REF	PAIR		LF	184	\$98.10	\$18,100.00
0602030 DEFORMED STEEL BARS - GAL	VANIZED		LB	15000	\$1.94	\$29,100.00
0603061 STRUCTURAL STEEL (SITE NO.	. 1)		LS	1	\$415,000.00	\$415,000.00
0603081 STRUCTURAL STEEL REPAIRS	(SITE NO. 1)		CWI	20	\$5,000.00	\$100,000.00
0603563 CLASS 1 CONTAINMENT AND C	ND FIELD PAINTING OF STRUCTURE		LS	1	\$101,000.00	\$161,600.00
0603659 REPLACE REMOVED OR MISSI	NG RIVETS AND BOI TS WITH HIGH STRENG	STH BOLTS	EA	190	\$115.00	\$122,000.00
		JIII BOEIO			SUBTOTAL 1	\$2,683,300.00
ROADWAY ITEMS						
ITEM NO. ITEM DESCRIPTION				QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
0000804A GROUNDING, BONDING AND R 0822100.01 TEMPORARY TRAFFIC BARRIE	AIL RETURN SYSTEM		LS	1	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00
0822101.01 RELOCATED TEMPORARY TRA	FFIC BARRIER		LF	500	\$35.00	\$44,000.00
					SUBTOTAL 2	\$186.500.00
		14 . CO h 4 . O				
Minor Items (20% of Subtotal 1+2)		20%			\$573 960 00	\$574,000,00
		2078	13	I	SUBTOTAL 3	\$574,000.00
LUMP SUM ITEMS		<u>% of Sub 1 &amp; 2 &amp; 3</u>	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	<u>TOTAL</u>
Clearing & Grubbing		2.0%	LS	1	\$68,876.00	\$69,000.00
Mobilization and Project Closeout		7.0%	15	1	\$223,409.00	\$190,000.00
Construction Staking		2.0%	LS	1	\$103.314.00	\$104.000.00
5					SUBTOTAL 4	\$587,000.00
ENGINEERING PERCENTAGES			<u>% 0</u>	25%	INCIDENTALS	\$1 007 700 00
Contingency				20%	CONTINGENCY	\$806 200 00
					SUBTOTAL 5	\$1,813,900.00
AMTRAK RAIL ITEMS					UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
AMTRAK FORCE ACCOUNT					\$250,000.00	\$250,000.00
					SUBTOTAL 6	\$250,000.00
ESCALATION TO YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION			0	% per Year	ITEM	TOTAL
3.5% per Year to 2026 Construction			4	3.50%	SUBTOTAL 7	\$1,397,300.00
						A7 400 000 00
					IOTAL	\$7,492,000.00
					GRAND TOTAL	\$7,492,000.00

	COMPUTATION BY	DATE	SHEET OF
	TAS	2/15/22	1 1
	CHECKED BY	DATE	CHA PROJECT NO.
	AJF	2/21/22	067838.STA
	CLIENT		CLIENT PROJECT NO.
	ConnDOT State Liaison Bridge Project		137-164
ITEM			,
Bridge # 02006 Alternate 2 Cost Estimate Summary			

Alter	Devision and of Diana and Charactural Devision (Characturation)					
Alternate 2: Superstruct	Replacement of Piers and Structural Repairs/Strengthening					
1 Removal a	nd replacement of existing modular joint located over pier 4					
2 Replace ex	isting asphaltic plug joints					
<ol> <li>Steel renai</li> </ol>	rs and spot painting					
4 Strengthen	ing of girders in span 8					
5 Install arc s	bields over catenary wires					
6 Paint beam	ends at ship lap joint and abutments					
7 Renaire to	evisting ween drains					
	existing weep drams.					
Substructur	e Work:					
1. Epoxy injed	tion crack repairs to abutments and wingwalls					
2. Replaceme	nt of all pier bents and caps with concrete piers.					
3. Replace al	existing bearings with elastomeric bearings.					
STRUCTURE	ITEMS					
ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION		UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
0503889	JACKING EXISTING SUPERSTRUCTURE		EA	7	\$125,000,00	\$875.000.00
0511116	REPAIR WEEP DRAINS		EA	4	\$1,100.00	\$4,400.00
0520036	ASPHALTIC PLUG EXPANSION JOINT SYSTEM		CF	73	\$353.25	\$25,800.00
0520456	PREFABRICATED EXPANSION JOINT SYSTEM		LF	52	\$1,800.00	\$93,600,00
0521001	ELASTOMERIC BEARING PADS		CI	59000	\$1.38	\$81,500.00
0521001			EA	18	\$2 926 80	\$52,700,00
0521003	DEARING REPLACEMENT WITH ELASTOMERIC BEARING PADS		CY	10	\$2,520.00	\$32,700.00
0601064				930	\$1,100.00	\$1,023,000.00
0601954	EPOXY INJECTION CRACK REPAIR		LF	184	\$98.10	\$18,100.00
0602030	DEFORMED STEEL BARS - GALVANIZED		LB	112000	\$1.94	\$217,300.00
0603061	STRUCTURAL STEEL (SITE NO. 1)		LS	1	\$415,000.00	\$415,000.00
0603147	ABRASIVE BLAST CLEANING AND FIELD PAINTING OF STRUCTURE		LS	1	\$161,600.00	\$161,600.00
0603479	ABRASIVE BLAST CLEANING AND PAINTING OF BEAM ENDS		LS	1	\$43,100.00	\$43,100.00
0603563	CLASS 1 CONTAINMENT AND COLLECTION OF SURFACE PREPARATION	N DEBRIS (SITE NO. 1)	LS	1	\$122,000.00	\$122,000.00
0603659	REPLACE REMOVED OR MISSING RIVETS AND BOLTS WITH HIGH STRE	ENGTH BOLTS	EA	80	\$115.00	\$9,200.00
					SUBTOTAL 1	\$3,142,300.00
ROADWAYI	TEMS					
ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION		UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
0000804A	GROUNDING, BONDING AND RAIL RETURN SYSTEM		LS	1	\$75.000.00	\$75.000.00
0822100.01	TEMPORARY TRAFFIC BARRIER		LE	800	\$55.00	\$44,000,00
0822101.01	RELOCATED TEMPORARY TRAFFIC BARRIER		LE	500	\$35.00	\$17,500.00
					SUBTOTAL 2	\$136,500.00
	8	% of Sub 1 +2	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
Minor Items (2	- 20% of Subtotal 1+2)	20%	LS	1	\$655,760.00	\$656.000.00
		2070	20		SUBTOTAL 3	\$656.000.00
	I EMS	<u>% of Sub 1 &amp; 2 &amp; 3</u>		QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	101AL
Clearing & Gr	ubbing "	2.0%	LS	1	\$78,696.00	\$79,000.00
M&PotIrat		5.5%	LS	1	\$216,414.00	\$217,000.00
Mobilization a	nd Project Closeout	7.0%	LS	1	\$255,762.00	\$256,000.00
Construction	Staking	2.0%	LS	1	\$118,044.00	\$119,000.00
					SUBTOTAL 4	\$671,000.00
ENGINEERIN	G PERCENTAGES		<u>% o</u>	f Sub 1, 2 & 3	ITEM	TOTAL
Incidentals				25%	INCIDENTALS	\$1,151,500.00
Contingency				20%	CONTINGENCY	\$921,200.00
					SUBTOTAL 5	\$2,072,700.00
	LITEMS				UNIT PRICE	ΤΟΤΔΙ
AMTRAK FOI	RCE ACCOUNT				\$ 250,000.00	\$250,000.00
						\$250,000,00
					SUBIUIAL 0	ຈ∠ວ0,000.00
ESCALATION	TO YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION		<u> </u>	<u>6 per Year</u>	ITEM	TOTAL
3.5% per Yea	r to 2026 Construction			3.50%	SUBTOTAL 7	\$1,588,400.00

GRAND TOTAL \$8,517,000.00

TOTAL

\$8,516,900.00

	COMPUTATION BY TAS	DATE 2/15/22	SHEET OF 1 1
CHA	CHECKED BY AJF	DATE 2/21/22	CHA PROJECT NO. 067838.STA
	CLIENT ConnDOT State Liaison Bridge Project		CLIENT PROJECT NO. 137-164
ITEM			

Bridge # 03906 Alternate 3 Cost Estimate Summary

Alternate 3: F Superstructu 1. Removal an 2. Replace exit 3. Strip existing 4. Mill and over 5. Minor patchi 6. Strengthenir 7. Install arc sh 8. Repairs to e 9. Minor patchi 10. Painting ar 11. Add new m 12. Repairs to 13. Full and pa 14. Modification Substructure 1. Epoxy inject 2. Replacemer	Replacement of Piers and Structural Repairs/Strengthening re Work: d replacement of existing modular joint located over pier 4. sting asphaltic plug joints. g overlay and install new membrane and bituminous overlay. rlay approaches. ing work to existing bridge sidewalks. ng of girders in span 8. hields over catenary wires. xisting light standards. ing and repair to existing wingwall stairwells. drepairs to existing bridge fence. hbr-mash and end blocks to bridge. existing weep drains. rtial depth deck Patching ns to Parapets Work: ion crack repairs to abutments and wingwalls tt of all pier bents and caps with concrete piers.					
3. Replace all e	existing bearings with elastomeric bearings.					
STRUCTURE	ITEMS					
ITEM NO.			UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
0202529	CUT BITUMINOUS CONCRETE PAVEMENT		LF	68	\$2.83	\$200.00
0406236	MATERIAL FOR TACK COAT		GAL	290	\$6.00	\$1,740.00
0406277	REMOVAL OF EXISING WEARING SURFACE		SF	24800	\$15.20	\$377,000.00
0406171	HMA S0.5		TON	300	\$121.40	\$36,500.00
0406173	HMA S0.25		TON	140	\$118.20	\$16,548.00
0503041	JACKING FOR PIER MODIFICATION		LS	1	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00
0503889	JACKING EXISTING SUPERSTRUCTURE		EA	8	\$125,000.00	\$1,000,000.00
0511116	REPAIR WEEP DRAINS		EA	4	\$1,100.00	\$4,400.00
0520036	ASPHALTIC PLUG EXPANSION JOINT SYSTEM		CF	73	\$353.25	\$25,800.00
0520456	PREFABRICATED EXPANSION JOINT SYSTEM		LF	52	\$1,500.00	\$78,000.00
0521001	ELASTOMERIC BEARING PADS		CI	66000	\$1.38	\$91,100.00
0521002	BEARING REPLACEMENT WITH ELASTOMERIC BEARING PADS		EA	90	\$2,926.80	\$263,500.00
0601121	PARAPET CONCRETE		LF	1380	\$350.00	\$483,000.00
0601122	BRIDGE SIDEWALK CONCRETE		CY	1	\$1,600.00	\$1,600.00
0601270	FULL DEPTH PATCH (HIGH EARLY STRENGTH)		CY	10	\$4,900.00	\$49,000.00
0601318	PARTIAL DEPTH PATCH		CF	380	\$450.00	\$171,000.00
0601954	EPOXY INJECTION CRACK REPAIR		LF	184	\$98.10	\$18,100.00
0603061	STRUCTURAL STEEL (SITE NO. 1)		LS	1	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,000,000.00
0603081	STRUCTURAL STEEL REPAIRS (SITE NO. 1)		CWT	10	\$5,000.00	\$50,000.00
0603147	ABRASIVE BLAST CLEANING AND FIELD PAINTING OF STRUCTURE		LS	1	\$161,600.00	\$161,600.00
0603479	ABRASIVE BLAST CLEANING AND PAINTING OF BEAM ENDS		LS	1	\$43,100.00	\$43,100.00
0603563	CLASS 1 CONTAINMENT AND COLLECTION OF SURFACE PREPARATION	N DEBRIS (SITE NO. 1)	LS	1	\$122,000.00	\$122,000.00
0603659	REPLACE REMOVED OR MISSING RIVETS AND BOLTS WITH HIGH STRE	ENGTH BOLTS	EA	80	\$115.00	\$9,200.00
0904900	METAL BRIDGE RAIL PROTECTIVE FENCE		LF	1252	\$426.48	\$534,000.00
					SUBTOTAL 1	\$4,737,388.00
BOADWAY	EMS					
				OUANTITY		TOTAL
00008044				4	\$125.000.00	\$125.000.00
0406171	HMA SO 5		TON	370	¢120,000.00	\$45,000.00
0400171			ION	570	φ121.40 ¢0.92	\$45,000.00
0822100.01	TEMPORARY TRAFFIC BARRIER			00	Φ <u></u> 2.03	φ200.00 ¢44.000.00
0822101.01			LF	500	\$35.00	\$44,000.00
1002300			ΕΔ	3	\$35.00	\$7,500.00
1118101			EST	1	\$2,500.00	\$350,000,00
1110101			201	·	SUBTOTAL 2	\$589,200,00
					COBTOTALE	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>
MINOR ITEMS		% of Sub 1 +2	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
Minor Items (20	- 0% of Subtotal 1+2)	20%	LS	1	\$1,065,317.60	\$1,066,000.00
					SUBTOTAL 3	\$1,066,000.00
LUMP SUM IT	EMS	% of Sub 1 & 2 & 3	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
Clearing & Gru	Ibbing	2.0%	LS	1	\$127,851.76	\$128,000.00
M & P of Traffic	c	5.5%	LS	1	\$351,592.34	\$352,000.00
Mobilization an	nd Project Closeout	7.0%	LS	1	\$415,518.22	\$416,000.00
Construction S	itaking	2.0%	LS	1	\$191,777.64	\$192,000.00
					SUBTOTAL 4	\$1,088,000.00
ENGINEERING	<u>G PERCENTAGES</u>		<u>% of</u>	5ub 1, 2 & 3	ITEM	TOTAL
Incidentals				20%	INCIDENTALS	\$1,496,200.00
Contingency				∠U%		\$1,496,200.00
					SUBIUIAL 5	\$2,992,400.00

AMTRAK RAIL ITEMS AMTRAK FORCE ACCOUNT		\$ UNIT PRICE 350,000.00 SUBTOTAL 6	TOTAL \$350,000.00 \$350,000.00
ESCALATION TO YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION 3.5% per Year to 2026 Construction	<u>% per Year</u> 3.50%	ITEM SUBTOTAL 7	<u>TOTAL</u> \$2,481,300.00
		TOTAL	\$13,304,288.00
		GRAND TOTAL	\$13,305,000.00

Г

		COMPUTATION BY	TAS		DATE	1/10/22	1	1
- C		CHECKED BY			DATE	2/10/22	CHA PROJECT NO.	STA
		CLIENT	AJF			3/10/22	CLIENT PROJECT NO.	.51A
		Co	nnDOT State Liaison Bridge	Project			137-	164
Bridge # 03906 Al	ternate 4 Cost Estimate Summary							
Alternate 4: R	emove Superstructure, Raise S	uperstructure, New	Bridge in Spans 2 thro	bugh 4, Fill Spans 1, 5	through 8 wit	h GRS-IBS		
Superstructu	re/ Roadway Work							
1 Remove exis	ting superstructure							
2 Replace spa	ins 2.3.4 with a new superstructure							
2. Replace spa	ated approaches	•						
4 Raise profile	of roadway and full depth reconst	ruction for approx 900						
5 Replace exis	siting sidewalks and curbing along	annroaches	•					
6. Replace exis	sting light standards.	approduction.						
0.11001000 0.00	ang ign clanadiae.							
Substructure	Work:							
1. Epoxy inject	ion crack repairs to existing stairwe	ells.						
2. Install reinfo	rced soil foundation.							
3. Install GRS-	IBS abutments and structural fill un	der existing spans 1,5.	6, 7, and 8.					
4. Install new p	ier next to existing pier 3.							
5. Installation c	f CMU facing walls in front of GRS	-IBS.						
6. Installation of	f shields over Amtrak wires under	Span 4.						
STRUCTURE	ITEMS							
ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION				UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
0216000	PERVIOUS STRUCTURE BACK	FILL			CY	5060	\$48.50	\$245,500.00
0406171	HMA S0.5				TON	97	\$121.40	\$11,800.00
0406173	HMA SU.25				ION	60	\$118.20	\$7,092.00
0503001					LS	1	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,600,000.00
0520036	ASPHALTIC PLUG EXPANSION	JUINT SYSTEM			CF	17000	\$303.20 ¢1.29	\$38,900.00
0521001	ELASTOMERIC BEARING PADS				CV	280	\$1.30 \$905.00	\$23,500.00
0601064	ABUTMENT AND WALL CONCE	ELE			CY	200	\$090.00	\$250,600.00
0601118	BRIDGE DECK CONCRETE					1290	\$1,100.00	\$341,000.00
0601121		-			CV	1300	\$330.00 ¢1.100.00	\$463,000.00
0601122	DEEODMED STEEL BARS CAL					40000	\$1,100.00 \$1.04	\$01,000.00 \$77,600.00
0602030	STRUCTURAL STEEL (SITE NO	1)			LB	40000	\$1 900 000 00	\$77,000.00
0712021A	GRS ABUTMENT AND WINGWA	ΔII			CY	21900	\$90.00	\$1,900,000.00
0712022	ABUTMENT AND WINGWALL C				SF	27540	\$40.00	\$1 101 600 00
0712023	REINFORCED SOIL FOUNDATIO	ON			CY	3100	\$125.00	\$387 500 00
0904900	METAL BRIDGE RAIL PROTECT	TIVE FENCE			LF	1252	\$426.48	\$534,000.00
							SUBTOTAL 1	\$9,034,692,00
ROADWAY IT	EMS						000101121	\$0,001,002.00
ITEM NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION				UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
0000804A	GROUNDING, BONDING AND R	AIL RETURN SYSTEM	1		LS	1	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00
0202000	SUBBASE				CY	960.00	\$45.00	\$43,200.00
0202491	REMOVAL OF GRANITE STONE	E CURBING			LF	2710	\$18.00	\$48,800.00
0202513	REMOVAL OF CONCRETE SIDE	EWALK			SY	1810	\$47.50	\$86,000.00
0202529	CUT BITUMINOUS CONCRETE	PAVEMENT			LF	68	\$2.83	\$200.00
0406171	HMA S0.5				TON	1743	\$121.40	\$211,700.00
0507001	TYPE "C" CATCH BASIN				EA	5	\$2,500.00	\$12,500.00
0507022	TYPE "C" CATCH BASIN DOUBI	LE GRATE - TYPE II			EA	4	\$6,500.00	\$26,000.00
0651011	12" R.C. PIPE				LF	428	\$100.00	\$42,800.00
0651012	15" R.C. PIPE					45	\$100.00	\$4,500.00
0651013	18" R.C. PIPE	DINO			LF	4	\$100.00	\$400.00
0813012					LF	2710	\$40.00	\$108,400.00
0822100.01						1880	\$55.00	\$103,400.00
092001	CONCRETE SIDEWALK	AFFIC DARRIER			SE	14 020	\$35.00	\$23,000.00
1002300					ΕΔ	14,020	\$13.00	\$102,300.00
1118101	TEMPORARY SIGNALIZATION				EST	1	\$2,500.00	\$20,000.00
1110101					LOT		SUBTOTAL 2	\$1 389 000 00
							OOD TO THE 2	¢1,000,000.00
MINOR ITEMS				% of Sub 1 +2	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
Minor Items (20	0% of Subtotal 1+2)			20%	LS	1	\$2,084,738.40	\$2,085,000.00
							SUBTOTAL 3	\$2,085,000.00
LUMP SUM IT	EMS			<u>% of Sub 1 &amp; 2 &amp; 3</u>	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
Clearing & Gru	bbing			0.5%	LS	1	\$62,543.46	\$63,000.00
M & P of Traffi	C			5.5%	LS	1	\$687,978.06	\$688,000.00
Mobilization an	d Project Closeout			5.0%	LS	1	\$813,064.98	\$814,000.00
Construction S	taking			1.0%	LS	1	\$375,260.76	\$376,000.00
							SUBTUTAL 4	\$1,941,000.00
ENGINEERING	PERCENTAGES				% 0	f Sub 1 2 & 3	ITEM	τοται
Incidentals					<u>_/1 0</u>	20%	INCIDENTALS	\$2,890,000,00
Contingency						20%	CONTINGENCY	\$2,890,000.00
. ,							SUBTOTAL 5	\$5,780,000.00
AMTRAK RAIL	ITEMS						UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
AMTRAK FOR	CE ACCOUNT						\$ 500,000.00	\$500,000.00
							SUBTOTAL 6	\$500,000.00
								_
UTILITY ITEM						QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
1014910	UTILITY RELOCATION				LS	1	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00
							CURTOTAL 7	\$200.000.00
							SUDIVIAL /	a200,000.00

ESCALATION TO YEAR OF CONSTRUCTION 3.5% per Year to 2026 Construction	<u>% per Year</u> 3.50%	ITEM SUBTOTAL 8	<u>TOTAL</u> \$4,752,400.00
		TOTAL	\$25,482,092.00
		GRAND TOTAL	\$25,483,000.00

Г

Appendix F: 2020 CTDOT Inspection Report



Inspection Type: Fracture Critical and Routine



## **BRIDGE NO.03906**

73770 - STONINGTON ALPHA AVENUE over AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS

Fracture Critical and Routine Inspection 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page Number
Report Title Page	1
Location Map	2
In-Depth Components	3
Structure Inventory and Appraisal (BRI-19)	4
Inspection Data (BRI-18)	8
National Bridge Elements	18
Rocker Bearing Measurement Sheet (BRI-15)	19
Fracture Critical Data (BRI-12)	20
Sketches	21
Pictures	61
Highway Bridge Work Items	92



## **Report Title Page**

Bridge No. 03906, Alpha Avenue over Amtrak Railroad & Local Roads, Stonington, CT

Date of Inspection: 01/19/2020



Professional Certification: I hereby certify that this report, including all its contents, has been approved by me, and that I am a duly licensed professional engineer under the laws of the State of Connecticut.

Signature:

Navit R. Natso

Navnit R Nakrani

Digitally signed by Navnit R Nakrani Date: 2020.04.10 10:25:12 -04'00'

License No.: 20458

Date: 04/10/2020



CONNECTING. CREATING. CONSERVING. COMMUNITY. www.primeeng.com

Form: Location Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Location Map # 1 Bridge No. 03906 Alpha Ave. over Amtrak Railroad & Local Rds. Stonington, CT Lat: 41°20'19.86" Long: -71°54'20.28"

## **In-Depth Components**

Bridge: 03906 Town: 73770 - STONINGTON



Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS :Bridge No 03906

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS

## **STRUCTURE INVENTORY & APPRAISAL**

INSPECTION	STRUCTURE TYPE & MATERIALS
Structurally Deficient Y Functionally Obsolete N	(43) Structure Type, Main
Sufficiency Rating 56.8	A) Material 4 - Steel continuous
(90) Inspection Date 01/19/2020 (91) Frequency 24	B) Design Type 02 - Stringer/Multi-beam or Girder
Indepth Insp No Proposed next Indepth Year	(44) Structure Type, Approach
Deck Survey Date Class 03	A) Material 0 - Other
Access 99 - Other (specify in comments of BRI-19) Flagman 2	B) Design Type 00 - Other
Frequency Date Type	(45) Number of Spans, Main Unit 008
Fracture     24     01/19/2020     K Steel Pier Caps, riveted box or plate girders	(46) Number of Approach Spans 00000
Underwater	(107) Deck Structure Type 1 - Concrete Cast-in-Place
Special F Crack Growth	(108) Wearing Surface/Protection Systems
	A) Type of Wearing Surface 6 - Bituminous
Bridge Name FRANK TUREK VIADUCT	B) Type of Membrane
Town Code - Name 73770 - STONINGTON	C) Type of Deck Protection 1 Epoxy Costed Peinfercing
(5) Inventory Route	
(A) Record Type 1: Route carried "on" the structure	
(B) Signing Prefix 5 - CITY STREET	A) Material 2 - CONCRETE
(C) Level of Service 0 - NONE OF THE BELOW	B) Design Type 1 - FULL HEIGHT STEM
(D) Route Number. 00000	
(E) Dir Suffix 0 - NOT APPLICABLE	
(6A) Featured Intersected AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS	Year 1990
(6B) Critical Facility Indicator	Comment Federal Standard Color 24424. No other information provided.
(7) Facility Carried ALPHA AVENUE	GEOMETRIC DATA
(9) Location 1000 FT SOUTH OF ROUTE 1A	(48) Length of Maximum Span 90 ft.
(11) Mile Post 0.12 Miles	(49) Structure Length 625 ft.
(16) Latitude 41 Deg. 20 Min. 19.86 Sec.	(50) Curb or Sidewalk Widths
(17) Longitude -71 Deg. 54 Min. 20.28 Sec.	A) Left 6 ft 0 in B) Right 6 ft 0 in
(98) Border Bridge	(51) Bridge Roadway Width Curb to Curb 34 ft 0 in.
(A) State Code (B) Percent Responsibility %	(52) Deck Width, Out to Out 48 ft. 6 in.
(C) Border Town Name	(32) Approach Roadway Width 34 ft.
(99) Border Bridge Structure No.	

### Form: BRI-19, Rev. 2/15 Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS

(33) Bridge Median	) - No median	A	AGE AND SERVICE
Deck Area 30313	sq. ft.	Year Built 1940	(106) Year Reconstructed [1992]
(34) Skew Angle	dea.	(42) Type of Service	
(35) Structure Flared 0 - No fla	re	A) On 5 - Highwa	ay-pedestrian
(10) Inv. Rte. Min. Vert. Clearance	99 ft. 99 in.	B) Under 4 - Highw	ay - railroad
(47) Inv. Rte. Total Horiz. Clr.	$\frac{34}{100}$ ft. 0 in.	(28) Number of Lanes	
Log Inv. Rte. Total Horiz. Clr.	34 ft. 0 in.	A) On 02	B) Under 04
RLog Inv. Rte. Total Horiz. Clr.	0 ft. 0 in.	(29) Average Daily Traffic	4810
(53) Min. Vert. Clearence Over Bridge	99 ft. 99 in.	Is Above Half ADT?	No
(54) Log-Min. Vert. Underclearance	⊣ ref. 13 ft. 5 in.	(109) Precent Truck	3%
(55) Min. Lat Underclearance on Right	t H ref. 2 ft. 0 in.	(30) Years of ADT	2017
(56) Min. Lat Underclearance on Left	0ft. 0in.	(19) Bypass, Detour Leng	th 1 Miles
CONDITIC	DN		APPRAISALS
(58) Deck	7	(67) Structural Evaluation	4
(59) Superstructure	5	(68) Deck Geometry	5
(60) Substructure	4	(69) Underclearances, Ver	rt. & Horiz. 3
(61) Channel & Channel Protections	Ν	(71) Waterway Adequacy	Ν
(62) Culverts	Ν	(72) Approach Roadway A	Alignment 6
(36) Traffic Safety Features		(113) Scour Critical	Ν
A) Bridge Railings	1		COMMENTS
B) Transitions	0	<ul> <li>Acess: Code 99 (Othe used for inspection.</li> <li>Load Rating and Posti BIM Section 8.1.5 - RAI</li> <li>ADT: Based on 1% in</li> </ul>	er) - 45' Bucket Truck and 40' High rail ing based on "Operating Rating" per P 4/22/14 crease per year for local roads.
C) Approach Guardrail	0		
D) Approach Guardrail End	ls 0		
WATER\	WAY	C	
Drainage Basin Waterway		(112) NBIS Bridge Length	Yes
(38) Navigation Control	N - Not applicable, no waterway	(104) Highway System	0 - Structure/Route is NOT on NHS
(39) Navigation Vertical Clearance	D ft.	(26) Functional Class	17 - Urban - Collector
(40) Navigation Horiz. Clr.	0 ft.	(100) Defense Highway	0 - Not a STRAHNET route
(111) Pier/Abutment Navigation		(101) Parallel Structure	N - No parallel structure
(116) Vert-Lift Brg Nav Min	0 ft. 0 In.	(102) Direction of Traffic	2 - 2-way traffic

### Town: STONINGTON **Carried:** ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS

(103) Temporary Structure				
(110) Designated National Network	0 - Inve	entory route	not on network	
(20) Toll	3 <b>-</b> On l	Free Road		
(21) Maintain	25 - Ot	ner Local Ag	jencies	
(22) Owner	80 - Otl	ner or Unkno	own	
Report Class	0 - 0R	PHAN		
(37) Historical Significance	5 - Not	eligible for N	National Register	•
P0	OSTED	SIGNS -		
Other Posted Sign 1				
Other Posted Sign 2				
		Actual	Recomended	
Posted Load Single Unit Tru	ıck			tons
Posted Load Semi-Trailer T	ruck			tons
Posted Load 4 Axle Truck				tons
Posted Load 3S2 Truck				tons
All Vehicles				tons
Posted Vert. Clearance on B	Bridge	ft.	in.	
Posted Vert. Underclearanc	е	13 ft.	2in.	
Posted Speed Limit on Brid	ge	25 m.p	o.h.	

### - OTHER FEATURES -

Fence Required	Yes		
Fence Present	Yes		
Fence Type	2 - Chain Link		
Fence Height	7.3		
Fence Material	2 - Steel		
Fence Top Type	2 - Return		
Barrel Ladders	No		
Stand Pipes	No		
Catwalks	No		
Moveable Inspection System		No	
Haunches Present over Roadway		YES	
Utilities	3   Electric		
	4   Telephone		

PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS						
(75A) Type of Work Proposed						
(75B) Work Done By						
(76) Length of Structure Improvement	ft.					
(94) Bridge Improvement Cost	\$					
(95) Roadway Improvement Cost	\$					
(96) Total Project Cost	\$					
(97) Year of Improvement Estimate						
(114) Future ADT	7147					
(115) Year of Future ADT	2037					
DOT Bridge Program List No						
Project No						

### Advertised Date

## (31) Design Load

- (63) Operating Rating Type
- (64) Operating Rating
- (65) Inventory Rating Type
- (66) Inventory Rating
- **Evaluation Code**
- Year of Evaluation
- (70) Bridge Posting
- (41) Structure Status

- LOAD RATING & POSTING					
	5 - HS 20				
ating Type	1 - Load Factor (LF)				
ating	43.5				
ting Type	1 - Load Factor (LF)				
ting	26.1				
	L - Load Factor				
n	2000				
ng	5 - Equal to or above legal loads				
itus	A - Open				

### **INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURES:**

1)	Eriol Begolli	Date: 04/07/2020	P.E. SIGNATURE:	N. L. Nahrani	Date: 04/10/2020
2)	Port Kn	Date: 04/07/2020	P.E. #	PEN.0020458	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
3)	Out -	 Date:	Reviewed By:	William Freem J. William Freeman, Jr.	Date: 05/08/2020
4) -		Date:			

:Bridge No 03906

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS

		<u>FII</u>	ELD INSF	PECTIC	ON REPO	<u>DRT</u>			
Location:	1000 FT SOUTH		Year Built:	1940		Snoop	er Required:		
Main Material:	4 - Steel continu	ious	Year Rebuilt	1992		Snoop	er Used:		
Main Design:	02 - Stringer/Mu	lti-beam or							
Inspectors:					Visits:				
Lead Inspector	:	Jack K	lucznik		Visit Date:	Temp:	Start Time:	End Time:	
Inspector:		Task:			01/19/2020	20	09:30 PM	02:45 AM	
Agolli,Elvis		BSE -	Inspector		01/28/2000	40	08:30 AM	04:00 PM	
Area,14		BSE -	Inspector		01/29/2020	40	09:00 AM	12:45 PM	
Begolli,Eriol		BSE -	Inspector		02/26/2020	47	02:15 PM	02:45 PM	
Klucznik,Jack		BSE -	Inspector		_				
Mohammed,Mu	ıqtadar	BSE -	Inspector		_				
Nyei,Anwar		BSE -	Inspector		_				
Spahiu,Igli		BSE -	Inspector						
58. DECK:									
Rei	nforced concrete	deck with bitum	ninous overlay.					Overall Rating	. 7
Overlay:       7       Bituminous concrete overlay:         - Overlay has random longitudinal, transverse & diagonal cracks open up to 3/16" wide and long paving seams are opened up to 3/16" wide. Some cracks have been previously sealed in the pase of Deck - Str. Condition:         7       Underside of concrete deck:         - Random transverse, longitudinal and hairline map cracking with and without efflorescence, da and isolated rust.         - Span 2, Bay 8, north of Diaphragm D2 at the transverse construction joint, there is an area of I scaling with moderate efflorescence for full width x 1' long.         - Span 4, Bay 8, north of Diaphragm D2, there is a 10" wide x 7" long x 1.5" deep spall with exp rebar.         - Span 6, Bay 3 & Bay 5 have hollow areas up to 6' long x 3" wide which could not be removed parked vehicles below (Work Item 03906-2020-0008).         - The transverse construction joints and the longitudinal construction joints in Bay 5 have area or overpour and light to moderate efflorescence.         See Underside of Deck & Framing Plan Sketches and Photos 8, 10 - 12 & 22.				d longitudinal he past. e, dampness a of light n exposed oved due to 4" deep. area of minor					
	Curbs: 7	Granite block o - The curbs hav - Average curb See Top of De	urbs: ve vertical hairli reveals is 6" hi ck Sketches an	ine cracks, gh along th d Photos 1	minor edge o ne west side a 3 & 14.	hipping a and 5-3/4	and scrape ma " high along ti	arks. he east side.	
	Median: N	· · ·							
	Sidewalks: 6	Concrete sidev - The sidewalks - The sealant b - There are ran which are fill w	valks: s have random etween the side dom areas of s th sand debris	hairline cra ewalk & cu caling adja (CTDOT no	acks. rb is deteriora cent to the cu otified via em	ated/miss urbs up tc ail dated	ing or separa 5 15' long x 8" 1/30/2020).	ted at random wide x 1" dee	locations. p (Span 6),

:Bridge No 03906

	<ul> <li>Approach Sidewalks:</li> <li>The southeast approach sidewalk adjacent to Abutment 1 deck joint has a 28" wide x 11" long x 3.5" deep scale area, which is filled with sand debris.</li> <li>The stair slab in the southeast approach near Abutment 1 is settled 3/4" and the joint sealant has failed (1.5" noted previously).</li> <li>The northwest approach sidewalk has a full length x 3/4" wide transverse crack with 1/2" settlement.</li> <li>See Top of Deck Sketches and Photos 13 - 15.</li> </ul>
Parapet: 6	Reinforced concrete paramete:
	<ul> <li>The parapets have random vertical hairline map cracks with and without efflorescence. Some cracks extend across the top of the parapet.</li> <li>Span 4, east parapet at mid-span has a 6" diameter x 1" deep spall on the interior face.</li> <li>Span 4, east parapet exterior fascia has an 18" long x 5" wide x 1.5" deep spall with exposed rebar over Track 1.</li> <li>Span 8, east parapet at mid-span had a 14" long x 7" wide x 1.5" deep spall with an embedded wood</li> </ul>
	block on top of the parapet. - Span 8, east parapet at the 1st post from Abutment 2 has an 8" diameter x 1" deep spall around the post base.
	Staircase parapets: - The stair parapets have random vertical hairline map cracks with and without efflorescence. Some cracks extend across the top of the parapet.
	<ul> <li>The staircase parapets at the southeast approach have two (2) spalls up to 29" long x 9" wide x 4" deep on the top adjacent to the hand railing posts.</li> <li>The staircase at the northwest approach has a 4.5' long x 1' high hollow area on the south parapet.</li> </ul>
	See Ten of Deels Skatches, Underside of Deels & Freming Dien, Spen 4 Skatch and Distance 12, 16, 9, 17
	See Top of Deck Sketches, Underside of Deck & Framing Plan - Span 4 Sketch and Photos 13, 16 & 17.
Railing: N	
Paint: N	
Fence: 6	<ul> <li>Vinyl coated chainlink fence with curved return &amp; plexiglass panels over the railroad tracks in Span 4:</li> <li>Random disconnected top and bottom horizontal rails, four (4) locations total, typically adjacent to Pier 4 deck joint and one (1) isolated location in Span 3.</li> <li>The previously noted locations where the fence posts are pulled out of the parapet with gaps between the mesh and the parapet were repaired since the last inspection.</li> </ul>
	See Top of Deck Sketch and Photos 13 & 17.
Drains: 6	P.V.C. weeps in Bays 1 & 8:
	- There are broken/short deck weeps in Bay 1 of Span 1, Bay 1 of Span 2, Bays 1 & 8 of Span 5; four (4) total.
	do not drain onto the steel. - The previously noted short weep in Span 2 & Span 3, Bay 8 between Diaphragms D1 & D2 have been
	extended since the last inspection. -The previously noted weep leaking on top Diaphragm D1 in Bay 8 of Span 5 has been extended since the last inspection
	- Span 5, Bay 8 at Diaphragm D2 has a short weep, which has been plugged.
	See Underside of Deck & Framing Plan Sketches and Photos 18 & 19.
Lighting Standard: 6	Eight (8) light standards with plastic architectural domes mounted on top of the parapets: - Not on at time of inspection. - Random junction box covers are missing up to (5/14) screws but are secure (Span 1).
	<ul> <li>Span 1, west parapet light standard has a 10" long crack in the plastic dome base along the circumference.</li> <li>Span 3, west parapet light standard has been removed since the last inspection. The wires are exposed and have capped ends (CTDOT notified via email dated 1/30/2020).</li> </ul>
	- Span 4, east parapet light standard has a 4 liony crack in the plastic dome base.

Form: BRI-18, Rev. 1/14 Inspection type: Fracture Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE	Critical,Routine )	:Bridge No 03906	Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS		
	- Span 5, west parap - Span 6, east parap - Span 8, east parap	et light standard has a 3" long crac et light standard has a 4" long cracł et light standard has a 4" long cracł	( in the plastic dome base. in the plastic dome base. in the plastic dome base.		
	Two (2) under bridge - Not on at time of in	e luminaires mounted to the south fa spection.	ce of Pier 1 and Abutment 2:		
Overall Utility Condition Rati	See Top of Deck Ske ng 7 - Good	etches, Abutment 2 Sketch, Pier 1 -	South Elevation Sketch and Photos 20 & 21.		
Utility Type/Size					
3   Ele	ctric	There are railroad catenary wires attached to the bottom flanges of girders in Span 4.	he		
		There are electric wires attached bottom flanges of Girders G1 and Span 8.	o the G9 in		
		See Underside of Deck & Framing Sketches and Photo 8.	Plan		
4   Tel	ephone	There are telephone wires attache the bottom flanges of Girders G1 G9 in Span 8.	d to and		
		See Underside of Deck & Framing Sketches.	Plan		
Construction Joints:	<ul> <li>The transverse con overpour and light to</li> <li>Also, see item "Deck</li> </ul>	struction joints and the longitudinal moderate efflorescence. -Str. Condition" above.	construction joints in Bay 5 have area of minor		
Expansion Joint:	<ul> <li>See Underside of De</li> <li>Asphaltic plug joints</li> <li>The plug joints hav the abutment backway</li> <li>Abutment 1 plug jo settled/depressed fo</li> <li>Abutment 2 plug jo settled/depressed fo</li> <li>The east sidewalk a 03906-2020-0009).</li> </ul>	at both abutments: e random areas of exposed aggreg alls. int has adhesion cracks up to 7' lon r full length x 1/2" deep (Work Item int has adhesion cracks up to 15' lo r full length x 1" deep (Work Item 03 at Abutment 1 deck joint is open for	ate and evidence of past leakage noted below at g x 1/4" wide and the approach side is 03906-2020-0009). ng x 1/2" wide and the approach side is 1906-2020-0009). 2" wide with a failed/missing seal (Work Item		
	<ul> <li>Strip seal joint with concrete headers at Pier 4:</li> <li>The strip seal joint has heavy accumulations of sand debris inside the joint and light rust on the steel extrusions.</li> <li>The steel sidewalk sliding plate joints have light rust.</li> <li>The concrete headers have have random transverse hairline cracks.</li> </ul>				
	See Top of Deck Sk	etches and Photos 15 & 23 - 25.			
Haunches Present over trave	way? YES				
APPROACH CONDITION:					

Bituminous concrete approach pavement and metal beam guide rail at all aproaches. Overall Rating: 7

:Bridge No 03906

Rating	
Approach Slab: N	
Relief Joints: N	
Approach Guide Rail: 7	<ul> <li>Metal beam rail on steel posts at all approach corners:</li> <li>The southwest approach corner has minor impact dents.</li> <li>The southeast approach metal beam rail near the buried end has a two (2) segments of 12.5' long x 8" deep dents.</li> <li>See Top of Deck Sketches and Photo 26.</li> </ul>
Approach Pavement: 7	<ul> <li>Bituminous concrete approach pavement:</li> <li>The approach pavement has random longitudinal, transverse and mapcracks open up to 1/8" wide.</li> <li>Some cracks have been previously sealed in the past.</li> <li>The south approach pavement has a full length x 3/8" wide transverse crack, which was sealed in the past and has re-cracked.</li> <li>The previously noted pothole in the south approach pavement has been patched since the last inspection.</li> <li>See Top of Deck Sketches and Photo 27.</li> </ul>
Approach Embankment: 8	
Trafic Safety F	eatures
Bridge Railings: 1	Meets current non-NHS bridge standards: - Greater than 32" high barrier.
Transitions: 0	Does not meet current R-B 350 standards: - No rub-rail.
Approach Guardrails: 0	Does not meet current R-B 350 standards: - Metal blockouts.
Approach Guardrail Ends: 0	Does not meet current standards: - Buried ends terminated within clearzone.

### 59. SUPERSTRUCTURE:

Continuous rolled steel multi-girder system.

Overall Rating: 5

<u>Rating</u>	
Bearing Devices: 6	<ul> <li>Fixed rocker bearings at both Abutments and all piers except Span 4 at Pier 4:</li> <li>Fixed bearings have random areas of painted over pitting losses up to 1/4" deep with random areas of recurring light rust and laminated rust on the masonry plates.</li> <li>Few anchor bolts are short up to 3/8" (Abutment 1) and anchor bolt nuts have up to 25% section loss.</li> <li>Random bearings have up to a 1/16" wide gap between the pin nut and masonry plate, isolated locations have up to a 1/8" gap.</li> <li>Bearings typically have up to a 1/8" - 3/16" gap between the masonry plate and top of steel pier caps due to pack rust.</li> <li>Span 2, Girder G6 bearing at Pier 2 has a 5/8" long x 1/16" wide vertical crack in the base of the masonry plate on the south face.</li> <li>Span 8, Girders G4 - G6 bearings at Abutment 2 have gaps up to 1/4" between pedestal and masonry plate.</li> <li>Span 8, Girder G8 bearing at Abutment 2 has 2" x 1" loss of contact between the masonry plate and pedestal on the west side due to a minor spall.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Expansion rocker bearings in Span 4 at Pier 4:</li> <li>The rockers have painted over pitting/section loss 1/8" - 3/16" deep with isolated locations up to 1/4" deep.</li> <li>Girder G1 - G5 bearings do not have painted over pins. The pins and nut have light to moderate surface rust.</li> <li>Girder G4 &amp; G6 rockers were in contact with the west keepers and had minor abrasion rust.</li> </ul>
Form: BRI-18, Rev. 1/14 Inspection type: Fracture Critical, Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906

Inspected by: PRIME AE	Inventory Route: Non-NHS
	<ul> <li>Girder G8 bearing has a 3/16" gap between the pin nut and rocker.</li> <li>All bearings were in expansion mode at 40° Fahrenheit.</li> </ul>
	See Rocker Bearing Measurement Sheet (BRI-15), Underside of Deck & Framing Plan Sketches and Photos 28 - 33.
Stringers: N	
Girders: 5	<ul> <li>Continuous rolled steel girders:</li> <li>The girders have isolated areas of peeling paint with light to moderate surface rust and areas of light to moderate pigeon debris on top of the bottom flange.</li> <li>In Span 4, the girder bottom flanges have areas of section loss above the Amtrak electrical lines due to electrical arcing and melted steel. The areas of section loss are typically 2" diameter x 1/8" deep average with areas up to 6" long x 1" high on the edges. There are a few locations of section loss up to 2" diameter x 1/4" deep, which results in up to a 4.4% loss to bottom flange capacity at a critical location. Two (2) new locations have been noted since the last inspection and conditions are advancing at previously noted locations.</li> <li>Span 4, Girder G3 bottom of bottom flange at mid-span has rolling defects up to 16" long x 2" wide x 1/16" deep.</li> <li>Span 7, Girder G7 east web between Diaphragm D3 &amp; Pier 7 has a 4' long x 2" high x 1/16" deep rolling defect.</li> <li>Span 8, Girder G8 at Abutment 2 is out of plumb by 7/16" - 1/2" west over full height.</li> <li>Diaphragms:</li> <li>The diaphragms have isolated areas of peeling paint with light to moderate surface rust.</li> <li>Span 4, Bay 5 end diaphragm at Pier 4 top at Girder G5 connection has 5" long x 3/4" wide flame cuts in the top and bottom flanges along the web.</li> <li>Span 4, Bay 8, Diaphragm D2 has 2" diameter x 1/8" deep arcing section loss on the bottom flange.</li> </ul>
	Per CTDOT BIM Section 10.5 item "Girders" rating lowered to '5' from '6' due to less than 5% bottom flange section loss from arcing at numerous critical locations on all girders in Span 4.
	See Underside of Deck & Framing Plan Sketches and Photos 8 & 34 - 38.
Trusses - General: N	
I russes - Bracing: N	
Paint: 6	See items "Bearing Devices" and "Girders" above.
Rust: 7	See the items above.
Machinery Movable Span: N Rivets & Bolts: 7	<ul> <li>Bolted field splices:</li> <li>Random bolts at field splices have peeling paint and isolated light rust.</li> <li>Span 6, Girder G7 east top flange at the bolted field splice has one(1) splice bolt nut not fully engaged.</li> <li>Erection bolts:</li> <li>Span 6, Bay 5 end diaphragm at Pier 5 connection to Girder G5 is missing one (1) erection bolt (weld in place).</li> <li>See Underside of Deck &amp; Framing Plan Sketches and Photo 39.</li> </ul>
Welds - Cracks: 7	<ul> <li>Diaphragm welds:</li> <li>Welds at the diaphragms exhibit isolated areas of light rust on diaphragm vertical welds.</li> <li>Span 3, Bay 6 at Diaphragm D1 connection to Girder G5 has a missing lower horizontal weld.</li> <li>See Underside of Deck &amp; Framing Plan Sketches and Photo 40.</li> </ul>

Timber Decay: N	
Concrete Cracking: N	
Collision Damage: 6	<ul> <li>Collision damage:</li> <li>Random girder bottom flanges in Spans 1, 2, 3, 4 &amp; 8 have minor collision scrapes from impact.</li> <li>Span 1, Girder G7 west bottom flange between Diaphragms D2 &amp; D3 has a 3/4" long x 1/8" high x 1/16" deep gouge, which has not been ground smooth (Work Item 03906-2020-0006).</li> <li>Span 1, Girder G9 west bottom flange at 8" north of Diaphragm D1 has a 1/4" long x 1/8" high x 1/16" deep gouge, which has not been ground smooth (Work Item 03906-2020-0006).</li> <li>Span 6, Girder G1 west bottom flange between Diaphragms D1 &amp; D2 has a 30" long scrape with a 3.5" long x 1/2" wide x 1/16" - 1/8" deep notch, which has not been ground smooth (Work Item 03906-2020-0006).</li> <li>Span 8, Girder G6 bottom of bottom flange near Pier 7 has two (2) full width x 3/8" wide x 1/8" deep gouges, which has not been ground smooth (Work Item 03906-2020-0006).</li> </ul>
Member Alignment: 7	See item "Girders" and "Collision Damage" above.
Deflection Under Load: N	Normal: (N) Excessive: (E)
Vibration Under Load: N	Normal: (N) Excessive: (E)
Stand Pipes: N	
Catwalks: N	
Movable Inspection System: N	
Barrel Ladders: N	
Ar	e Barrel Ladders OSHA Compliant? NA

60. SUBSTRUCTURE:

Reinforced concrete	abutments & wingwalls and steel piers.	Overall Rating: 4			
Rating					
Abutments - Stem: 7	Reinforced concrete abutment stems: - Random vertical hairline cracks up to full height with & without mapcracking and random small popouts. - Abutment 1 stem has two (2) isolated popouts/minor spalls up - Evidence of past leakage at both abutments.	efflorescence and isolated hairline to 1' wide x 6" high x 1" deep.			
Concrete pedestals: - Random hairline cracks on vertical and horizontal faces. - Abutment 1, Girder G3, G4, G7 & G9 pedestals have random hollow areas up to 22" x 5" G6 - G8 pedestals have random spalls up to 14" x 4" x 1" deep with no undermining noted - Abutment 2, Girder G4 pedestal has two (2) hollow areas up to 8" x 4" and Girder G3, G7 have random spalls up to 4" x 4" x 2" deep with no undermining noted. - Abutment 2, Girder G8 pedestal has a 6" x 4" x 1/2" deep spall with 2" x 1" minor loss of the bearing.					
	See Abutment Sketches and Photos 44 & 45.				
Abutments - Backwall: 7	Reinforced concrete abutment backwalls: - Random vertical and horizontal hairline cracks. - Evidence of past leakage at both abutments.				
	See Abutment Sketches and Photos 44 & 45.				
Abutments - Footings: N	Not visible.				
Abutments - Settlement: 8					

Form: BRI-18, Rev. 1/14 Inspection type: Fracture Cr Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE	itical,Routine :Bridge No 03906	Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS
Abutments - Wingwalls: 7	Reinforced concrete wingwalls: - Random vertical & horizontal hairline cracks with mapcracking, random small popouts and random - Wingwall 1B has an isolated 1' long x 4" high x 1	n and without efflorescence, isolated hairline light scale. /2" deep spall.
	See Wingwall Sketches and Photo 46.	
Piers/Bents - Caps: 4	<ul> <li>Steel pier caps:</li> <li>The pier caps have random areas of peeling pair laminated rust.</li> <li>Random pier caps have up to 3/16" pack rust be up to 7/8" pack rust between bottom flange angles</li> <li>Random pier cap webs and vertical face of flang loss/section loss up to 3" high x 3/16" deep.</li> <li>The pier cap top flanges over the columns have 3/16" deep. The worst location is Pier 6 cap at Gir critical location. The top flanges have painted over locations.</li> <li>The pier cap bottom flanges at mid-span betwee loss up to 3" wide x 3/16" deep. The worst location results in a 26.9% loss to bottom flange in a critica pitting loss/section loss up to 3/8" deep in non-criti</li> <li>Random rivet heads have up to 90% section loss deep section loss (Pier 4).</li> <li>Pier 1 cap, top flange cover plate between Girde 3/16" deep area of section loss. Previously noted</li> <li>Pier 5 cap, north elevation has one (1) missing b</li> <li>Pier 7 cap, south elevation over Column C3 has defect in the web.</li> </ul> Also, see item 'Collision Damage' below. Per CTDOT BIM Section 10.5 item "Piers/Bents - section loss greater than 25% in a fracture critical only one (1) isolated location greater than 25% an	nt with light to moderate rust. Isolated locations with etween the top flange angles and the cover plate and s and column plates. le angles have random areas of painted over pitting painted over section loss/pitting loss up to 3" wide x der G8, which results in an 8.0% loss to top flange in a r pitting loss/section loss up to 1/2" deep in non-critical en the columns have painted over section loss/pitting n ius Pier 4 cap between Girders G6 & G7, which al location. The bottom flanges have painted over ical locations. s (Pier 4) and random batten plates have up to 3/16" ers G2 & G3 (semi-critical) has a 2' long x 9" wide x ponding water not present at time of inspection. bolt at the top flange angle to cover plate connection. a 14" long x 1/2" wide x 1/4" deep gouge/fabrication
	5% - 25% loss. The rating shall be lowered to '4' fi may be revised per CTDOT decision after load rat	rom '6 <sup>'</sup> . A load rating is recommended and the rating ting results.
Diore/Donto Dila Dari M	See Pier Sketches, Section Loss Detail Sketches	and Photos 47 - 54.
Piers/Bents - Pile Bent: N Piers/Bents - Columns: 6	<ul> <li>Steel columns:</li> <li>The columns have random areas of peeling pain laminated rust.</li> <li>There is up to 3/8" thick pack rust between the co (Pier 2).</li> <li>Pier 2, Column C2 south elevation has a 3" long flange.</li> <li>Random column bases above the bearings have 3/16" deep section loss at the inside faces of the f</li> <li>Diagonal &amp; horizontal bracing:</li> <li>Random diagonal bracing and vertical gusset platisolated 1/4" deep (Pier 4).</li> <li>There is up to 3/8" thick pack rust between the d random locations (Pier 3).</li> <li>Pier column fixed bearings:</li> <li>Bearings with up to 3/16" deep painted over pitting</li> </ul>	It with light to moderate rust. Isolated locations with solumns and vertical gusset plates at random locations x 3/4" wide x 1/8" deep fabrication imperfection in the e areas of laminated rust with up to full width x 8" high x flanges and vertical stiffeners. ates have random painted over pitting up to 1/8" deep, liagonal/horizontal braces and vertical gusset plates at

Form: BRI-18, Rev. 1/14 Inspection type: Fracture Cr Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE	itical,Routine :Bridge No 03906	Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS
	<ul> <li>Few anchor bolts are tipped, random anchor bolt backed off due to pack rust.</li> <li>Random bearings have up to 7/16" pack rust betw</li> </ul>	nuts have up to 90% section loss and few nuts are ween the vertical plates.
	Column Pedestals: - Have hairline cracks and random spalls up to 8" x	< 4" x 1" deep (Pier 6, north elevation).
	See Pier Sketches and Photos 47 & 55 - 60.	
Piers/Bents - Footings: N	Not visible	
Piers/Bents - Settlement: 8		
Erosion - Scour: 8	Erosion rated: 8	
	Scour rated: N/A	
Concrete Crack - Spall: 6	See above items.	
Steel Corrosion: 6	See above items.	
Paint: 7	See above items.	
Timber Decay: N		
Collision Damage: 6	Collision damage: - Pier 3 lower horizontal bracing between Columns - Pier 5 cap, north elevation at the west end has co long x 1/4" deep dent and several gouges/notches not been ground smooth. - Pier 6 cap at the west end has isolated minor scra	C2 & C3 is bent down 1/4". Illision damage to the bottom flange plate with a 2" up to 1.5" long x 1/2" wide x 1/4" deep, which have ape marks on the bottom flange plate.
	See Pier Sketches and Photos 61 & 62.	
Debris: 7	Light to moderate accumulation of bird debris and	nests on abutment seats.
	See Abutment Sketches.	

### 61. CHANNEL AND CHANNEL PROTECTION:

		Overall Rating: N
Rating		
Channel - Scour:	Ν	
Embankment - Erosion:	Ν	
Debris:	Ν	
Vegetation:	N	
Channel Change:	Ν	
Fender - System:	Ν	
Spur Dikes and Jetties:	Ν	
Rip Rap:	Ν	

## 62. CULVERTS AND RETAINING WALLS:

	Overall Rating: N
Rating	
Barrel: N	
Concrete: N	
Steel: N	
Timber: N	
Headwall: N	

Cutoff Wall:	Ν					
Debris:	Ν					
Retaining Wall System:	Ν					
Footing:	Ν					
LOAD POSTING:						
<u>Rating</u>						
Single Unit (Tons):						
Semi Trailer (Tons):						
4 Axle (Tons):						
3S2 (Tons):						
All Vechicles:						
Advanced Warning:	None					
Warning At Bridge:	None					
Legibility:						
Visibility:						
VERTICAL	CLEARANC	E POS	TING	<u>G</u>		
Min. Vert Under	Clearance:	13	Ft	5	In	Located at the west end of Pier 1 cap above Mathews Street edge of pavement.
						Minimum Amtrak railroad clearance is 18'-11".
						Note collision damage is occurring at the west end of Pier 5 over the paved parking area adjacent to the restaurant. Food delivery trucks seen driving through area.
						See Clearance Diagram Sketches.
Posted Clearence Un	der Bridge:	13	Ft	2	In	13'-10" (westbound) 13'-11" (eastbound) posted at Cutler Street and 13'- 2" on Mathews street.
Posted Clearence	On Bridge:		Ft		In	
Advanced Warning:	None					
Warning At Bridge:	None					
Legibility:						
Visibility:						

#### **NOTES / COMMENTS:**

Character of Traffic: Moderate volume, mixed weights.

### Additional Notes:

- Bridge ID was clear and legible at time of inspection.

- The bridge is logged from south to north with Girder G1 located at the west fascia which is consistent with previous inspection report and bridge plans.

- Local lane closure and town police used for the inspection of Spans 1 & 8. A flagman, foreman and ET linemen utilized for inspection of Span 4 over Amtrak.

- A 18' ladder, 40' high rail and 45' lift truck were used for inspection.

- There are three (3) new work items associated with this inspection report.

- There are two (2) outstanding work items which have not been completed or addressed.

- There is one (1) previous work item which has been completed.

- CTDOT was notified of the light standard with exposed wires and the scale areas in the sidewalk via email dated 1/30/2020.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS

### Additional Comments:

**Open Work Items** 

-Old/Town 000 sidewalk, 0005 fence

-New/State 0006 collision damage, 0008 hollow conc. deck, 0009 joints

-Old/Amtrak 0004 electrical arcing

No Pending Project

Steel pier caps have been dropped to a "4' causing item 60 substructure to also drop to a "4" from a "6" by consultant Load Rating Requested 2020, results can/will justify pier cap and item 60 rating

:Bridge No 03906

National Bridge Elements Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

	Environment	Total Quantity	Units	Condition State 1	Condition State 2	Condition State 3	Condition State 4
12 - Reinforced Concrete Deck	Mod.	30313	sq. ft.	27662	2638	13	0
1080 - Delamination/Spall/Patched Area		13		0	10	3	0
1120 - Efflorescence/Rust Staining		1763		0	1753	10	0
1130 - Cracking (RC and Other)		875		0	875	0	0
510 - Wearing Surfaces		21250	sq. ft.	21037	213	0	0
3220 - Crack (Wearing Surface)		213		0	213	0	0
107 - Steel Open Girder/Beam	Mod.	5580	ft.	5476	77	24	3
1000 - Corrosion		56		0	56	0	0
7000 - Damage		48		0	21	24	3
515 - Steel Protective Coating		53948	sq. ft.	53408	540	0	0
3440 - Effectiveness (Steel Protective Coatings)		540		0	540	0	0
202 - Steel Column	Mod.	21	each	7	8	6	0
1000 - Corrosion		5		0	0	5	0
1020 - Connection		8		0	8	0	0
7000 - Damage		1		0	0	1	0
515 - Steel Protective Coating		2289	sq. ft.	2220	46	23	0
215 - Reinforced Concrete Abutment	Mod.	94	ft.	76	17	1	0
1080 - Delamination/Spall/Patched Area		2		0	1	1	0
1120 - Efflorescence/Rust Staining		4		0	4	0	0
1130 - Cracking (RC and Other)		12		0	12	0	0
231 - Steel Pier Cap	Mod.	315	ft.	126	32	127	30
1000 - Corrosion		184		0	30	124	30
7000 - Damage		5		0	2	3	0
515 - Steel Protective Coating		5451	sq. ft.	5232	164	55	0
303 - Assembly Joint with Seal	Mod.	34	ft.	0	34	0	0
2350 - Debris Impaction		34		0	34	0	0
306 - Other Joint	Mod.	68	ft.	33	35	0	0
2310 - Leakage		35		0	35	0	0
311 - Movable Bearing	Mod.	9	each	0	3	6	0
1000 - Corrosion		9		0	3	6	0
515 - Steel Protective Coating		9	sq. ft.	4	5	0	0
313 - Fixed Bearing	Mod.	81	each	14	26	41	0
1000 - Corrosion		65		0	25	40	0
2240 - Loss Bearing Area		1		0	1	0	0
7000 - Damage		1		0	0	1	0
515 - Steel Protective Coating		81	sq. ft.	26	45	10	0
331 - Reinforced Concrete Bridge Railing	Mod.	1250	ft.	933	311	6	0
1080 - Delamination/Spall/Patched Area		6		0	0	6	0
1120 - Efflorescence/Rust Staining		249		0	249	0	0
1130 - Cracking (RC and Other)		62		0	62	0	0

		NOTEC	BRIDGE	NO.	03906	DATE :	1/28/2020		
PRIM		NOTES	CREW :		JK, EA, IS, MM	SHEET	1 of 1		
	ROCKER BEARING MEASUREMENTS								
			Form	BRI - 15,	Rev 9/97		7		
Span No.		4				Beam			
Substruct	ture	• 4					Ţ		
Unit =	P	ier 4	-						
Temperat	ture = $40$	°F	The "From bearing is	nt" of the s the side	—► "F"		<b>⊢"</b> ₿"		
$\Omega = \operatorname{Sin}^{-1}$	(ED)/W		facing the	e fixed be	earing.				
Y = R TA	(Π-Β)/ W AN θ								
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y	Masonry Plate		
<u>NOTE:</u> "F" & "B	" should be mea	sured at the left sid	le						
corners o	f the rocker or o	on the side closest t	i0 I heridaaa		R = W = W	12	inch		
	face of the subs		i bridges.		w –	12	men		
Beam	" F "	"B"	Y	Cont or	C	Comments			
	_			Exp.					
G1	1 3/4	1 7/16	5/16	Е	Up to 1/4" deep pitting loss on rocker base.				
G2	1 15/16	1 1/4	11/16	Е	1/4" deep section loss or	n rocker base.			
G3	1 11/16	1 1/2	3/16	Е	Up to 1/4" deep pitting of between rocker plates.	on rocker base.	Moderate rust		
G4	1 3/4	1 5/16	7/16	Е	Rocker in contact with the abrasion rust.	he west keeper	and has minor		
G5	1 7/8	1 3/8	8/16	Е	1/8" deep pitting on rock active rust inside rocker	ker basen, peelii plates.	ng paint and		
G6	1 3/4	1 7/16	5/16	Е	Rocker in contact with the abrasion rust.	he west keeper	and has minor		
G7	1 7/8	1 5/16	9/16	Е	Up to 1/8"-3/16" deep particular to 1/8"-3/16" deep particular to 1/8" ocker base.	ainted over sect	ion loss on		
G8	2	1 1/16	15/16	E	Up to 3/16" gap between	n pin nut and ro	cker.		
G9	1 15/16	1 3/16	12/16	Е	Up to 1/8" deep section	loss on rocker b	base.		

Notes:

- Not accessible from Amtrak due to overhead wires. Measurements were taken from Span 5, left (west), front (south), and back (north).

- All of the bearings have minor areas of peeling paint and light rust.

- Rockers with 1/8"-3/16" deep painted over section loss/pitting loss at base (1/4" at isolated locations).

- Girders G1-G5 pins & nuts have no paint and light to moderate rust. Girders G6-G9 pins & nuts are painted.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS

# FRACTURE CRITICAL MEMBERS / FRACTURE PRONE DETAILS

Inspectors:		<u>Visits:</u>						
Lead Inspector:	Jack Klucznik	Visit Date:	Temp:	Start Time:	End Time:			
Inspector:	Task:	01/19/2020	20	09:30 PM	02:45 AM			
Agolli,Elvis	BSE - Inspector	01/28/2000	40	08:30 AM	04:00 PM			
Area,14	BSE - Inspector	01/29/2020	40	09:00 AM	12:45 PM			
Begolli,Eriol	BSE - Inspector	02/26/2020	47	02:15 PM	02:45 PM			
Klucznik,Jack	BSE - Inspector							
Mohammed, Muqtadar	BSE - Inspector							
Nyei,Anwar	BSE - Inspector							
Spahiu,Igli	BSE - Inspector							
Fracture C	Code:       K Steel Pier Caps, rivete         Pridage       Voer Build: 1010	d box or plate	e girders	047 <b>9/ T</b>				
Suructure Type: Highway	Druges fear duilt: 1940 ADT: 4810	rear of	ADI: 2	017 % Iru(	5K: 3			
Access Equipment Need	led: 45' lift truck, ladder & Hi-Rail							
Traffic Control Required	: Local lane closure with local police for span groundmen for span 4.	s 1 & 8. Amtr	ak railroa	ad flagmen, fo	remen and			
Reference to Plans:	Project No. 137-132 (Year 1990)							
	MEMBER/DETAIL	TYPE # 1						
Member/Details Type:	C Steel bent caps sustaining tensile stresses			Fracture	Critical: Yes			
Fatigue Category:	Steel Type: A-7			Fatigue P	rone: No			
Description:	Bolted and riveted steel caps (fracture critical).							
•								
Inspection Procedure: Inspected 100% hands-on.								
Condition Comments: See BRI-18.								
Procedure Followed This Inspection? Yes If No please explain:								

:Bridge No 03906



Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



:Bridge No 03906



# Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906







CREW: AAA, ZRI (AI)	DATE: 2/20/20	18	BRIDGE NO.: 039	906
LOG DIRECTION SOUTH TO NORTH				
Strip seal jt. w/ conc. headers Dior & Lt. std. w/ 3'	(n Lork Pi	o jt.) er 5		(no jt.) Pier 6
Top horiz, rail disconnected		¢   	· · · · ·	• •
CR-5.5*	7 x 3' x 1/2'D	5'x5'x1'D 	Sidewalk 15 x	CR=6" Curb
		     Alp	oha Avenue	DYL
CR=5.5*		12"x6"x1"D	Shoulder Sidewalk	CR=6" Curb
<u>III. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>	<u>x.</u> <u>x</u> <u>x</u>		4"L CRACK IN Span 6 PLASTIC BASE	
LEGEND: HOLLOW AREA SHALL AWE REBAR SPALL AREA SPALL AREA MAPCRACKS HATRLINE CRACKS HOREYCOME AREA SCALE AREA EFFLORESCENCE PRESENT SWL SOLD WHITE LINE DYL DOUBLE YELLOW LINE EOT EDGE OF TRAVELWAY BELOW CR CURB REVEAL	GENERAL NOTES: - SEE "TOP OF DECK - SPA	NS 1 & 2" GENERAL NOTES.		
LIGHT STANDARD MOUNTED TO PARAPET     LIGHT STANDARD MOUNTED TO PARAPET     CATCH BASIN     CATCH BASIN     ADHESION CRACK IN APJ     M     M     M ADHESION CRACK IN APJ     COHESION CRACK IN APJ	TOP OF DECK	<u>( - SPANS 5 &amp; 6</u>		
REVISIONA DATE: 1/19/2020 CREW: PRIME AE	: JK, EA, EB, IS, MM, AN		CREW:	



Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



:Bridge No 03906



Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



REVISION	DATE: 1/19/2020	CREW: PRIME AE : JK, EA, EB, IS, MM, AN	REVISION	DATE:	CREW:
REVISION	DATE:	CREW:	REVISION	DATE:	CREW:

Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE





Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906





:Bridge No 03906



:Bridge No 03906



:Bridge No 03906



## Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



# Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



:Bridge No 03906



Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906


Sketches Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

CREW: JK, EA, EB, IS, MM, AN	DATE: 1/19/20	20	BRIDGE NO.:	03906
BETWEEN G3-G5, 3"W x UP PITTING PAINT	TO 1/8"D ED OVER		ETWEEN G3-G5, 3"W x UP TO 1/8"D ITTING PAINTED OVER	
	SECTION B-B	LOOKING EAST)		
TOP FLANGE SECTION LOSS (CRITICAL): ORIGINAL TOP FLANGE AREA = 2(4" x 7/16 TOP FLANGE LOSS AREA = 2(3" x 1/8") = 0 PERCENT SECTION LOSS = (0.75 in²/11.75	") + (22" x 3/8") = 11.75 in² 75 in² in²) x 100 = <b>6.4% LOSS</b>		SECTION WEB ANGLES COVER	DN PROPERTIES: = (2) 36" x 3/8" = (4) 4" x 4" x 7/16" PLATE = 22" x 3/8"
REVISIONA DATE: 1/19/2020 CREW: PRIME AE : .	DET PIER AT GIF IK, EA, EB, IS, MM, AN	AIL B 3 CAP DER G5 REVISIONA DATE:	CREW:	
REVISION DATE: CREW:	and an other states and a state of the states	REVISION A DATE:	CREW:	

Sketches Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Sketches

Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Sketches

# Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Sketches Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906

CREW: JK, EA, EB, IS, MM, AN	DATE: 1/19/2020	BRIDGE NO.: 03906
		-4°L x 3°W x 1/8°D PITTING PAINTED OVER
	SECTION D-D (LOOKING EA	<u>ST)</u>
BOTTOM FLANGE SECTION LOSS (CRITIC ORIGINAL BOTTOM FLANGE AREA = 2(4" × BOTTOM FLANGE LOSS AREA = (3" × 1/8") PERCENT SECTION LOSS = (0.94 in²/3.50 ir	AL): : 7/16") = 3.50 in <sup>2</sup> = 0.94 in <sup>2</sup> n <sup>2</sup> ) x 100 = <b>10.7% LOSS</b>	SECTION PROPERTIES: WEB = (2) 36" x 3/8" ANGLES = (4) 4" x 4" x 7/16" COVER PLATE = 22" x 3/8"
REVISIONA DATE: 1/19/2020 CREW: PRIME AE : J	DETAIL D PIER 4 CAP BETWEEN GIRDERS G3 ( K, EA, EB, IS, MM, AN	G4 DATE: CREW:
PEVISIONA DATE: CREW:	REVISIONA	DATE: CREW:

Sketches Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Sketches

# Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Sketches Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Sketches

# Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Sketches

# Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Sketches Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE



Sketches

Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Sketches

Inspection type: Fracture Critical,Routine Inspection Date: 1/19/2020 Inspected by: PRIME AE

:Bridge No 03906



Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 1

Bridge identification number.



Photo Number: 2

West elevation of the bridge.

Photo Taken: 02/26/2020

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 3

East elevation of the bridge.



Photo Number: 4

Bridge from the south approach.

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



## Photo Number: 5

South approach from the bridge.



Photo Number: 6

Bridge from the north approach.

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 7

North approach from the bridge.



Photo Number: 8

Typical configuration and condition of the underside of deck and superstructure framing, Span 4 shown looking north.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 9

Typical condition of the bridge overlay, looking northwest showing Span 3 & Span 4.



Photo Number: 10

Photo Taken: 01/19/2020

Span 4, Bay 8 underside of deck between Diaphragm D2 & Pier 4 has a spall with exposed rebar.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 11 Photo Taken: 01/29/2020 Span 6, Bay 3 underside of deck between Diaphragms D2 & D3 near Diaphragm D3 has a spall with an adjacent hollow area over the parking lot below.





66

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



## Photo Number: 13

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

Typical configuration and condition of the west curb, sidewalk parapet and fence, looking north from Span 4. Note: Section over Amtrak has a curved return.



Photo Number: 14 Photo Taken: 01/29/2020 Span 5, east sidewalk walk adjacent to the curb has a large scale area, looking south. Note: Seal between sidewalk and curb missing/deteriorated.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 15

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

Southeast approach sidewalk at Abutment 1 deck joint has a scale area. Note: Abutment 1 sidewalk joint open with failed seal and staircase slab is settled.



Photo Number: 16

Span 4, east parapet just south of Track 1 has a spall with exposed rebar.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



#### Photo Number: 17

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

Span 8, east parapet has a spall on top of the parapet over the travelway. Note: Previously noted gap at fence not found.



Photo Number: 18 Photo Taken: 01/28/2020 Span 3, Bay 8 underside of deck between Diaphragms D1 & D2, the previously noted short weep has been extended since the last inspection.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



#### Photo Number: 19

Photo Taken: 01/28/2020

Span 2, Bay 1 underside of deck between Pier 1 and Diaphragm D1 has a short/broken weep, which drains onto the deck.





Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 21

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020



Photo Number: 22 Photo Taken: 01/19/2020 Span 4, Bay 5 underside of deck has a longitudinal construction joint which has damp concrete, efflorescence and numerous adjacent transverse cracks.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



#### Photo Number: 23

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

Abutment 1 plug joint has multiple adhesion cracks with full length settlement/depressions, looking east.





Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

Abutment 2 plug joint has multiple adhesion cracks with full length settlement/depressions, looking east.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



## Photo Number: 25

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

Pier 4 strip seal joint has accumulation of sand debris and random transverse hairline cracks in the concrete headers, looking east.



Photo Number: 26

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

Southeast approach metal beam guide rail, looking south. Note: Impact damage near the buried end.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



## Photo Number: 27

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

South approach pavement has a full length transverse crack, which has been sealed and re-cracked, looking west.



Photo Number: 28

Photo Taken: 01/28/2020

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



## Photo Number: 29

Photo Taken: 01/28/2020





Photo Number: 30

South elevation of Girder G6 fixed bearing at Pier 2 has a 5/8" long crack in the masonry plate at the base.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 31 Photo Taken: 01/28/2020 Span 5, north elevation Girder G8 fixed bearing at Pier 4 has laminated rust and section loss on the interior face of the masonry plate.



Photo Number: 32

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

North elevation of Girder G3 fixed bearing at Pier 6 has a gap between the masonry plate and pier cap due to pack rust.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 33

Photo Taken: 01/28/2020



Span 4, Girder G6 underside of bottom flange over Track 1 has arcing section loss, looking west.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 35

Photo Taken: 01/19/2020







Photo Number: 37

Span 8, Girder G8 at Abutment 2 is out of plumb 1/2" to the west, east elevation shown.





79

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



#### Photo Number: 39

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

Span 6, Girder G7 east elevation at the bolted field splice. Top flange has one (1) bolt with a nut not fully engaged.



Photo Number: 40

Photo Taken: 01/28/2020

Span 3, Bay 6 at Diaphragm D1 connection to Girder G6 has a missing lower horizontal weld.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 41

Photo Taken: 01/28/2020





Photo Number: 42

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

Span 6, Girder G1 west bottom flange between Diaphragms D1 & D2 has impact gouge/notch.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 43

Span 8, Girder G6 bottom of bottom flange near Pier 7 has two (2) impact gouges.



Photo Number: 44

Abutment 1 elevation.

Photo Taken: 01/28/2020

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 45

Abutment 2 elevation.



Photo Number: 46

Wingwall 1B elevation.

Photo Taken: 01/28/2020
Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 47

Pier 5, south elevation.



Photo Number: 48 Photo Taken: 01/28/2020 Pier 2 cap, south elevation below Bay 4, the top of the bottom flange angle has painted over section loss and the rivets have up to 50% section loss.

Photo Taken: 01/28/2020

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 49

Photo Taken: 01/28/2020

Pier 2 cap, south elevation at Girder G7 has pack rust between the top flange angle and cover plate. Note: One (1) missing rivet in web.



Photo Number: 50

Photo Taken: 01/28/2020

Pier 3 cap, north elevation between Girders G3 - G5, the bottom of the top flange angle has painted over pitting/section loss.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



#### Photo Number: 51

Pier 3 cap, south elevation below Girder G4, the top of the bottom flange has painted over section loss.



Photo Number: 52

Photo Taken: 01/19/2020 Pier 4 cap, south elevation below Girder G4, the bottom of the bottom flange angle has painted over section loss.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



#### Photo Number: 53

Photo Taken: 01/19/2020

Pier 4 cap, south elevation between Girders G6 - G7, the top of the bottom flange angle has painted over pitting.



Photo Number: 54

Pier 7 cap, south elevation over Column C3, the web has a gouge/fabrication defect.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 55

Pier 2, Column C2 south elevation at the top has gouges/fabrication defects.



Photo Number: 56

Photo Taken: 01/28/2020

Pier 3, Column 3 west elevation at the base has laminated rust and section loss on the interior column legs.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 57 Photo Taken: 01/19/2020 Pier 4, Column C3 west elevation at the base has laminated rust with section loss and areas of painted over section loss on the interior column legs.



Photo Number: 58 Photo Taken: 01/19/2020 Pier 4, south elevation at the center gusset plate of the diagonal bracing between Columns C2 & C3 with 9/16" thick pack rust between the gusset plate and the diagonal bracing.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 59

Photo Taken: 01/19/2020

Pier 4, north elevation of Column C1 bearing has laminated rust with section loss on the bearing plates and pack rust between the vertical plates.



Photo Number: 60

Photo Taken: 01/28/2020

Pier 2, south elevation of Column C1 bearing, the southeast anchor bolt nut has 50% section loss.

Town: STONINGTON Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS Inventory Route: Non-NHS



Photo Number: 61

Photo Taken: 01/29/2020

Pier 5 cap, north elevation below Girder G1 has impact damage and gouges/notches on the bottom flange cover plate, which has been painted over.





Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS :Bridge No 03906

Town: STONINGTON

Inventory Route: Non-NHS

Status:	Maintenance Review	Assigned To:	David Hiscox	Work Item ID:	03906-2020-0009
Date Issued:	04/07/2020	Priority:	Routine Repair		
Deficiency: De	ck Joint				
Structural Comp	oonent: Deck				
Commontos Th	a alua icinta hava random ara	an of oxpood or	areasts and suidenes of post	lookogo potod by	alow at the abutment beak

Comments: - The plug joints have random areas of exposed aggregate and evidence of past leakage noted below at the abutment backwalls. - Abutment 1 plug joint has adhesion cracks up to 7' long x 1/4" wide and the approach side is settled/depressed for full length x 1/2" deep.

- Abutment 2 plug joint has adhesion cracks up to 15' long x 1/2" wide and the approach side is settled/depressed for full length x 1" deep.

- The east sidewalk at Abutment 1 deck joint is open for 2" wide with a failed/missing seal.

#### Date Completed:

#### Actual Quantity:



Abutment 1 plug joint has multiple adhesion cracks with full length settlement/depressions, looking east.

### Form: Maintenance Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS

:Bridge No 03906

Town: STONINGTON

Inventory Route: Non-NHS

Status:	Bridge Maintenance Garage	Assigned To:	District_2 Bridge	Work Item ID: 03906-2020-0008				
Date Issued:	04/15/2020	Priority:	Priority Repair					
Deficiency: Hol	llow Concrete							
Structural Component: Deck								
Comments: - Span 6, Bay 3 & Bay 5 have hollow areas up to 6' long x 3" wide which could not be removed due to parked vehicles below.								

#### **Date Completed:**

**Actual Quantity:** 



Span 6, Bay 3 underside of deck between Diaphragms D2 & D3 near Diaphragm D3 has a spall with an adjacent hollow area over the parking lot below.

Carried: ALPHA AVENUE

Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS

:Bridge No 03906

Town: STONINGTON

Inventory Route: Non-NHS

Status:	Bridge Maintenance Garage	Assigned To:	District_2 Bridge	Work Item ID:	03906-2020-0006
Date Issued:	04/15/2020	Priority:	Priority Repair		
Deficiency: C	ollision Damage				
<b>Structural Com</b>	ponent: Superstructure				
Comments: - S not - S not	pan 1, Girder G7 west botto been ground smooth. pan 1, Girder G9 west botto been ground smooth.	m flange between D m flange at 8" north	of Diaphragms D2 & D3 ha	s a 3/4" long x 1/8" high a 1/4" long x 1/8" high x	1/16" deep gouge, which h
- S 1/8 - S gro	pan 6, Girder G1 west botto " deep notch, which has not pan 8, Girder G6 bottom of ound smooth.	m flange between D : been ground smoo bottom flange near F	haphragms D1 & D2 ha th. Pier 7 has two (2) full w	s a 30" long scrape with idth x 3/8" wide x 1/8" do	a 3.5" long x 1/2" wide x 1/1 eep gouges, which has not be

Date Completed:

#### Actual Quantity:



Span 8, Girder G6 bottom of bottom flange near Pier 7 has two (2) impact gouges.

Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS :Bridge No 03906

Town: STONINGTON

Inventory Route: Non-NHS

Status:	Open	Assigned To:	АТВ АТВ	Work Item ID:	03906-2018-0005
Date Issued:	03/13/2018	Priority:	Priority Repair		
Deficiency: Fei	nce				

Structural Component: Deck

**Comments:** Vinyl coated chainlink fence with return over the railroad tracks in span 4:

- Random disconnected top and bottom horizontal rails, total 6 locations. See photo 15.

- There are three locations where the fence posts are partially pulled out of the parapet causing gaps between the fence and the top of the parapet located over Mathews Street (4" high) in span 1, over paved parking area (7" high) in span 7, and over Cutler Street (7" high) in span 8. See photos 9 & 13.

Date Completed:

Actual Quantity:



General view of the east sidewalk, parapet and fence. Note the fence post partially lifted out of parapet causing a gap between the fence and top of the parapet in span 7. Also see photo 13.

Carried: ALPHA AVENUE Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS :Bridge No 03906

Town: STONINGTON

Inventory Route: Non-NHS

Status:	Open	Assigned To:	ATB ATB	Work Item ID:	03906-2018-0004
Date Issued:	03/12/2018	Priority:	Priority Repair		
Deficiency: O	ther				

Structural Component: Superstructure

**Comments:** - In Span 4, the girder bottom flanges have areas of section loss above the Amtrak electrical lines due to electrical arcing and melted steel. The areas of section loss are typically 2" diameter x 1/8" deep average with areas up to 6" long x 1" high on the edges. There are a few locations of section loss up to 2" diameter x 1/4" deep, which results in up to a 4.4% loss to bottom flange capacity at a critical location. Two (2) new locations have been noted since the last inspection and conditions are advancing at previously noted locations.

Date Completed:

Actual Quantity:



Typical melting section loss at girder G1 over track 1, typical.

#### Form: Maintenance Carried: ALPHA AVENUE

Crossed: AMTRAK RR & LOCAL ROADS

:Bridge No 03906

Town: STONINGTON

Inventory Route: Non-NHS

Status:	Open	Assigned To:	ATB ATB	Work Item ID:	03906-2018-0002
Date Issued:	03/12/2018	Priority:	Routine Repair		
Deficiency: Si	dewalk/ Safety Walk				
Structural Com	nonent: Deck				

Deck ent:

Comments: The sealant between the sidewalk and the southeast stairway joint has failed for 4' long and there is a 1.5" high vertical misalignment between the sidewalk and stairwell at the southeast approach. See photo 12.

**Date Completed:** 

**Actual Quantity:** 



Deteriorated sealant and vertical misalignment at the southeast staircase and southeast approach sidewalk.

Appendix G: Life Cycle Cost Analysis



	Bridge Rehab Alternatives - Life cycle Cost analysis	and Summary o	f Estimated Futur	re Proje	ct Costs	Full Replacement Option						
Introduction <th cols<="" th=""><th></th><th></th><th>Project No. 137-164</th><th>l Alpha</th><th>Ave over lo</th><th>cal roads and Amtrak</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th>	<th></th> <th></th> <th>Project No. 137-164</th> <th>l Alpha</th> <th>Ave over lo</th> <th>cal roads and Amtrak</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			Project No. 137-164	l Alpha	Ave over lo	cal roads and Amtrak					
$\frac{\operatorname{def}}{\operatorname{def}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2$	Bridge Life Cycle Cost Analysis				INPUT		Bridge Costs- 75 Year Life - future pro	niections				
	bridge Life Cycle Cost Analysis	PV=	Present Value				bridge costs 75 real life induite pre	P= P	Present Cost			
$ \left  f^{\text{eq}} = f^{\text{eq}} \left( 1 + D^{\text{eq}} \right) $ be be block bounders - <b>b 2 2 b b b</b> block bounders - <b>b 2 2 b b b</b> block bounders - <b>b b b b b</b> block bounders - <b>b b b b b b b b b b</b>		FV=	Future Value at time N					FV= F	uture Cost			
<ul> <li></li></ul>	$ PV = FV / (1 + DR)^{V} $	DR=	Real Discount Rate =		0.78	%	$ F = P * (1+i)^{N} $	i = i	nflation rate	3.00	%	
		N=	Period (Years)					N= F	Period (Years)			
	Alternate 1 - Pier Rehabilitation						Alternate 1 - Pier Rehabilitation					
Image         Protect Vision         Protect Vision </td <td></td>												
$\frac{1}{16 \log \log$	Item	Present Value	Discount Rate Period	(Years)	Future Value	Notes	Item	Present Cost	Inflation Rate	Period (Years)	Future Cost	
$\frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{2} 1$	Minor Rehabilitation (20-25 Year Service Life)	\$7,500,000.00	0.0000	0	\$0		Minor Rehabilitation (20-25 Year Service Life)	\$7,500,000	0.03	0	\$7,500,000	
Description         Description <thdescription< th=""> <thdescription< th=""></thdescription<></thdescription<>	Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$901,273.12	0.0078	15	\$1,012,679		Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$650,000	0.03	15	\$1,012,679	
In Product Prote P32       14 (24) 232 P33       0.077       23       23 (24) 4387       Part Part Part Part P32       Part Part P32       Part P32 <td>Deck Patching (Year 15)</td> <td>\$582,361.09</td> <td>0.0078</td> <td>15</td> <td>\$654,346</td> <td></td> <td>Deck Patching (Year 15)</td> <td>\$420,000</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>15</td> <td>\$654,346</td>	Deck Patching (Year 15)	\$582,361.09	0.0078	15	\$654,346		Deck Patching (Year 15)	\$420,000	0.03	15	\$654,346	
Balling A surge your 35 (and your 40)         Control 40 (2007)         Contro 4	Full Replacement (Year 25)	\$41,679,287.38	0.0078	25	\$50,614,988		Full Replacement (Year 25)	\$24,174,000	0.03	25	\$50,614,988	
Integrations (res sci_max)         Size (22)         Size (22	Milling & Paving (Year 35)	\$1,393,522.00	0.0078	35	\$1,829,011		Milling & Paving (Year 35)	\$650,000	0.03	35	\$1,829,011	
Nime of Neuron         Subscription         Subscrint         Subscription         Subscription </td <td>Milling &amp; Paving (Year 50)</td> <td>\$1,932,221.41</td> <td>0.0078</td> <td>50</td> <td>\$2,849,539</td> <td></td> <td>Milling &amp; Paving (Year 50)</td> <td>\$650,000</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>50</td> <td>\$2,849,539</td>	Milling & Paving (Year 50)	\$1,932,221.41	0.0078	50	\$2,849,539		Milling & Paving (Year 50)	\$650,000	0.03	50	\$2,849,539	
Note:         Status         Status </td <td>Milling &amp; Paving (Year 65)</td> <td>\$2,679,168.02</td> <td>0.0078</td> <td>65</td> <td>\$4,439,489</td> <td></td> <td>Milling &amp; Paving (Year 65)</td> <td>\$650,000</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>65</td> <td>\$4,439,489</td>	Milling & Paving (Year 65)	\$2,679,168.02	0.0078	65	\$4,439,489		Milling & Paving (Year 65)	\$650,000	0.03	65	\$4,439,489	
Test Core         Stat, Sek, SELACT         Test Core         Stat, Sek, SetALACT         Test Core         Stat, Sek, SetALACT         Stat, SetALACT         SetALACT         Stat, SetALACT         Stat, SetALACT         SetALACT <thsetalact< th=""> <thsetalact< th=""> <ths< td=""><td>Residual Value</td><td>-\$3,103,004.96</td><td>0.0078</td><td>75</td><td>-\$5,557,238</td><td>Residual on Full Replacement at Year 45</td><td></td><td>1 ,</td><td></td><td></td><td>1 //</td></ths<></thsetalact<></thsetalact<>	Residual Value	-\$3,103,004.96	0.0078	75	-\$5,557,238	Residual on Full Replacement at Year 45		1 ,			1 //	
Alternate 2 - Pier Replacement with girder streenhening         Alternate 2 - Pier Replacement with girder streenhening           Immunol Value         Prosent Value         Resont Value         Prosent Value         Prosent Value         Prosent Value         Prosent Value         Resont Value         Prosent Value         Resont Value <thresont th="" value<="">         Resont Value</thresont>	Total Co	ost \$53,564,828.07					Total Cost:	\$34,694,000			\$68,900,051	
Product	Alternate 2 - Pier Replacement with girder strenthening						Alternate 2 - Pier Replacement with girder stren	thening				
Intern         Private Visit         Buckmart fast Parties Visit         Private Visit         P												
Name         Name <th< td=""><td>Item</td><td>Present Value</td><td>Discount Rate Period</td><td>(Years)</td><td>Future Value</td><td></td><td>Item</td><td>Present Cost</td><td>Inflation Rate</td><td>Period (Years)</td><td>Future Cost</td></th<>	Item	Present Value	Discount Rate Period	(Years)	Future Value		Item	Present Cost	Inflation Rate	Period (Years)	Future Cost	
Name         Name         Non-         Non- <th< td=""><td>Minor Rehabilitation (50 Year Service Life)</td><td>\$8,600,000.00</td><td>0.0000</td><td>0</td><td>\$0</td><td></td><td>Minor Rehabilitation (50 Year Service Life)</td><td>\$8,600,000</td><td>0.03</td><td>0</td><td>\$8,600,000</td></th<>	Minor Rehabilitation (50 Year Service Life)	\$8,600,000.00	0.0000	0	\$0		Minor Rehabilitation (50 Year Service Life)	\$8,600,000	0.03	0	\$8,600,000	
bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status of bulk/borning / kees gets         bindling & hourse resubling for a status	Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$901.273.12	0.0078	15	\$1.012.679		Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$650.000	0.03	15	\$1.012.679	
Numps Proving Prover 03)         11.335327.00         0.007         35         51.287.011           Numps Proving Prover 03)         12.287.011         0.0077         36         51.287.011           Numps Proving Prover 03)         12.287.011         0.0077         66         54.438.488           Numps Proving Prover 03)         12.287.011         0.0077         66         54.438.488           Numps Proving Prover 03)         12.287.011         54.438.488         12.287.011         58.010         0.03         66         51.427.011           Numps Proving Prover 03)         12.287.011         12.287.011         58.010         0.03         66         51.428.011           Numps Proving Prover 04         54.438.488         12.287.011         58.011         58.012.001	Minor Rehabilitation - Structures & Deck and Mill/Overlay (Year 20)	\$2,195,562.27	0.0078	20	\$2,564,678		Minor Rehabilitation - Structures & Deck and Mill/Overlay (Ye	\$1,420,000	0.03	20	\$2,564,678	
Null Régistement (Year 50)         Strature (Year 50) <th< td=""><td>Milling &amp; Paving (Year 35)</td><td>\$1,393,522.00</td><td>0.0078</td><td>35</td><td>\$1.829.011</td><td></td><td>Milling &amp; Paving (Year 35)</td><td>\$650.000</td><td>0.03</td><td>35</td><td>\$1.829.011</td></th<>	Milling & Paving (Year 35)	\$1,393,522.00	0.0078	35	\$1.829.011		Milling & Paving (Year 35)	\$650.000	0.03	35	\$1.829.011	
Milling & Rowing York 601         0.0278	Full Replacement (Year 50)	\$71,860,800,71	0.0078	50	\$105 976 544		Full Replacement (Year 50)	\$24 174 000	0.03	50	\$105 976 544	
Berginal Value         122 (257:00.20)         20:07:0         7:0         44,200.200}         Residual Value         10:00	Milling & Paving (Year 65)	\$2,679,168,02	0.0078	65	\$4,439,489		Milling & Paving (Year 65)	\$650,000	0.03	65	\$4,439,489	
Inclusion         Total Cost         \$\$84,955,283.32         Control of the physics of the	Residual Value	-\$2,675,002,80	0.0078	75	-\$4 790 720	Residual on Full Replacement at Year 50	Residual Value	020,000¢	0.03	75	\$0	
Attenate 3 - Piers Replacement with girder strengthening and minor rehabilitations       Attenate 3 - Piers Replacement with girder strengthening and minor rehabilitations         Numer Rehabilitation (50 verar service Life)       Present Value	Total Co	ost \$84,955,323.32	0.0070	, 3	<i>γ</i> 1,730,720		Total Cost:	\$36,144,000	0.00	,3	\$124,422,400	
Item     Present Value	Alternate 3 - Piers Benlacement with girder strengthening and min	or rehabilitations					Alternate 3 - Piers Benlacement with girder stre	ngthening and	minor rehabil	itations		
mem         present Value         Discont Rate         Period (Years)         Puter Value         Present Value	Alternate 5 - Fiers Replacement with grute strengthening and min						Attende 5 - Hers Replacement with girder site			itations		
Minor Rehabilitation (50 vers Service Life)       \$13,300,000       0.000       0       50         Minor Rehabilitation (50 vers Service Life)       \$13,300,000       0.013       0       \$13,300,000         Minor Rehabilitation - Structures (Year 20)       \$501,273,21       0.0078       20       \$532,139         Minor Rehabilitation - Structures (Year 20)       \$500,000       0.03       0       \$153,300,000         Yull Replacement (Year 30)       \$51,390,200       0.03       0       \$153,300,000         Milling & Paving (Year 35)       \$52,675,000       0.0078       \$50       \$158,7011         Milling & Paving (Year 35)       \$52,675,000       0.003       \$51,582,001         Milling & Paving (Year 35)       \$52,675,000       0.03       \$51,582,001         Milling & Paving (Year 35)       \$52,675,000       0.03       \$51,587,584         Milling & Paving (Year 35)       \$50,000,000       \$50,000       \$50,000       \$50,000       \$50,000         Milling & Paving (Year 35)       \$50,0000	Item	Present Value	Discount Rate Period	(Years)	Future Value		<u>Item</u>	Present Cost	Inflation Rate	Period (Years)	Future Cost	
Miling & Paving (ver 15)         Son 1,272,12         0.0078         15         91,01,26,79           Miling & Paving (ver 20)         5541,150,71         0.0078         20         5631,132         100         670         5631,102,679           Miling & Paving (ver 20)         5541,150,17         0.0078         35         513,232,011         100         683,1329,011         100         683,1329,011         100         683,1329,011         100         683,1329,011         100         683,1329,011         100,078         563,575,644         100         524,774,600         534,573,444         100,078         563,575,644         100,078         563,575,644         100,078         563,575,644         100,078         563,575,644         100,078         563,575,644         100,078         563,575,644         100,078         563,575,602         0.03         55         54,433,4489         100,078         563,500,00         0.03         56         54,433,4489         100,078         563,500,00         0.03         57         50         50         50         50         50         5127,189,861         5127,189,861         5127,189,861         5127,189,861         5127,189,861         5127,189,861         5127,189,861         5127,189,861         5127,189,861         5127,189,861         5127,189,861         <	Minor Rehabilitation (50 Year Service Life)	\$13,300,000.00	0.0000	0	\$0		Minor Rehabilitation (50 Year Service Life)	\$13,300,000	0.03	0	\$13,300,000	
Minor Rehabilitation - Structures (Year 20)       \$330,000       0.03       20       \$542,139         Milling & Paving (Year 35)       \$1,333,522.0       0.0078       35       \$1,529,011         Yulling & Paving (Year 35)       \$52,279,168.02       0.0078       55       \$4,439,489         Residual Yalue       \$2,67,502.08       0.0078       57       \$5,439,849         Residual Yalue       \$2,67,502.08       0.0078       56       \$4,439,489         Residual Yalue       \$2,67,502.08       0.0078       50       \$127,189,861         Total Cost       \$39,774,000       0.03       0       \$127,189,861         Total Cost       \$30,000       0.0008       0       \$0       \$0       \$0.000       \$0       \$0       \$0       \$25,500,000       \$0.0008       \$0       \$25,500,000       \$0.003       \$0       \$25,500,000       \$0.003       \$0       \$25,500,000       \$0.003       \$0       \$25,500,000       \$10       \$25	Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$901,273.12	0.0078	15	\$1,012,679		Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$650,000	0.03	15	\$1,012,679	
Milling & Paving (Year 33)         S1.393 522.00         0.0078         35         S1.829.011           Milling & Paving (Year 35)         57.186.00.71         0.0078         55         S1.597.544           Milling & Paving (Year 65)         52.679, 168.02         0.0078         65         S4.439.489           Residual Value         -52.675, 002.80         0.0078         55         S4.439.489           Residual Value         -52.675, 002.80         0.0078         75         -54.790, 720           Total Cost         \$58,000, 920.77         -54.790, 720         Residual Value         50         0.03         75         50           Alternate 4 - Full Replacement         S88,000, 920.77         -54.790, 720         Fulue Paving (Year 35)         S650,000         0.03         65         \$154,439,489           Residual Value         -52,675,002.80         0.0007         65         \$44,439,489         S650,000         0.03         65         \$154,439,489           Residual Value         -56,070,002.80         0.000         S6         S650,000         0.03         75         S0           Alternate 4 - Full Replacement         See State S	Minor Rehabilitation - Structures (Year 20)	\$541,159.71	0.0078	20	\$632,139		Minor Rehabilitation - Structures (Year 20)	\$350,000	0.03	20	\$632,139	
Inul Replacement (Year 50)         S71,860,800.71         0.0078         S105,976,544           Milling & Paving (Year 65)         52,673,108.02         0.0078         65         S44,439,485           Residual Value         52,673,008.00         0.0078         65         S44,339,485           Residual Value         52,673,008.00         0.0078         75         54,790,720           Residual Value         50         0.03         75         54,790,720           Residual Value         50         0.03         75         54,790,720           Residual Value         50         0.03         75         50           Residual Value         50         0.03         75         50           Residual Value         52,500,000         0.0000         50         510,597,504           Residual Value         S20,500,000         0.0000         50         510,590,500           Milling & Paving (Year 15)         525,500,000         0.0000         50         526,4854           Milling & Paving (Year 15)         5170,000         0.03         15         5264,854           Milling & Paving (Year 30)         532,673,80         0.0078         50         500           Milling & Paving (Year 40)         526,180,000         <	Milling & Paving (Year 35)	\$1,393,522.00	0.0078	35	\$1,829,011		Milling & Paving (Year 35)	\$650,000	0.03	35	\$1,829,011	
Milling & Paving (Year 65)         S2,673,168.02         0.0078         65         \$4,439,489           Residual Value         -52,675,002.80         0.0078         75         -54,790,720         Residual on Full Replacement at Year 50           Total Cost         \$58,000,920.77           Total Cost         \$39,774,000         0.03         65         \$4,439,489           Alternate 4 - Full Replacement at Year 50           Item         Present Value         Discount Rate         Period (Years)         Future Value           Full Replacement (75 Year Service Life)         \$25,500,000         0.03         0         \$25,500,000         0.03         0         \$25,500,000         0.03         0         \$25,500,000         0.03         0         \$25,500,000         0.03         0         \$25,500,000         0.03         0         \$25,500,000         0.03         0         \$25,500,000         0.03         0         \$25,500,000         0.03         0         \$25,500,000         0.03         0         \$25,500,000         0.03         0         \$25,500,000         0.03         15         \$26,484           Milling & Paving (Year 45)         \$170,000         0.03         15         \$26,484         Milling & Paving (Year 50)         \$170,000	Full Replacement (Year 50)	\$71,860,800.71	0.0078	50	\$105,976,544		Full Replacement (Year 50)	\$24,174,000	0.03	50	\$105,976,544	
Residual Value         - 52,675,002.80         0.0078         75         \$4,790,720         Residual on Full Replacement at Year 50         Residual Value         Total Cost         \$38,000,920.77         Total Cost         \$38,000,920.77         Residual Value         Total Cost         \$38,000,920.77         Star (Second Participation Full Replacement at Year 50         Residual Value         Total Cost         \$38,000,920.77         Star (Second Participation Full Replacement at Year 50         Residual Value         Total Cost         \$39,774,000         \$127,189,861           Alternate 4 - Full Replacement         Present Value         Present Value         Present Value         Future Cost         Inflation Rate         Period (Years)         Future Cost           Kulling & Paving (Year 15)         \$225,500,000         0.0078         15         \$264,854         S264,854         S170,000         0.03         0         \$25,500,000           Milling & Paving (Year 45)         \$235,870,280         0.0078         45         \$642,871         S170,000         0.03         15         \$254,854           Milling & Paving (Year 60)         \$25,717.58         0.0078         45         \$642,871         Milling & Paving (Year 30)         \$170,000         0.03         45         \$642,871           Milling & Paving (Year 60)         \$25,78.28         0.0078	Milling & Paving (Year 65)	\$2.679.168.02	0.0078	65	\$4,439,489		Milling & Paving (Year 65)	\$650.000	0.03	65	\$4.439.489	
Item         Present Value         Discount Rate         Period (Years)         Future Value           Full Replacement         525,500,000.00         0.0000         0         \$000         0.0000         0         \$255,500,000         0.003         0         \$255,500,000 <t< td=""><td>Residual Value</td><td>-\$2.675.002.80</td><td>0.0078</td><td>75</td><td>-\$4,790,720</td><td>Residual on Full Replacement at Year 50</td><td>Residual Value</td><td>\$0</td><td>0.03</td><td>75</td><td>\$0</td></t<>	Residual Value	-\$2.675.002.80	0.0078	75	-\$4,790,720	Residual on Full Replacement at Year 50	Residual Value	\$0	0.03	75	\$0	
Atternate 4- Full Replacement       Atternate 4- Full Replacement (75 Year Service Life)       Replacement (75 Year Service Life)       State (8- Full Replacement (75 Year Service Life))       Replacement (75 Year Service Life)       State (8- Full Replacement (75 Year Service Life))       State (8- Full Replacement (75 Year Service))       State (8- Full Replacemen	Total Co	ost \$88,000,920.77			1 / / -		Total Cost:	\$39,774,000			\$127,189,861	
Item         Present Value         Prior Value         Prior Value         Future Value           Full Replacement (75 Year Service Life)         \$25,500,000.00         0.0000         0         \$25,500,000.00         0.0000         \$25,500,000.00         \$25,500,000	Alternate 4 - Full Replacement						Alternate 4 - Full Replacement					
Item         Present Value         Piezont Rate         Period (Years)         Future Value           Full Replacement (75 Year Service Life)         \$25,500,000         0.0000         0         \$25,500,000         0.0000         \$25,500,000												
Full Replacement (75 Year Service Life)       \$25,500,000       0.000       0       \$00000       \$00000       \$00000       \$0	Item	Present Value	Discount Rate Period	(Years)	Future Value		Item	Present Cost	Inflation Rate	Period (Years)	Future Cost	
Milling & Paving (Year 15)       \$235,717.58       0.0078       15       \$264,854         Milling & Paving (Year 30)       \$326,839.88       0.0078       30       \$412,635         Milling & Paving (Year 45)       \$453,187.69       0.0078       45       \$642,871         Milling & Paving (Year 45)       \$453,187.69       0.0078       \$642,871         Milling & Paving (Year 60)       \$170,000       0.03       45       \$642,871         Milling & Paving (Year 60)       \$170,000       0.03       45       \$642,871         Milling & Paving (Year 60)       \$170,000       0.03       45       \$642,871         Milling & Paving (Year 60)       \$170,000       0.03       45       \$642,871         Milling & Paving (Year 60)       \$170,000       0.03       45       \$642,871         Milling & Paving (Year 60)       \$170,000       0.03       60       \$1,001,573         Residual Value       \$0.0078       75       \$0       Replace in kind       \$100,000       0.03       75       \$0         Total Cost       \$27,844,323.45       \$27,824,933       \$26,880,000       \$27,824,933       \$27,824,933       \$27,824,933       \$27,824,933	Full Replacement (75 Year Service Life)	\$25,500,000.00	0.0000	0	\$0		Full Replacement (75 Year Service Life)	\$25,500,000	0.03	0	\$25,500,000	
Milling & Paving (Year 30)       \$326,839.88       0.0078       30       \$412,635         Milling & Paving (Year 45)       \$453,187.69       0.0078       45       \$642,871         Milling & Paving (Year 45)       \$170,000       0.03       45       \$642,871         Milling & Paving (Year 60)       \$628,378.28       0.0078       60       \$1,001,573         Residual Value       \$0.00       0.0078       75       \$0       * Replace in kind         Total Cost: \$27,144,123.43	Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$235,717.58	0.0078	15	\$264,854		Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$170,000	0.03	15	\$264,854	
Milling & Paving (Year 45)       \$453,187.69       0.0078       45       \$642,871         Milling & Paving (Year 45)       \$170,000       0.03       45       \$642,871         Milling & Paving (Year 60)       \$628,378.28       0.0078       60       \$1,001,573         Residual Value       \$0.0078       75       \$0       Replace in kind         Total Cost       \$27,144,123.43	Milling & Paving (Year 30)	\$326,839.88	0.0078	30	\$412,635		Milling & Paving (Year 30)	\$170,000	0.03	30	\$412,635	
Milling & Paving (Year 60)       \$628,378.28       0.0078       60       \$1,001,573         Residual Value       \$0.00       0.0078       75       \$0         Total Cost       \$27,144,123.43       \$27,821,933	Milling & Paving (Year 45)	\$453,187.69	0.0078	45	\$642,871		Milling & Paving (Year 45)	\$170,000	0.03	45	\$642,871	
Residual Value         \$0.00         0.0078         75         \$0         * Replace in kind         Residual Value         \$0         0.03         75         \$0           Total Cost         \$27,144,123.43         \$27,821,933	Milling & Paving (Year 60)	\$628,378.28	0.0078	60	\$1,001,573		Milling & Paving (Year 60)	\$170,000	0.03	60	\$1,001,573	
Total Cost         \$27,144,123.43           \$27,821,933	Residual Value	\$0.00	0.0078	75	\$0	* Replace in kind	Residual Value	\$0	0.03	75	\$0	
	Total Co	ost \$27,144,123.43					Total Cost:	\$26,180,000		×	\$27,821,933	





Bridge Rehab Alternatives - Life cycle Cost analysis and	d Summary of	<b>Estimated</b>	Future Proje	ct Costs Su	per Replacement Option					
		Project No. 1	37-164 Alph	a Ave over loc	al roads and Amtrak					
Bridge Life Cycle Cost Analysis				INPUT		Bridge Costs- 75 Year Life - future pro	iections			
Druge Life Cycle Cost Analysis	PV=	Present Value				Bridge costs 75 real life induite pre	P= P	resent Cost		
	FV=	Future Value at tim	e N			$\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$ $\square$	FV= F	uture Cost		
$ PV = FV / (1 + DR)^{*} $	DR=	Real Discount Rate	=	0.78	%	$ F = P^{*}(1+i)^{N} $	i = ir	flation rate	3.00	%
	N=	Period (Years)				(- · · ·)	N= P	eriod (Years)		
								. ,		
Alternate 1 - Pier Rehabilitation	•					Alternate 1 - Pier Rehabilitation				
ltem	Present Value	Discount Rate	Period (Years)	Future Value	Notes	ltem	Present Cost	Inflation Rate	Period (Years)	Future Cost
Minor Rehabilitation (20-25 Year Service Life)	\$7,500,000,00	0.0000	0	<u>so</u>	Notes	Minor Rehabilitation (20-25 Year Service Life)	\$7 500 000	0.03	<u>1 ciliod (1 culis)</u>	\$7,500,000
Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$901 273 12	0.0000	15	\$1 012 679		Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$650,000	0.03	15	\$1,000,000
Deck Patching (Year 15	\$582 361 09	0.0078	15	\$654 346		Deck Patching (Year 15	\$420,000	0.03	15	\$654 346
Full Replacement (Year 25)	\$41 679 287 38	0.0078	25	\$50 614 988		Full Replacement (Year 25)	\$24 174 000	0.03	25	\$50 614 988
Milling & Paving (Year 35)	\$1 393 522 00	0.0078	35	\$1 829 011		Milling & Paving (Year 35)	\$650,000	0.03	35	\$1 829 011
Milling & Paving (Year 50)	\$1,932,221,41	0.0078	50	\$2,849,539		Milling & Paving (Year 50)	\$650,000	0.03	50	\$2,849,539
Milling & Paving (Year 75)	\$3 331 414 40	0.0078	75	\$5,966,302		Milling & Paving (Year 75)	\$650,000	0.03	75	\$5,966,302
Residual Value	-\$3 103 004 96	0.0078	75	-\$5 557 238	Residual on Full Replacement at Vear 15		\$050,000	0.05	75	JJ,J00,J02
Total Cost	\$54,217,074.44	0.0078	/3	-33,337,238		Total Cost:	\$34,694,000			\$70,426,864
Alternate 2 - Pier Replacement with girder strenthening						Alternate 2 - Pier Replacement with girder stren	thening			
						G G.				
Item	Present Value	Discount Rate	Period (Years)	Future Value		ltem	Present Cost	Inflation Rate	Period (Years)	Future Cost
Minor Rehabilitation (50 Year Service Life)	\$8,600,000.00	0.0000	0	\$0		Minor Rehabilitation (50 Year Service Life)	\$8,600,000	0.03	0	\$8,600,000
Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$901,273.12	0.0078	15	\$1,012,679		Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$650,000	0.03	15	\$1,012,679
Minor Rehabilitation - Structures & Deck and Mill/Overlay (Year 20)	\$2,195,562.27	0.0078	20	\$2,564,678		Minor Rehabilitation - Structures & Deck and Mill/Overlay (Yea	\$1,420,000	0.03	20	\$2,564,678
Milling & Paving (Year 35)	\$1,393,522.00	0.0078	35	\$1,829,011		Milling & Paving (Year 35)	\$650,000	0.03	35	\$1,829,011
Super/Deck Replacement (Year 50)	\$38,882,240.15	0.0078	50	\$57,341,491		Super/Deck Replacement (Year 50)	\$13,080,000	0.03	50	\$57,341,491
Milling & Paving (Year 65)	\$2,679,168.02	0.0078	65	\$4,439,489		Milling & Paving (Year 65)	\$650,000	0.03	65	\$4,439,489
Residual Value	-\$1,447,383.00 \$52,204,282,56	0.0078	75	-\$2,592,149	Residual on Full Replacement at Year 50	Residual Value	\$0 \$25,050,000	0.03	75	\$0 \$75 787 247
	JJJ,204,362.JU						323,030,000			\$75,767,547
Alternate 3 - Piers Replacement with girder strengthening and minor i	rehabilitations					Alternate 3 - Piers Replacement with girder stree	ngthening and r	ninor rehabili	tations	
Item	Present Value	Discount Rate	Period (Years)	Future Value		Item	Present Cost	Inflation Rate	Period (Years)	Future Cost
Minor Rehabilitation (50 Year Service Life)	\$13,300,000.00	0.0000	0	\$0		Minor Rehabilitation (50 Year Service Life)	\$13,300,000	0.03	0	\$13,300,000
Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$901,273.12	0.0078	15	\$1,012,679		Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$650,000	0.03	15	\$1,012,679
Minor Rehabilitation - Structures (Year 20)	\$541,159.71	0.0078	20	\$632,139		Minor Rehabilitation - Structures (Year 20)	\$350,000	0.03	20	\$632,139
Milling & Paving (Year 35)	\$1,393,522.00	0.0078	35	\$1,829,011		Milling & Paving (Year 35)	\$650,000	0.03	35	\$1,829,011
Super/Deck Replacement (Year 50)	\$38,882,240.15	0.0078	50	\$57,341,491		Super/Deck Replacement (Year 50)	\$13,080,000	0.03	50	\$57,341,491
Milling & Paving (Year 65)	\$2,679,168.02	0.0078	65	\$4,439,489		Milling & Paving (Year 65)	\$650,000	0.03	65	\$4,439,489
Residual Value	-\$1,447,383.00	0.0078	75	-\$2,592,149 F	Residual on Full Replacement at Year 50	Residual Value	\$0	0.03	75	\$0
Total Cost	\$56,249,980.00					Total Cost:	\$28,680,000			\$78,554,808
Alternate 4 - Full Replacement						Alternate 4 - Full Replacement				
Item	Present Value	Discount Rate	Period (Years)	Future Value		ltem	Present Cost	Inflation Rate	Period (Years)	Future Cost
Full Replacement (75 Year Service Life)	\$25,000,000.00	0.0000	0	\$0		Full Replacement (75 Year Service Life)	\$25,500,000	0.03	0	\$25,500,000
Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$235,717.58	0.0078	15	\$264,854		Milling & Paving (Year 15)	\$170,000	0.03	15	\$264,854
Milling & Paving (Year 30)	\$326,839.88	0.0078	30	\$412,635		Milling & Paving (Year 30)	\$170,000	0.03	30	\$412,635
Milling & Paving (Year 45)	\$453,187.69	0.0078	45	\$642,871		Milling & Paving (Year 45)	\$170,000	0.03	45	\$642,871
Milling & Paving (Year 60)	\$628,378.28	0.0078	60	\$1,001,573		Milling & Paving (Year 60)	\$170,000	0.03	60	\$1,001,573
Residual Value	\$0.00	0.0078	75	\$0 *	* Replace in kind	Residual Value	\$0	0.03	75	\$0
Total Cost	\$26,644,123.43					Total Cost:	\$26,180,000			\$27,821,933

3.00	

